

AD-A110 119

STETSON-DALE UTICA NY

F/G 13/13

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. EAST CANADA LAKE DAM (INVENTORY NU--ETC(U)


SEP 81 J B STETSON


DACS1-81-C-0000

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

for 3
AD
A001119





REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) East Canada Lake Dam Mohawk River Basin Herkimer County, NY Inventory No. NY00201		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Phase I Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program
7. AUTHOR(s) JOHN B. STETSON		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Stetson-Dale 185 Genesee Street Utica, New York 13501		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DACW51-81-C-0009
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Department of the Army 26 Federal Plaza New York District, CofE New York, New York 10287		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Department of the Army 26 Federal Plaza New York District, CofE New York, NY 10287		12. REPORT DATE 10 September 1981
LEVEL		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability East Canada Lake Dam Herkimer Mohawk River Basin		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report provides information and analysis on the physical condition of the dam as of the report date. Information and analysis are based on visual inspection of the dam by the performing organization. The examination of documents and visual inspection of the East Canada Lake Dam did not reveal conditions which constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. However, the dam has some deficiencies which require remedial work.		

2011) → The hydrologic/hydraulic analysis indicates that the dam will be overtopped by flows in excess of 48 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The dam will be overtopped by 4.9 feet and 0.09 feet by the PMF and 1/2 PMF respectively. Failure of the dam during the 1/2 PMF event would significantly increase the downstream hazard from that which would exist just prior to failure of the dam. The spillway capacity, therefore, is assessed as "seriously inadequate" and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a "seriously inadequate spillway" is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam would take place, significantly increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

It is, therefore, recommended that within 3 months of notification to the Owner a detailed hydrologic/hydraulic investigation of the structure should be undertaken to more accurately determine the site specific characteristics of the watershed and their effect upon the overtopping potential of the dam. The results of these investigations will determine the appropriate remedial measures which will be required to achieve adequate spillway capacity. The remedial measures should be completed within 18 months. In the interim, a detailed emergency action plan must be developed and implemented during periods of unusually heavy precipitation. Also, around-the-clock surveillance of the structure must be provided during these periods.

The structural analysis for the concrete spillway section indicates satisfactory stability for all loading conditions investigated.

MOHAWK RIVER BASIN

EAST CANADA LAKE DAM

NEW YORK

INVENTORY No. NY 201

**PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM**

**APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED**

*Original contains color
photos. All DDC reproductions
will be in black and
white.*



1 21 146

NEW YORK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS

AUGUST 1981

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Preface	
Assessment of General Conditions	i-ii
Overview Photograph	
Section 1 - Project Information	1-4
Section 2 - Engineering Data	5
Section 3 - Visual Inspection	6-7
Section 4 - Operation and Maintenance Procedures	8
Section 5 - Hydrologic/Hydraulic	9-12
Section 6 - Structural Stability	13-17
Section 7 - Assessment/Remedial Measures	18-19

APPENDIX

Photographs	A
Visual Inspection Checklist	B
Hydrologic/Hydraulic, Engineering Data and Computations	C
References	D
Stability Analysis	E
Previous Inspection Reports/Available Documents	F
Drawings:	G
Figure 1 - Location Map	
Figure 2 - General Plan and Profile Views	
Figure 3 - Plan View of Dam and Spillway	
Figure 4 - Typical Spillway Section, Tainter Gate and Gate House Details	
Figure 5 - Powerhouse Plan and Elevations	

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam:	East Canada Lake Dam (Beardslee) I.D. NY 201
State Located:	New York
County:	Herkimer and Montgomery
Watershed:	Mohawk River Basin
Stream:	East Canada Creek
Date of Inspection:	May 8, 1981

ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

The examination of documents and visual inspection of the East Canada Lake Dam did not reveal conditions which constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. However, the dam has some deficiencies which require remedial work.

The hydrologic/hydraulic analysis indicates that the dam will be overtopped by flows in excess of 48 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The dam will be overtopped by 4.9 feet and 0.09 feet by the PMF and 1/2 PMF respectively. Failure of the dam during the 1/2 PMF event would significantly increase the downstream hazard from that which would exist just prior to failure of the dam. The spillway capacity, therefore, is assessed as "seriously inadequate" and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a "seriously inadequate spillway" is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam would take place, significantly increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

It is, therefore, recommended that within 3 months of notification to the Owner a detailed hydrologic/hydraulic investigation of the structure should be undertaken to more accurately determine the site specific characteristics of the watershed and their effect upon the overtopping potential of the dam. The results of these investigations will determine the appropriate remedial measures which will be required to achieve adequate spillway capacity. The remedial measures should be completed within 18 months. In the interim, a detailed emergency action plan must be developed and implemented during periods of unusually heavy precipitation. Also, around-the-clock surveillance of the structure must be provided during these periods.

The structural analysis for the concrete spillway section indicates satisfactory stability for all loading conditions investigated.


The following remedial work should be completed within one year.

1. Trees and brush should be removed from the slopes of the embankment and a suitable sod cover re-established to allow for inspection of the facility.
2. Woodchucks should be eliminated from the facility and burrows filled.
3. Deteriorated concrete and gunite on the spillway section and at the toe of the spillway should be repaired.
4. A formalized inspection system should be initiated to develop data on the conditions and maintenance operation at the facility.
5. A flood warning and emergency evacuation system should be implemented to alert the public in the event that conditions occur which could result in failure of the dam.

Dale Engineering Company


John B. Stetson, President

Approved By:
Date:


Col. W. M. Smith, Jr.
New York District Engineer

10 SEP 1981



1. OVERVIEW OF THE EAST CANADA LAKE DAM (BEARDSLEE FALLS DAM)

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
EAST CANADA LAKE DAM (BEARDSLEE) I.D. NO. NY 201
MOHAWK RIVER BASIN
HERKIMER AND MONTGOMERY COUNTIES, NY

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority

Authority for this report is provided by the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367 of 1972. It has been prepared in accordance with a contract for professional services between Dale Engineering Company and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The purpose of this inspection is to evaluate the existing condition of the East Canada Lake Dam and appurtenant structures, owned by the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, and to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property and to transmit findings to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

This Phase I inspection report does not relieve an Owner or Operator of a dam of the legal duties, obligations or liabilities associated with the ownership or operation of the dam. In addition, due to the limited scope of services for these Phase I investigations, the investigators had to rely upon the data furnished to them. Therefore, this investigation is limited to visual inspection, review of data prepared by others, and simplified hydrologic, hydraulic and structural stability evaluations where appropriate. The investigators do not assume responsibility for defects or deficiencies in the dam or in the data provided.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The East Canada Lake Dam is an earthfilled structure consisting of two separate sections combined with a concrete gravity spillway section. The facility is used to impound water for hydro-electric generating purposes. The inlet for the penstock to the power generating station is located on the right abutment of the dam. Flow into the penstock is controlled through two 10-1/2 foot square sluice gates. Just to the left of the penstock gates is located a 20 foot wide x 11 foot high tainter gate which is used to control levels in the impoundment. The concrete gravity spillway extends for a length of 273 feet to the left of the tainter gate structure. This spillway consists of an ogee shaped concrete structure with concrete abutment walls. The maximum height of the spillway section

is approximately 18-1/2 feet. The spillway is normally equipped with flashboards which extend 7 feet above the spillway crest.

The left abutment of the spillway terminates in an earthfilled section. This section, 276 feet long, was constructed over an existing timber crib dam which was located in the mainstream channel of East Canada Creek. The dam reaches its maximum height of approximately 65 feet in this area. The dam section in this area consists of a clay puddle core with an earthen shell on both the upstream and downstream face. According to available plans, the upstream slope varies from 1.3 horizontal to 1 vertical to 2.5:1 (horizontal to vertical) and the downstream face varies from 1.4 to 1 to 2.5:1 (horizontal to vertical) for an effective slope of about 2:1. The remaining 463 feet of the embankment section to the left abutment similarly consists of a clay puddle core with earthen shell.

b. Location

The East Canada Lake Dam is located in the Town of Manheim, Herkimer County, and the Town of St. Johnsville, Montgomery County, New York. The facility is located approximately 1-1/2 miles north of New York Route 5.

c. Size Classification

The maximum height of the dam is approximately 65 feet. The volume of the impoundment is approximately 5,865 acre feet to the top of dam. Therefore, the dam is in the large size classification as defined by the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.

d. Hazard Classification

Residential properties along Route 5 are situated near the bank of East Canada Creek. The power generating station served by the facility is situated approximately 2,000 feet downstream. The mainline track of Conrail is located approximately 1-3/4 miles downstream. Therefore, the dam is in the high hazard category as defined by the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.

e. Ownership

The dam is owned by the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation.

Contact: Niagara Mohawk Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, NY 13202
Engineer: Robert J. Levett
Telephone: (315) 474-1511

f. Purpose of the Dam

The dam is used for power generating purposes.

g. Design and Construction History

The East Canada Lake Dam (Beardslee) was constructed in 1924. A timber crib dam previously existed at the site. This dam was constructed during the period of 1892 to 1898. Plans for the 1924 construction are included in Appendix G. These plans substantially conform to the present configuration of the facility. No information is available regarding the design or construction history of this facility.

h. Normal Operational Procedures

The facility is used to store water for power generating purposes. The level in the impoundment is maintained to provide optimum efficiency in power generation.

The facility is inspected regularly by representatives of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a. Drainage area

The drainage area of the Beardslee Falls Dam is 288 square miles.

b. Discharge at Dam Site

The maximum recorded discharge at USGS gage number 01348000 was 24,000 cfs on 10-2-1945. The gage is located 3,000 feet downstream of the dam.

Computed discharges:

Ungated service spillway, top of dam	51,230 cfs
Gated drawdown -	
penstock:	1,200 cfs
tainter gate: reservoir @ top of dam	4,910 cfs
reservoir @ spillway crest	450 cfs

c. Elevation (feet above MSL)

Top of dam	508.0 ft.
Spillway crest	491.5 ft.
Stream bed at centerline of dam	443.0 ft.

d. Reservoir

Length of maximum pool	10,200 ft. (1/2 PMF)
Length of normal pool	7,600 ft.

e. Storage

Top of dam	5,865 acre ft.
Spillway pool	2,490 acre ft.

f. Reservoir Area

Top of dam	260 acres
Spillway pool	145 acres

g. Dam

Type - earth shell with reinforced concrete core and clay puddle core
Length - 900 feet
Height - 65 feet
Freeboard between normal reservoir and top of dam - 16.5 feet
Top width - 56 feet (plans)
Side slopes- Upstream: Variable, 1.3:1 to 2.5:1 (horizontal to vertical)
Downstream: Variable, 1.4:1 to 2.5:1 for an approximate 2:1 effective slope
Zoning - clay puddle core with random earth shell
Impervious core - reinforced cut-off wall with hydraulic puddle core
Grout Curtain - None

h. Spillway

Type - Uncontrolled, ogee shaped downstream face
Length - 273 ft.
Crest elevation - 491.5
Flashboards - 5 feet high (existing)
7 feet high (future)
Gates - None
U/S Channel - Reservoir
D/S Channel - Natural creek, rock bottom and sides

i. Regulating Outlets

One 12 foot diameter pipe leading to a 13 foot diameter penstock. One 20 foot wide x 11 foot high tainter gate.

SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 GEOTECHNICAL DATA

a. Geology

Geologically, Beardslee Falls is located in the Mohawk section of the Appalachian Plateaus Province which is part of the Appalachian Highlands, the major physiographic division. The Adirondack Province is to the north. The region had been subjected to glacial activity, scouring and deposition.

Bedrock, at the site area, is the Sugar River Limestone, a formation within the Trenton Group of limestones of Medial Ordovician Age. The formation is dominantly a light, medium-gray calcarenite with interbedded thin calcareous shales. Mudcracks and worm borings are common.

b. Subsurface Investigations

The plans included in Appendix G show the location of the rock surface in the area. No other information is available regarding subsurface conditions at this site.

2.2 DESIGN RECORDS

No reports were available from the original design of the dam.

2.3 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

No information was available concerning the original construction.

2.4 OPERATIONAL RECORDS

There are no operational records available for this dam.

2.5 EVALUATION OF DATA

The data presented in this report was obtained from the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation and from the files of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Dam Safety Section. The information available appears to be reliable and adequate for a Phase I inspection report.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

a. General

The East Canada Lake Dam (Beardslee) was inspected on May 8, 1981. The Dale Engineering Company inspection team was accompanied on the inspection by Robert Levett of the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation. During the inspection, the weather was fair. Water level in the impoundment was approximately elevation 494.3, and approximately 2.8 feet above the crest elevation. At the time of the inspection, 5 feet of flashboards were in place on the crest of the dam.

b. Dam

The earthfilled sections of the facility were heavily overgrown with trees and brush so as to partially obscure the surface from view. The crest of the dam was uniform in elevation with no indications of depressions or subsidence. The water level in the impoundment precluded examination of the upstream slope below the water level. Stone protection at the water line remains in good condition and provides adequate protection to the slope. The downstream slope of the embankment was inspected and appears to be of uniform slope with no depressions or subsidence detected in the field. No evidence of seepage was detected on the downstream slope or beyond the toe of the embankment.

The downstream slope of the earth embankment section which was constructed over the old timber crib dam is covered with a rock fill. The old stream channel at the toe of slope presently impounds water. This area is strewn with dead trees and debris. No evidence was detected that indicated seepage as a source of this water.

c. Spillway

The spillway section of the dam has been resurfaced with gunite concrete. This material is deteriorating so that wire mesh is visible at many of the vertical joints and the gunite covering has completely deteriorated in an area approximately 40 feet long, exposing the spalled concrete surface of the original spillway. Some undermining of the gunite material is also evident at the toe of the spillway section. The spillway remains in uniform alignment with no evidence of structural displacement evident in the field.

During the inspection, flashboards were in place to a height of approximately 5 feet above the spillway crest. Representatives of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation indicated that the elevation soon would be increased to a height of 7 feet above the spillway.

d. Appurtenant Structures

The tainter gate and sluice gates controlling flow from the impoundment were found to be in operating condition and adequately maintained. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation operates these facilities periodically.

e. Reservoir Area

The slopes of the reservoir are relatively gentle and show no signs of recent erosion. No areas of known slope instability are known to exist in the reservoir area.

3.2 EVALUATION

The visual inspection revealed several deficiencies on this structure. The following items were noted:

1. Both the crest and the downstream slope of the earthfill section of the dam are heavily overgrown with trees and brush.
2. Woodchuck burrows were detected in the downstream slope of the dam
3. The concrete gunite surface of the spillway section is heavily deteriorated.
4. The concrete and rock materials comprising the foundation zone of the toe of the spillway are deteriorated.

SECTION 4 - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

The facility is regularly inspected by representatives of the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation. The concrete sections have been maintained in the past. The water level in the impoundment is maintained to provide optimum efficiency for power generation.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF THE DAM

Maintenance and operation of the dam is controlled by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation. Conditions at the site indicate that the concrete surfaces have been maintained in the past, but that further maintenance is required due to deterioration of the gunite surfaces. No formalized inspection system is in effect at the facility.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES

The gates controlling flow into the penstock are in operating condition and well maintained. The tainter gate which controls the level of the impoundment during high run-off situations is similarly in operating condition.

4.4 DESCRIPTION OF WARNING SYSTEM

No warning system is in effect at present.

4.5 EVALUATION

The dam is regularly inspected and maintained by the Owner. A flood warning and emergency evacuation system should be implemented to alert the public should conditions occur which could result in failure of the dam. A formal inspection procedure should be implemented and records maintained so that changing conditions at the site could be readily identified.

SECTION 5: HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC

5.1 DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS

The East Canada Lake Dam (Beardslee Falls) is used for hydroelectric power and is located in the southeast portion of Herkimer County. The dam is situated on East Canada Creek approximately 1.2 miles upstream of its confluence with the Mohawk River. Upstream of the dam site, East Canada Creek has a drainage area of approximately 288 square miles which is characterized by mostly wooded and agricultural areas. The dam creates a pool with a surface area of approximately 200 acres at the top of flashboards used in the summer.

5.2 ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The purpose of this investigation is to evaluate the dam and spillway with respect to their flood control potential and adequacy. This has been assessed through the evaluation of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) for the watershed and the subsequent routing of the flood through the reservoir and the dam's spillway system. The PMF event is that hypothetical flow induced by the most critical combination of precipitation, minimum infiltration loss and concentration of run-off of a specific location that is considered reasonably possible for a particular drainage area.

The hydrologic analysis was performed using the unit hydrograph method to develop the flood hydrograph. Due to the limited scope of this Phase I investigation, certain assumptions based on experience and existing data were used in this analysis and in the determination of the dam's spillway capacity to pass the PMF. In the event that the dam could not pass 1/2 the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping, additional analyses are to be performed on potential dam failures if the dam is designated as a High Hazard Classification. This process was done with the concept that, if the dam was unable to satisfy this criteria, further refined hydrologic investigations would be required.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Hydrologic Engineering Center's Computer Program HEC-1 DB using the Modified Puls Method of flood routing was used to evaluate the dam, spillway capacity and downstream hazard.

An HEC-1 computer model for the Mohawk River Basin was published by the New York District Corps of Engineers in a report entitled Upper Hudson and Mohawk River Basins Hydrologic Flood Routing Models, dated October 1976 (Ref. 19). This report was reviewed for the purpose of this investigation and the unit hydrograph parameters presented in that study were adopted for the HEC-1 model developed for this investigation. These hydrograph parameters were determined by regression analysis as part of the Upper Hudson study. The drainage area above East Canada Lake was included in the Upper Hudson and Mohawk River Basin model and was analyzed utilizing two sub-areas. For this investigation, the drainage area was divided into eight sub-areas to model the variability in hydrologic characteristics within the drainage basin. Run-off, routing and flood hydrograph combining was then performed to obtain the flow into the reservoir.

In this analysis, the reservoir pool was assumed to be at the top of flashboards at the start of the storm and outflow through the penstock was assumed to be zero. The flashboards are assumed to fail under flood conditions. For the purposes of this analysis, half of the flashboards were assumed to fail under 2.5 feet of overtopping while the rest were assumed to have failed under 3.5 feet of overtopping. Considering the operating condition of the tainter gate, outflow through this structure was also considered in the analysis. The tainter gate opening was assumed to vary with the accompanying flood heights. The tainter gate was assumed to be opened a third of the way when the flashboards become overtopped by a half a foot and opened a third more for each 3 foot increase in flood height in two equal increments.

The Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) was 18.9 inches according to Hydrometeorological Report (HMR #33) for a 24-hour duration storm, 200 square mile basin. The loss rates used in the PMF analysis were those used in the Transposed Agnes Storm and SPF Analysis published in the Upper Hudson and Mohawk River Basins report. These loss rates incorporated an initial loss of 1.0 inches and a continuous loss rate of 0.075 inches/hour. These assumptions yielded 84 percent runoff from the PMF. The peak for the PMF inflow hydrograph was 117,278 cfs and the 1/2 PMF inflow peak was 58,106 cfs. The storage capacity of the reservoir reduced these peak flows a negligible amount to 117,190 cfs for the PMF and 57,772 for the 1/2 PMF.

5.3 SPILLWAY CAPACITY

The spillway is an uncontrolled ogee shaped weir 273 feet in length with a discharge capacity of 51,230 cfs at the top of dam elevation, assuming failure of the flashboards. The tainter gate system is in operating condition and could give an additional discharge capacity of 4,910 cfs at the top of dam elevation, resulting in a total spillway system discharge capacity of 56,140 cfs.

SPILLWAY SYSTEM CAPACITY

<u>FLOOD</u>	<u>PEAK DISCHARGE</u>	<u>CAPACITY AS % OF FLOOD DISCHARGE</u>
PMF	117,190 cfs	48%
1/2 PMF	57,772 cfs	97%

5.4 RESERVOIR CAPACITY

The reservoir storage capacity was estimated from the area-capacity curve by Adirondack Power & Light Corporation dated February 2, 1925 (see Appendix C) and available riverbed information at the Beardslee Falls Dam.

The resulting estimates of the reservoir storage capacity are shown below:

Top of Dam	5,865 acre feet
Spillway Crest	2,490 acre feet

5.5 FLOODS OF RECORD

The maximum recorded discharge at USGS gage number 01348000 in East Creek, New York, was 24,000 cfs on October 2, 1945 (Ref. 20, 21). The gage is located 3,000 feet downstream of the dam site and has a drainage area of 291 square miles, whereas the East Canada Lake Dam has a drainage area of 288 square miles. The period of record for this gage is 1945 to present.

5.6 OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL

The HEC-1 DB analysis indicates that the dam will be overtopped by floods in excess of 48 percent of the PMF as follows:

<u>FLOOD</u>	<u>PEAK INFLOW</u>	<u>PEAK OUTFLOW</u>	<u>MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM</u>
PMF	117,278 cfs	117,190 cfs	4.9 feet
1/2 PMF	58,106 cfs	57,772 cfs	0.09 feet

A dam break analysis was performed to determine the significance of various dam failures on the downstream hazard. This analysis was performed with the 1/2 PMF assuming the earthen embankment to fail at the maximum elevation resulting from the 1/2 PMF. The various scenarios of dam failure investigated covered a range of both breach sizes and failure times to develop the full breach. The flood elevations, due to various dam failures, and the flood elevations that would exist just before the corresponding dam break induced flood wave are shown below. These flood elevations are compared at the downstream hazard area, just before the creek reaches Route 5.

FLOOD ELEVATIONS AT DOWNSTREAM HAZARD

<u>Bottom Width of Breach</u>	<u>Failure Time</u>	<u>Just Prior to Dam Break</u>	<u>Due to Dam Break</u>
50 ft.	0.5 hrs.	331.6	338.4
50 ft.	2 hrs.	331.6	334.8
50 ft.	5 hrs.	331.6	333.1
130 ft.	0.5 hrs.	331.6	342.3
130 ft.	2 hrs.	331.6	337.2
130 ft.	5 hrs.	331.6	333.8
260 ft.	0.5 hrs.	331.6	346.4
260 ft.	2 hrs.	331.6	337.7
260 ft.	5 hrs.	331.6	334.3

The above elevations were estimated from USGS quad sheets. These elevations are not exact and their significance is in the difference between the elevations for the flood levels with and without the dam failure. This analysis indicates that the flood heights would be increased from a flood height of 15.6 feet before the dam failure to a range of 17 to 30 feet due to the dam failure, depending on the particular parameters of the

failure. A few residences in this area appear to be sited between the approximate elevations of 330 to 340. Therefore, this flood depth increase could significantly increase the hazard to loss of life due to a dam failure under this condition. Also, the Route 5 bridge spanning the creek could be jeopardized by a dam failure.

5.7 EVALUATION

Hydrologic/hydraulic analysis performed in accordance with the Corps of Engineers' Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams indicates that the earthen embankment will be overtopped by flood flows in excess of 48 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The earthen embankment will be overtopped by 4.9 feet and 0.09 feet by the PMF and 1/2 PMF, respectively. A dam break analysis indicates that failure of the dam under the 1/2 PMF will increase the downstream flood levels on the order of 1.5 to 15 feet, depending on the particular scenario of the dam failure. Failure of the dam during the 1/2 PMF event would significantly increase the downstream hazard from that which would exist just prior to failure of the dam. The spillway capacity, therefore, is assessed as "seriously inadequate" and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. Visual Observations

The Beardslee Falls Dam structure, constructed to impound water for the generation of hydroelectric power, consists of an earthen embankment (dam) section and a concrete spillway section. The dam extends in an approximately east-west direction, with the reservoir impounded against the north side. The ogee-shaped spillway forms the westerly-most segment of the dam. A tainter gate and headgate house comprise part of the right (westerly) abutment of the spillway. A penstock running from the headgate house to a surge tank some distance downstream of the dam is located along the west bank. This penstock has much of its cross-section situated above the area's original ground surface, but is covered with a limited thickness of earth, presumably to provide protection for the penstock material.

At the time of the inspection, flashboards were in place on the spillway and the reservoir level was below the top of these flashboards. The downstream face of the spillway and the abutments were examined "in the dry." The spillway appears to be founded on rock and the surface of the downstream apron area is exposed bedrock. The rock beneath the toe in the area near the left abutment is weathered, but no signs of underdam seepage were noted. No indications of structural instability were revealed by the inspection observations, such as structural cracking or signs of structural movement. Some surface cracking and spalling were noted as having occurred in the abutments and west training wall. The surface of parts of the spillway's downstream face has noticeably deteriorated. This facing apparently is a gunite or shotcrete surface applied subsequent to the original construction.

Generally, the crest area and downstream slope of the earthen embankment section of the dam structure is heavily overgrown with brush and trees. The brush cover interferes with observations important to judging certain structural aspects of the dam, such as alignment. However, of observations possible, no indication of embankment movements or sloughing, nor indications of seepage, were noted. Some small animal burrows were observed in the embankment. A segment of the downstream slope, near the spillway, has been provided with a cover of crushed rock. The need for this crushed rock cover was not apparent, as there were no indications of sloughing or seepage in this zone of the embankment.

b. Design and Construction Data

Design drawings dated 1924 indicate the plan alignment, elevation sections and cross-sections for the original construction. Copies of these plans, by Viele, Blackwell and Buck, New York, New York, are included in Appendix G. The plans show the earthen embankment section of the dam structure to be about 740 feet in length with a maximum height of 60 feet; the concrete spillway and tainter gate structure is approximately 300 feet

in length with a maximum height of about 35 feet. The earthen embankment dam section is provided with a stub concrete cut-off wall which extends 2 feet into the site's bedrock and 5 feet vertically into the constructed embankment. The plans also show that a hydraulically placed puddle core comprises the center section of the embankment. During construction, a timber and earth crib approximately 175 feet long and some 35 to 40 feet high was established across the location of the site's original creek bed near the present center of the dam. This crib apparently was covered with rockfill for additional stability before being incorporated into the downstream zone of the finished earth embankment section of the dam.

No stability analysis, or information on the strength properties of the foundation and dam materials, are indicated on the design plans.

Studies performed in 1967 by the engineering firm of Uhl, Hall and Rich to evaluate stability for the condition when the dam impounds the normal reservoir pool have been made available. For the normal pool and normal pool plus seismic effects cases, the analysis indicated adequate stability for the spillway section and the embankment section.

c. Operating Records

No operating records for this facility have been made available.

d. Post Construction Changes

There is no field evidence or other information available to indicate significant post-construction structural changes to the dam structure. Post-construction work undertaken to maintain the original structure has been performed, however, including the spillway face resurfacing and the installation of the crushed rock slope protection, discussed in (a) above.

e. Seismic Stability

The bedding of the rock is essentially close to horizontal as seen at the dam toe. Bedding thicknesses range from 1-1/2 to 10 inches with an average of 6-8 inches. Minor warping is present. On the right-hand downstream side, folding is obvious. Cameron (1969) considers the warping to be due to differential compaction over clays. More likely, the folding is related to the faulting which had occurred in the immediate area.

Joints are common throughout the downstream exposures. The joint trends and their spacing are as follows:

<u>Strike</u>	<u>Dip</u>	<u>Spacing</u>
N60E	about vertical	5-16 inches
N72W	about vertical	12 inches
N25E	about vertical	28-39 inches
N35W	about vertical	10 feet

The trends of these joint systems suggest that some are probably due to shear. A number of large faults are known to exist in the vicinity of the dam, the closest being less than one-quarter mile southeast of the dam. The reservoir site is located on a horst, an uplifted fault block. The dam is close to the eastern fault of the horst. The western fault, bounding the horst, is about 2 miles west of the dam.

The area is located within Zone 2 of the Seismic Probability Map. Earthquakes on record for the area are tabulated below:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Intensity</u> <u>Modified Mercalli</u>	<u>Location</u> <u>Relative to Dam</u>
1840	V-VI	13 miles W
1933	IV	2 miles SE
1952	V	12 miles E

6.2 STRUCTURAL STABILITY ANALYSIS

Plans included in Appendix G show the plan alignment and cross-section for the dam, but do not include specific engineering information on the properties of the dam and foundation material, nor stability analysis. Studies performed in 1967 evaluated the stability of the spillway and earthen embankment for the reservoir at a normal operations level (Appendix F). As part of the present study, stability evaluations have been performed for the dam's spillway section. Actual properties of the dam's construction materials and foundations were not determined as part of this study; where information on properties was necessary for computations, but lacking, assumptions felt to be practical were made. The stability computations assumed a structural cross-section based on dimensions indicated in the plans included in this report. It should be considered that, in areas where deterioration has occurred, the section dimensions would be less than indicated by the plans with some adverse effect on the structural strength and stability. The analysis also assumed the dam section to be monolithic possessing necessary internal resistance to shear and bending stresses which develop as a result of loadings.

The results of the stability computations indicate satisfactory stability for the spillway section against overturning and sliding effects for the cases of: (i) the reservoir elevation at the normal summertime pool level, (ii) the reservoir at the 1/2 PMF level, (iii) the normal spillway pool with winter ice effects, and (iv) the normal summer pool with seismic effects. The stability computations are presented in Appendix E and the results of these computations are summarized in the table on the next page.

For the PMF conditions, the analysis indicates a slightly inadequate stability against overturning when evaluated by the criteria suggested by the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams (i.e., where the resultant of the forces acting on the dam is located outside the middle third of the base, tensile stresses would develop in the dam section, a

RESULTS OF STABILITY COMPUTATIONS

	<u>Loading Condition</u>	<u>Factor of Safety*</u>		<u>Location of Resultant Passing through Base***</u>
		<u>Overturning</u>	<u>Sliding**</u>	
(1)	Water level at top of flashboards elevation, uplift on base (no ice)	1.76	11.7	0.41b
(2)	Water level at spillway elevation, uplift on base plus 7.5 kips per lineal foot ice load	1.69	13.1	0.39b
(3)	Water levels against upstream face and downstream face based on 1/2 PMF elevations, uplift same as Case 1	1.58	7.8	0.35b
(4)	Water level against upstream face and downstream face based on PMF elevations, uplift same as Case 1	1.48	6.7	0.31b
(5)	Water level at top of flashboards elevation, uplift on base, seismic effects applicable to Zone 2	1.60	9.7	0.37b

* These factors of safety indicate the ratio of moments resisting overturning to those moments causing overturning, and the ratio of forces resisting sliding to those causing sliding. Upstream and downstream water levels were obtained from hydrologic/hydraulic analysis.

** As determined applying the shear-friction method.

*** Indicated in terms of dam's base dimension, b, measured from the toe of the dam.

a condition which is structurally undesirable). However, a somewhat conservative analysis criteria has been applied in this analysis, the effect being that the true resistance against overturning should be slightly greater than computed. The spillway section analyzed is the tallest (most severe) section with no benefit given to integration with the adjacent shorter, more stable zones of spillway. Also, some tensile strength can develop in a concrete base section while some bond to resist tensile effects can also develop between a concrete base and the foundation rock. In considering these practical factors, it is felt that for the PMF condition the spillway possesses a marginal but adequate factor of safety against overturning.

Critical to the analysis and resulting indication of stability are the items of uplift water pressure acting on the base of the dam and the relative permeability of the site's foundation rock. For the "normal operating conditions" case, the analysis uplift force was based on full headwater hydrostatic pressure acting on the dam's upstream corner and a zero tailwater hydrostatic pressure acting on the dam's downstream corner. Uplift pressures were assumed to vary linearly between the dam's upstream and downstream corners and to act upon 100 percent of the dam base.

Uplift as computed for the normal operating condition was also assigned to the flood conditions studied, assuming that uplift pressures would not increase significantly over a relatively short flood stage time period because of an expected low foundation rock permeability.

The earthen embankment section of the dam structure appears to be in stable condition with no requirement for remedial structural work.

Although the field inspection and stability studies indicate the dam to be structurally adequate when subjected to the loading cases studied, the inspection also indicates that a need for maintenance exists. Deteriorated surfaces across the spillway section should be rebuilt to the original dimensions with new concrete. Deteriorating concrete and rock materials comprising the foundation zone at the toe of the spillway should also be rebuilt. Trees and heavy brush should be removed from the crest area and downstream face of the embankment section to: prevent the possibility of trees being uprooted during a storm/flood occurrence and leading to embankment washout, to enable erosion resistant grasses and low brush to thrive, but, importantly, to provide an embankment face and downstream toe area which is easily accessible so that signs of seepage or other occurrences which could be indicating the need for structural correction are detected during normal maintenance inspections. The general area of the embankment which has received the crushed rock cover is a location which should be singled out for such sentinel inspections.

SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. Safety

The Phase I Inspection of the East Canada Lake Dam (Beardslee) did not indicate conditions which would constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property.

The stability analysis indicates satisfactory stability for all loading conditions investigated.

The hydrologic/hydraulic analysis indicates that the dam will be overtopped by flood flows in excess of 48 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The dam will be overtopped by 4.9 feet and 0.09 feet by the PMF and 1/2 PMF, respectively. Failure of the dam during the 1/2 PMF event would significantly increase the downstream hazard from that which would exist just prior to failure of the dam. The spillway capacity, therefore, is assessed as seriously inadequate and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

The following specific safety assessment is based on the Phase I visual examination, analysis of hydrology/hydraulics and structural stability analysis.

1. Both the crest and the downstream slope of the earthfill section of the dam are heavily overgrown with trees and brush.
2. Woodchuck burrows were detected in the downstream slope of the dam.
3. The concrete gunite surface of the spillway section is heavily deteriorated.
4. The concrete and rock materials comprising the foundation zone of the toe of the spillway are deteriorated.
5. No formal inspection program is in effect at the facility.
6. No warning system is in effect to alert the public should conditions occur which could result in failure of the dam.

b. Adequacy Information

The information available is adequate for this Phase I investigation.

c. Urgency

The Owner should immediately implement a program of surveillance during heavy run-off conditions. Within three months, a flood warning and emergency evacuation plan should be implemented. The remaining items set forth in the safety assessment should be addressed by the Owner, and

appropriate improvements and repairs should be performed within one year of this modification. The recommended investigations should begin within three months. Remedial work determined by the investigations should be completed within 18 months.

d. Need for Additional Investigation

A detailed hydrologic/hydraulic investigation should be undertaken to determine the specific site characteristics of the watershed and their effect upon the overtopping potential of the dam. The results of these investigations will determine the appropriate remedial measures which will be required to achieve adequate spillway capacity. The remedial measures should be completed within 18 months. In the interim, a detailed emergency action plan must be developed and implemented during periods of unusually heavy precipitation. Also, around-the-clock surveillance of the structure must be provided during these periods.


7.2 RECOMMENDED MEASURES

The following is a list of recommended measures to be undertaken to insure safety of this facility.

1. Trees and brush should be removed from the slopes of the embankment and a suitable sod cover re-established to allow for inspection of the facility.
2. Woodchucks should be eliminated from the facility and the burrows filled.
3. Deteriorated concrete and gunite on the spillway section and at the toe of the spillway should be repaired.
4. A formalized inspection system should be initiated to develop data on the conditions and maintenance operations at the facility.
5. A flood warning and emergency evacuation system should be implemented to alert the public in the event that conditions occur which could result in failure of the dam.

1

APPENDIX A
PHOTOGRAPHS




2. TAINTER GATE AND
GATE HOUSE



3. GATEHOUSE AND TRASH
RACKS FROM UPSTREAM



4. TRAINING WALL AT
RIGHT ABUTMENT




5. SPILLWAY SECTION
SHOWING DETERIORATED
GUNITE SURFACE



6. VIEW OF SPILLWAY FROM
LEFT ABUTMENT



7. UNDERCUT AREA OF
FOUNDATION BENEATH
TOE OF SPILLWAY.
NOTE: DETERIORATED
GUNITE SURFACE AT TOE
OF SPILLWAY



8. VIEW OF SPILLWAY FROM
RIGHT ABUTMENT



9. ROCK FILL DOWNSTREAM
SLOPE AT SITE OF OLD
TIMBER CRIB DAM



10. EARTHFILL SECTION OF
DAM



11. RAILROAD BRIDGE
MAINLINE OF CONRAIL -
DOWNSTREAM HAZARD



12. DOWNSTREAM HAZARD
NOTE: RESIDENCE AT
CENTER RIGHT OF PHOTO

APPENDIX B

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

1) Basic Data

a. General

Name of Dam EAST CANADA LAKE DAM (BEARDSLEE)
Fed. I.D. # NY 201 DEC Dam No. _____
River Basin MOHAWK RIVER
Location: Town Manheim County HERKIMER & MONTGOMERY
Stream Name EAST CANADA CREEK
Tributary of MOHAWK RIVER
Latitude (N) 43°02' Longitude (W) 74° 45'
Type of Dam EARTH FILL
Hazard Category HIGH
Date(s) of Inspection MAY 8, 1981
Weather Conditions FAIR
Reservoir Level at Time of Inspection 494.3

b. Inspection Personnel F. W. BYSLEWSKI, J. A. GOMEZ, D. E. MCCARTHY
H. MUSKATT - DRAE ENGINEERING COMPANY, P. LEVETT NIAGRA MOHAWK.

c. Persons Contacted (Including Address & Phone No.) _____
NIAGRA - MOHAWK CORPORATION
300 ERIE BLVD. WEST TELEPHONE 315-474-1511
SYRACUSE N.Y. 13202
ENGINEER ROBERT J. LEVETT

d. History:

Date Constructed 1924 Date(s) Reconstructed ~
Designer VIELE 'BLACKWELL' BUCK ENGINEERS NEW YORK
Constructed By ~
Owner ADIRONDACK POWER AND LIGHT CORP.

2) Embankment

a. Characteristics

- (1) Embankment Material ZONED EARTH
- (2) Cutoff Type REINFORCED CONCRETE WALL 5' HIGH
- (3) Impervious Core CLAY PUDDLE CORE
- (4) Internal Drainage System NONE
- (5) Miscellaneous ~

b. Crest

- (1) Vertical Alignment NO IRREGULARITY DETECTED
- (2) Horizontal Alignment UNIFORM.
- (3) Surface Cracks NONE OBSERVED.
- (4) Miscellaneous ~ OVERGROWN WITH TREES & BRUSH.

c. Upstream Slope

- (1) Slope (Estimate) (V:H) VARIES SEE PLANS.
- (2) Undesirable Growth or Debris, Animal Burrows TREES & BRUSH
- (3) Sloughing, Subsidence or Depressions NONE OBSERVED

(4) Slope Protection RIP RAP GOOD CONDITION

(5) Surface Cracks or Movement at Toe NOT OBSERVED
DUE TO WATER IN IMPOUNDMENT.

d. Downstream Slope

(1) Slope (Estimate - V:H) VARIES (SEE PLANS)

(2) Undesirable Growth or Debris, Animal Burrows WOODCHUCK HOLES
HEAVILY OVERGROWN WITH TREES & BRUSH.

(3) Sloughing, Subsidence or Depressions NONE OBSERVED

(4) Surface Cracks or Movement at Toe NONE OBSERVED

(5) Seepage IMPOUNDED WATER
WET AREA, ✓ AT TOE OF SLOPE AT LOCATION OF
OLD STREAM CHANNEL

(6) External Drainage System (Ditches, Trenches; Blanket) NONE

(7) Condition Around Outlet Structure N/A.

(8) Seepage Beyond Toe SEE (5) above.

e. Abutments - Embankment Contact

HEAVILY OVERGROWN.

(1) Erosion at Contact NONE OBSERVED

(2) Seepage Along Contact NONE OBSERVED

3) Drainage System

a. Description of System NONE

b. Condition of System ~

c. Discharge from Drainage System ~

4) Instrumentation (Monumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, Piezometers, Etc.)

NONE

3-15-3(9/80)

5) Reservoir

- a. Slopes MODERATE
- b. Sedimentation NO INFORMATION
- c. Unusual Conditions Which Affect Dam NONE

6) Area Downstream of Dam

- a. Downstream Hazard (No. of Homes, Highways, etc.) PTS, CONTAIL
MAIN LINE, GENERATING STATION, 1 RESIDENCE.
- b. Seepage, Unusual Growth NONE OBSERVED
- c. Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam NONE OBSERVED
- d. Condition of Downstream Channel NO RECENT EROSION NOTED

7) Spillway(s) (Including Discharge Conveyance Channel)

- CONCRETE OGEE SHAPED
- a. General SHOTCRETE SURFACE - DETERIORATED AND
SPALLED EXPOSING ORIGINAL DETERIORATED CONCRETE.
- b. Condition of Service Spillway GOOD ALIGNMENT, NO
SIGNS OF STRUCTURAL INABILITY.

c. Condition of Auxiliary Spillway None

d. Condition of Discharge Conveyance Channel NO RECENT EROSION

8) Reservoir Drain/Outlet

Type: Pipe 12' PENSTOCK Conduit _____ Other 20' WIDE TAILRATER GATE

Material: Concrete _____ Metal ☒ Other _____

Size: 12' Length 2000 ft ±

Invert Elevations: Entrance PENSTOCK 476.75 Exit PENSTOCK 345.5 @ POWERHOUSE

Physical Condition (Describe): _____ Unobservable _____

Material: TAILRATER - STEEL GOOD CONDITION

Joints: NO LEAKAGE Alignment _____

Structural Integrity: GOOD - NO KNOWN PROBLEMS

Hydraulic Capability: COMPUTE

Means of Control: Gate ☒ Valve _____ Uncontrolled _____

Operation: Operable ☒ Inoperable _____ Other _____

Present Condition (Describe): GOOD

9) Structural — SPILLWAY

a. Concrete Surfaces ORIGINAL ^{SPILLWAY} CONCRETE HEAVILY DEGRADED
SHOTCRETE SURFACING DEGRADED, SPALLED

b. Structural Cracking NONE OBSERVED

c. Movement - Horizontal & Vertical Alignment (Settlement) _____

NONE OBSERVED

d. Junctions with Abutments or Embankments OK

e. Drains - Foundation, Joint, Face ~~OK~~

SOME DETRIMENT AT TOP OF SPILLWAY
BOTH CONCRETE, AND ROCK FOUNDATION

f. Water Passages, Conduits, Sluices NONE

g. Seepage or Leakage NONE OBSERVED

h. Joints - Construction, etc. OK

i. Foundation See 2.

j. Abutments GOOD

k. Control Gates ~~SEE~~ NONE

l. Approach & Outlet Channels APPROACH - IMPOUNDMENT

m. Energy Dissipators (Plunge Pool, etc.) ~

n. Intake Structures GOOD CONDITION

o. Stability NO EVIDENCE OF UNSTABILITY NOTED

p. Miscellaneous ~

10) Appurtenant Structures (Power House, Lock, Gatehouse, Other)

a. Description and Condition _____


POWER HOUSE IS REMOTE FROM DAM.

11) Operation Procedures (Lake Level Regulation):

FLASH BOARDS PLACED DURING SUMMER
TO 7' ABOVE SPILLWAY CREST. TO MAINTAIN
OPTIMUM LEVEL FOR POWER GENERATING
PURPOSES.

APPENDIX C

HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC, ENGINEERING DATA AND COMPUTATIONS



BEARDSLEE FALLS DAM



LEGEND

--- WATERSHED AREA
--- SUB AREA

SCALE 1:250,000

DRAINAGE BASIN

**STETSON • DALE**BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800**DESIGN BRIEF**

2

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections 1981 DATE _____

SUBJECT Beardslee Falls Dam PROJECT NO 2430

Clark Hydrologic Parameters DRAWN BY FCM

Subarea	Storage Area		TC (hr)	R (hr)	STRTQ (cfs)	QPCSN (cfs)	RT (hr)
	Area (mi ²)	(% ± 1.0)					
1	38.50	1.65	11.82	8.57	49	400	1.3
2	61.45	2.78	14.35	13.80	85	625	1.3
3	54.20	1.07	11.80	6.46	74	550	1.3
4	15.40	2.84	9.76	11.32	13	150	1.3
5	12.20	3.30	9.12	12.27	5	120	1.3
6	46.25	1.67	12.43	8.90	61	470	1.3
7	41.00	7.30	10.37	27.39	53	420	1.3
8	19.00	1.76	9.94	8.07	21	200	1.3

The following parameters from "Upper Hudson + Mohawk River Basins Hydrologic Flood Routing Models" - Corps of Engineers.

$$(TC + R) = 7.52 A^{0.215} * St^{0.415} \quad \text{Eqn. 5.3 a}$$

$$R = 3.30 A^{0.155} * St^{0.775} \quad \text{Eqn. 5.3 b}$$

STRTQ from Fig. 5.1

QPCSN from Fig. 5.3

% IMPERVIOUS is essentially a function of land area as indicated in above Storage Area

Subarea

% IMPERVIOUS

1

3.65%

2

1.78

3

0.07

4

1.84

5

2.3

6

0.67

7

6.3

8

1.76

**STETSON • DALE**BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501

TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

3

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections 1981 DATE _____
SUB CT Beardslee Falls PROJECT NO. 2520
Depth-Area-Duration DRAWN BY JAG

FMP FROM HMR #33
FOR Lat. ~ 43° 1.5' Long. ~ 74° 44.7'
Index Rainfall = 18.9" FOR 200 mi², 24 hr.
Zone 1

<u>DURATION</u>	<u>% Index*</u>	<u>Depth</u>
6 hrs.	70%	13.25"
12 hrs.	84	15.9
24 hrs	96	18.15
48 hrs	101	19.1

* Adjusted for site area of 288 mi²



STETSON • DALE

BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

4

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections - 1981 DATE _____
 SUBJECT E. Canada Lake PROJECT NO. _____
Spillway Rating Curve DRAWN BY 4/4

Crest Eleu. = 491.5

Length = 273'

Top of Left embankment ~ Eleu. 508

Top of Right concrete wall ~ Eleu. 506.5

In Summer flashboards are installed to Eleu. 498.5 (7' high). These are normally designed to fail under ~ 2' to 3' of overtopping. Therefore half of flashboards are assumed to fail at a headwater eleu. of 501 and all are assumed to fail @ h.w. eleu. of 502. When flashboards are in place $Q_1 = C L H_1^{3/2}$ where $C \sim 3.32$ for rock flashboards and H_1 is measured above 498.5 (top of flashboards). After flashboards fail, $Q_2 = C L H_2^{3/2}$ where $C \sim 2.8$ for spillway (Ref.: "Handbook of Hydraulics" - King & Brater) and H_2 is measured above the spillway crest @ Eleu. 491.5.

Eleu.	H_1	H_2	Q_1	Q_2	Q_{spillway}
498.5			0	0	0
499	0.5'		320	0	320 cfs
500	1.5		1665	0	1665
501	2.5	9.5	1792	11,191	12,983
502		10.5'	0	26,010	26,010
504		12.5	0	33,780	33,780
505		13.5	0	37,915	37,915
506.5		15	0	44,410	44,410
508		16.5	0	51,230	51,230
510		18.5	0	60,825	60,825
512		20.5	0	70,950	70,950
514		22.5	0	81,580	81,580
516		24.5	0	92,700	92,700



STETSON • DALE

BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501

TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections - 1981 DATE _____

SUBJECT E. Canada Lake (Beardslee Falls) PROJECT NO _____

Flow Through Tainter Gate Opening DRAWN BY JA

Crest of Tainter Gate Opening = 487.5

Top of Tainter Gate in Closed Position = 490.5

Assumptions: for operation of gate under flood conditions:

Headwater Elev.	Bottom of Tainter Gate	Top of Gate
499	491 1/3 open	501.5
502	494.5 2/3 open	503.1
505	498 fully open	503.9

Flow may pass through the gate opening and over top of gate.

Flow thru gates (pressure flow) Q_1

$$Q_1 = \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2g} C L (H_1^{3/2} - H_2^{3/2})$$

"C" from Fig. 2.57

Design of Smo. ...

Flow over gate

$$Q_2 = C L H^{3/2}$$

C ~ 3.3

H.W. Elev.	H ₁	H ₂	d	d/H ₁	C	Q ₁	Q ₂	Q _{TAINTER}
499	11.5	8	3.5	0.30	0.688	1205	0	1205 cfs
500	12.5	9	3.5	0.28	0.691	1271	0	1271
501	13.5	10	3.5	0.26	0.693	1333	0	1333
502	14.5	7.5	7	0.48	0.668	2478	0	2478
504	16.5	9.5	7	0.43	0.674	2722	56	2778
505	17.5	7	10.5	0.60	0.656	3839	76	3915
506.5	19	8.5		0.55	0.661	4105	277	4382
508	20.5	10		0.51	0.666	4361	548	4909
510	22.5	12		0.47	0.669	4664	997	5658
512	24.5	14		0.43	0.674	4968	1521	6490
514	26.5	16		0.40	0.677	5246	2118	7364
516	28.5	18		0.37	0.68	5514	2778	8292



STETSON • DALE BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections - 1-181 DATE _____
 SUBJECT E. Canada Lake PROJECT NO. _____
Combined Discharge Capacity
Through Tainter Gate Opening & Spillway
Under Assumed Operation of Facility
Under Flood Conditions DRAWN BY JAG

<u>Elev.</u>	<u>Q_s</u>	<u>Q_{tg}</u>	<u>Q_{total}</u>
498.5	0	0 cfs	0 cfs
499	320 cfs	1205	1525
500	1665	1271	2935
501	12,983	1333	14,315
502	26,010	2478	28,490
504	33,780	2778	36,560
505	37,915	3915	41,830
506.5	44,410	4382	48,790
508	51,230	4909	56,140
510	60,825	5658	66,485
512	70,950	6490	77,440
514	81,580	7364	88,945
516	92,700	8292	100,990

For headwater heights in excess of 506.5 the right concrete non-overlapped wall will be overtopped
 $Q_w = CLH^{3/2}$; $L = 200'$ $C = 2.65$, therefore for
 HEC-1 Analysis Q_T & Q_w will be added and
 Flow CORR earth embankment will be handled
 on SD card

<u>Elev</u>	<u>Q_w</u>	<u>Q_T</u>	<u>Q_{HEC}</u>
508	975 cfs	56,140	57,115 cfs
510	3470	66,485	69,955
512	6835	77,440	84,275
514	10,885	88,945	99,830
516	15,520	100,990	116,510



STETSON • DALE

BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800


DESIGN BRIEF

7

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections DATE _____

SUBJECT Paradee Falls Dam PROJECT NO. 3030

Reservoir Drain Discharge Rating DRAWN BY P.M.



Tainter Gate

Compute flows with Tainter gate fully open.

upstream elevation. $Q = CLH^{3/2}$ $L = 20 \text{ Ft.}$ Assume $C = 2.8$

$$Q = 2.8(20)(4)^{3/2} = 448 \text{ cfs}$$

For Headwater at top of Dam Elev. 508,
flow passes through gate opening and over
top of gate (see Calculation sheet 5)

$$Q = 4910 \text{ cfs}$$

**STETSON • DALE**BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800**DESIGN BRIEF**


PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections - 1981 DATE _____

SUBJECT East Canada Lake PROJECT NO. _____

Stage - Storage Relationship DRAWN BY _____

<u>Elev</u>	<u>Area (A.C.F.)</u>	<u>Area (Ac)</u>	<u>OVOL (Ac-ft)</u>	<u>Elev</u> <u>Ac-ft</u>
443	0	0	5	0
445	0.1	2.3	28.8	0
450	0.4	9.2	68.9	32.5
455	0.8	18.4	130.6	101.7
460	1.3	29.3	172.1	212.5
465	1.7	39.0	223.8	327.4
470	2.3	50.5	287.0	461.2
475	2.8	64.3	355.9	611.2
480	3.4	78.1	442.0	780.1
485	4.3	90.1	576.8	1000.1
490	5.75	132.0	207.5	1217.6
495	6.3	144.6	558.4	2488
495	7.6	174.5	958.5	3240
500	9.1	208.9	1481	4000
505	10.75	246.8	220.6	5440
508	11.35	260.6	341.9	5860
510	12.25	281.2	1541	6408
515	14.6	335.2		7749

Area - Capacity Curve






STETSON • DALE

BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501

TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Lam Inspections - 1981 DATE _____
SUBJECT E. Canaan Lake PROJECT NO. _____
to PMF US. Outflow DRAWN BY J. J.



CHECK LIST FOR DAMS
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC
ENGINEERING DATA

AREA-CAPACITY DATA:

	<u>Elevation</u> (ft.)	<u>Surface Area</u> (acres)	<u>Storage Capacity</u> (acre-ft.)
1) Top of Dam	<u>508</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>5865</u>
2) Design High Water (Max. Design Pool)	<u>N/A</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
3) Auxiliary Spillway Crest - <u>Tainter Gate</u>	<u>487.5</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>1950</u>
4) Pool Level with Flashboards	<u>498.5</u>	<u>198</u>	<u>3700</u>
5) Service Spillway Crest	<u>491.5</u>	<u>145</u>	<u>2490</u>

DISCHARGES

	<u>Volume</u> (cfs)
1) Average Daily	<u>635</u>
2) Spillway @ Maximum High Water (<u>Top of Dam</u>)	<u>51230</u>
3) Spillway @ Design High Water	<u>N/A</u>
4) <u>Tainter Gate @</u> Spillway Crest Elevation	<u>450</u>
5) Low Level Outlet - <u>Tainter Gate w/ water level @ Top of Dam</u>	<u>4910</u>
6) Total (of all facilities) @ Maximum High Water	<u>57,340 *</u>
7) Maximum Known Flood	<u>24,000</u>
8) At Time of Inspection	<u>Unknown - flow through hydro-power facility</u>

* includes 1200 cfs through hydro-power system

CREST:

ELEVATION: 508Type: Earth with concrete core wallWidth: 55 ft ± Length: 900 ft ±Spillover Concrete gravity SpillwayLocation To Right of earthen embankment

SPILLWAY:

PRINCIPAL (Tainter Gate)

EMERGENCY

<u>487.5</u>	Elevation	<u>491.5</u>
<u>Concrete Gravity with</u>	Type	<u>Concrete Gravity</u>
<u>tainter gate control</u>		<u>age shaped downstream face</u>
<u>20 ft</u>	Width	<u>2.73 ft</u>

Type of Control

Uncontrolled

Controlled:

<u>Tainter Gate</u>	Type	<u>Flashboards</u>
	(Flashboards; gate)	

<u>1</u>	Number	<u>Full length of Spillway</u>
<u>20' wide, 11' high</u>	Size/Length	<u>7' high maximum</u>
<u>Concrete</u>	Invert Material	<u>Concrete</u>

Anticipated Length
of operating service

Chute Length

 Height Between Spillway Crest
& Approach Channel Invert
(Weir Flow)
N/A18.5'

HYDROMETEROLOGICAL GAGES:

Type : USGS # 01348000

Location: 3000 ft downstream of Dam - East Creek, NY

Records: 1945 through Present

Date - Oct. 2, 1945

Max. Reading - 24,000 cfs

FLOOD WATER CONTROL SYSTEM:

Warning System: None at Present

Method of Controlled Releases (mechanisms):

Through hydropower system (12" ϕ penstock)
and through 20' wide x 11' high tainter gate

DRAINAGE AREA: 288 SQ. Mi.

DRAINAGE BASIN RUNOFF CHARACTERISTICS:

Land Use - Type: Predominately agricultural with a few interspersed towns.

Terrain - Relief: Moderate to steeply sloped hills.

Surface - Soil: Not Known

Runoff Potential (existing or planned extensive alterations to existing
(surface or subsurface conditions)

No extensive alterations to drainage area known
to be planned.

Potential Sedimentation problem areas (natural or man-made; present or future)

Unknown

Potential Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage capacity
including surcharge storage:

None Known

Dikes - Floodwalls (overflow & non-overflow) - Low reaches along the
Reservoir perimeter:

Location: N/A

Elevation: _____

Reservoir:

Length @ Maximum Pool 1.9 ± (Miles)

Length of Shoreline (@ Spillway Crest) 3.8 ± (Miles)

[illegible]

[illegible]

PREVIEW OF SEQUENCE OF STREAM NETWORK CALCULATIONS

RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	100
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	200
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	200
COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS AT	200
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	302
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	300
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	600
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	306
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	700
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	700
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	307
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	800
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	318
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	300
COMBINE 5 HYDROGRAPHS AT	303
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	400
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	400
COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS AT	403
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	504
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	500
COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS AT	500
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	500
END OF NETWORK	

 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 75

RUN DATE: MON, AUG 31 1981
 TIME: 10:46:27

BEARDSLEE FALLS DAM FILE IS ABZK-12
 HEC-1DB (CLARK PARAMETERS)
 0.5 PRE - DAM OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS

JOB SPECIFICATION									
NQ	MNR	NMIN	IDAY	IHR	IMIN	METRC	IPLY	IPRT	NSTAN
90	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
			JOPER	NWT	LROPT	TRACE			
			5	0	0	0			

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED
 NPLAN= 1 NRTIO= 8 LRTIO= 1

RTICS= 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 1.00

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 1

ISTAQ	ICOPP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
1.0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

INYDG	IUNG	TAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	RATIO	ISNOW	ISAME	LOCAL
1	0	38.50	0.00	288.00	0.00	0.000	0	1	0

PRECIP DATA

SPEE	PHS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
0.00	18.90	70.00	84.00	96.00	101.00	0.00	0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.890

LOSS DATA

LROPT	STKR	DLTKP	RTIOL	ERAIN	STRS	RTIOL	STRTL	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

IC= 11.62 R= 8.37 NTA= 0

RECESSION DATA
STRTO= 49.00 QRCN= 400.00 RTIOR= 1.30

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 52 END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG= 10.33 HOURS, CP= 0.66 VOL= 1.00
46. 177. 358. 564. 787. 1019. 1239. 1416. 1538. 1607.
1620. 1559. 1422. 1265. 1126. 1002. 891. 793. 706. 628.
559. 497. 442. 393. 350. 311. 277. 247. 219. 195.
174. 155. 137. 122. 109. 97. 86. 77. 68. 61.
54. 48. 43. 38. 34. 30. 27. 24. 21. 19.
17. 15.

MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS END-OF-PERIOD FLOW MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP.Q
SUM 16.98 14.29 2.69 355060.
(431.)(363.)(68.)(10054.17)

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 2
ISTAQ ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO
200 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
ROUTING DATA
QLOSS CLOSS AVG IRES ISAME IOPT IPMP LSTR
0.0 9.000 0.000 1 1 0 0 0
NSTPS NSTOL LAG AMSKK X TSK STORA ISPRAT
1 0 0 0.000 0.000 -1. 0

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

UN(1) UN(2) UN(3) ELNVT ELMAX RLNTH SEL
1.080 0.035 0.080 1126.0 1160.0 34400. 0.01500

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA=ELEV-STA-ELEV--ETC
100.00 1160.00 160.00 1140.00 300.00 1130.00 310.00 1126.00 350.00 1126.00
360.00 1120.00 430.00 1140.00 490.00 1160.00

STORAGE 0.0 62.84 138.33 236.80 392.33 575.89 817.50 1107.14 1444.36
2192.50 2593.11 3011.43 3447.44 3901.16 4372.57 4861.06 5366.49 5893.00

OUTFLOW	1.00	500.54	1820.74	4089.52	7238.59	11389.59	16642.55	23092.25	30909.81
STAGE	51166.48	63157.77	76375.25	90816.59	106484.06	123383.16	141521.97	160919.44	181559.75
FLOW	1126.07	1127.79	1129.58	1131.37	1133.16	1134.95	1136.74	1138.53	1140.31
	1143.89	1145.68	1147.47	1149.26	1151.05	1152.84	1154.63	1156.42	1158.21
	500.54	508.34	1880.74	4089.52	7238.59	11389.59	16642.55	23092.25	30909.81
	51166.48	63157.77	76375.25	90816.59	106484.06	123383.16	141521.97	160919.44	181559.75

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1131.3

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1132.5

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1133.5

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1135.2

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1135.8

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1136.5

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1137.7

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 2		ISTAQ		ICOMP		IECON		ITAPE		JPLT		JPRY		INAME		ISTAGE		IAUTO	
2.00		0		0		0		0		0		0		1		0		0	

INVDG	IUNG	TAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	RATIO	ISNOW	ISAME	LOCAL
1	0	61.45	0.00	288.00	0.00	0.000	0	1	

HYDROGRAPH DATA				PRECIP DATA			
SPEE	PMS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
0.00	16.90	70.00	84.00	96.00	101.00	0.00	0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.89

LOSS DATA				UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA			
LROPT	STKR	DLTKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STKRS	RTIOL	STIRL
0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00

TC= 14.55 R= 13.50 NTA=

RECESSION DATA
 STRTQ= 05.10 GRCSN= 025.00 RTIOR= 1.30

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 81 END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG= 13.31 HOURS, CP= 0.59 VOL= 1.00

MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q
30.	150.	277.	444.	827.	1033.	1240.
1690.	1769.	1810.	1806.	1736.	1622.	1509.
1129.	1553.	977.	908.	845.	786.	731.
547.	509.	473.	440.	409.	381.	354.
265.	246.	224.	213.	198.	184.	171.
125.	114.	111.	103.	96.	89.	83.
62.	58.	54.	50.	46.	43.	40.
30.	28.	26.	24.	23.	21.	19.
15.						

1576.
1214.
588.
285.
138.
67.
32.
16.

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW
 MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP Q

SUM 16.98 14.32 2.06 547312.
 (431.)(364.)(68.)(15498.13)

COMBINE HYDROGRAPHS

COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS 1+2=2

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAFE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
240	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 3

ICOMP	IECON	ITAFE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

ROUTING DATA

QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IRCS	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP	LSTR
0.00	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0

NSTPS	NSTD	LAG	AMSK	X	TSK	STORA	ISPRAT
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-1.	0

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

UNCLD UNCLD UNCLD ELNVT ELMAX KLNTH SEL
 .0030 0.0350 0.0800 1101.0 1040.0 55200. 0.00500

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV--ETC

101.00 1040.00 380.00 1020.00 440.00 1005.00 450.00 1001.00 510.00 1001.00
 520.00 1035.00 600.00 1020.00 760.00 1040.00

STORAGE	0.00	169.41	365.55	601.11	886.50	1221.72	1606.78	2041.66	2526.37
	3663.99	4382.29	5218.06	6171.22	7241.83	8429.88	9735.38	11158.33	12698.71
OUTFLOW	0.00	613.35	2015.54	4301.21	7331.70	11112.46	15657.03	20983.58	27113.10
	41516.96	50002.64	59709.42	70701.17	83056.33	96855.58	112179.19	129106.16	147713.50
STAGE	1001.00	1003.05	1005.11	1007.16	1009.21	1011.26	1013.32	1015.37	1017.42
	1021.53	1023.58	1025.63	1027.68	1029.74	1031.79	1033.84	1035.89	1037.95
FLOW	0.00	613.35	2015.54	4301.21	7331.70	11112.46	15657.03	20983.58	27113.10
	41516.96	50002.64	59709.42	70701.17	83056.33	96855.58	112179.19	129106.16	147713.50

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1009.8
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1012.0
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1013.8
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1015.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1016.9
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1018.2
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1019.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1021.7

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 3		PRECIP DATA									
INSTAQ	TAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	RATIO	ISNUM	ISAME	ISTAGE	JAUTO	JFRT	INAME
300	54.20	0.00	266.00	0.00	0.00	0	1	0	0	0	0

PRECIP DATA

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 3.890

SPE 0.00 PMS 18.90 R6 70.00 R12 64.00 R24 96.00 R48 101.00 R96 0.00

LOSS DATA
LROPT STRKR OLTKR RTIOL ERAIN STRKS RTIOK STRTL CNSTL ALSMX RTIMP
0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.07 0.00 0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
TC= 11.80 R= 6.46 NTA= C

RECESSION DATA
STRK= 74.00 QRCM= 550.00 RTIOR= 1.30

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 41 END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG= 9.99 HOURS, CP= 0.74 VOL= 1.00
88. 323. 644. 1005. 1385. 1772. 2128. 2397. 2564. 2633.
2602. 2440. 2152. 1843. 1578. 1351. 1157. 991. 849. 727.
622. 533. 456. 391. 335. 287. 245. 210. 180. 154.
132. 113. 97. 83. 71. 61. 52. 45. 38. 33.

MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP Q MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP Q
SUM 16.98 14.28 2.71 503704.
(431.)(363.)(69.)(14263.29)

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 6
JSTA0 6.00 ICOMP 0 IECON 0 ITAPE 0 JPLT 0 JPRT 0 INAME 1 ISTAGE 0 IAU0 0

HYDRO IUNG TAREA SNAP TRSDA TRSPC RATIO ISNOW ISAME LOCAL
1 0 46.25 0.00 268.00 0.00 0.000 0 1 0

PRECIP DATA
SPE 0.00 PMS 18.90 R6 70.00 R12 64.00 R24 96.00 R48 101.00 R96 0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.890

LOSS DATA
LROPT STRKR OLTKR RTIOL ERAIN STRKS RTIOK STRTL CNSTL ALSMX RTIMP
0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.07 0.00 0.01

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TC= 12.45 R= 8.90 NTA= J

RECESSION DATA
 STRTQ= 61.00 GRCSN= 470.00 RTIOR= 1.30

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 54 END-OF-PERIOD ORIGINATES, LAG= 11.04 HOURS, CP= 0.67 VOL= 1.00

51.	191.	385.	609.	851.	1103.	1352.	1565.	1721.	1822.
1865.	1847.	1738.	1567.	1401.	1252.	1118.	999.	893.	798.
713.	637.	570.	509.	455.	406.	363.	325.	250.	259.
232.	207.	185.	165.	148.	132.	118.	105.	96.	84.
75.	67.	60.	54.	48.	43.	38.	34.	31.	27.
24.	22.	20.	17.						

MO.DA MR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS END-OF-PERIOD FLOW MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP Q

SUM 16.98 14.29 2.69 425800.
 (431.)(363.)(68.)(12057.30)

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 3

ISTAG	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRY	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
336	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

ROUTING DATA

QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IRCS	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP	LSTR
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0

NSTPS NSTDL LAG AMSKK X TSK STORA ISPRAT

1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-1.	0
---	---	---	-------	-------	-------	-----	---

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QM(1) QM(2) QM(3) ELNVT ELMAX RLNTH SEL
 0.0800 0.0350 0.0800 1071.0 1100.0 42400. 0.00900

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV--ETC

100.00	1100.00	140.00	1080.00	260.00	1075.00	270.00	1071.00	310.00	1071.00
320.00	1075.00	420.00	1080.00	580.00	1100.00				

STORAGE

0.00	65.09	141.51	235.62	412.42	588.98	1764.89	1494.47	1946.74
2919.29	3459.57	3982.52	4546.14	5136.43	5747.39	6381.02	7037.32	7716.30

UNIT FLOW 35147.40 3553.82 1115.53 2319.48 4164.42 6812.71 10369.25 15205.97 20959.05
 STAGE 1071.00 1072.53 1074.05 1075.58 1077.10 1078.63 1080.16 1081.68 1083.21
 1066.26 1087.79 1089.31 1090.84 1092.37 1093.89 1095.42 1096.94 1098.47
 FLOW 0.00 3553.82 1103.33 2319.48 4184.42 6812.71 10369.25 15205.97 20959.05
 35147.40 43553.82 52827.91 62969.75 73982.09 85869.81 98639.25 112297.88 126854.09

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1077.3

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1078.7

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1079.6

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1080.5

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1081.2

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1081.9

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1082.5

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1083.7

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 7 (CANADA LAKE AREA)

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INARE	ISTAGE	IAUTO
700	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

INHYD6	IUNG	TAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	RATIO	ISNOW	ISAME	LOCAL
1	0	41.00	0.00	288.00	0.50	0.000	0	1	0

PRECIP DATA

SPFE	PMS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
0.00	18.90	70.00	84.00	96.00	101.00	0.00	0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.890

LOSS DATA

LAOPT	STKR	DLTKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STKRS	PTIOK	STRIL	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.07	0.00	0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TC= 10.37 R= 27.39 NTA= L

RECESSION DATA
 STRTG= 53.00 QRCSE= 420.00 RTIUR= 1.30

UNIT HYDROGRAPHIC END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG= 10.50 HOURS, CP= 0.30 VOL= 0.97

MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q	END-OF-PERIOD FLOW	MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q
20.	76.	158.	256.	367.	484.	592.	681.	748.	790.					
794.	770.	743.	716.	690.	665.	642.	619.	596.	575.					
554.	535.	515.	497.	479.	462.	445.	429.	414.	399.					
385.	371.	356.	345.	333.	321.	309.	298.	287.	277.					
267.	258.	248.	239.	231.	223.	215.	207.	199.	192.					
183.	179.	172.	166.	160.	154.	149.	144.	138.	133.					
129.	124.	120.	115.	111.	107.	103.	100.	96.	93.					
89.	86.	83.	80.	77.	74.	72.	69.	67.	64.					
62.	60.	58.	56.	54.	52.	50.	48.	46.	45.					
43.	41.	40.	39.	37.	36.	35.	33.	32.	31.					

SUM 16.98 14.44 2.54 314200.
 (431.)(367.)(65.)(8897.14)

ROUTE THROUGH CANADA LAKE - STEWART'S LANDING DAM

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IRCS	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP	LSTR
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0

ROUTING DATA

QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IRCS	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP	LSTR
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0

STAGE 1542.40 1543.40 1544.40 1545.40 1546.40 1547.40 1548.40 1549.40 1550.40

FLOW 20225.00 26275.00 32100.00 38300.00 44555.00 50810.00 57065.00 63320.00 69575.00

CAPACITY= 3. 925. 4030. 10215. 12260. 14730. 20225. 26760. 34385.

ELEVATION= 1508. 1520. 1530. 1540. 1542. 1545. 1550. 1555. 1560.

CREL SPWD COB COB EXPN ELEV COOL CAREA EXPL

1542.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

DAM DATA

TOPEL 1550.4
 CQOD 2.6
 EXFD 1.5
 DAMWID 360.

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 1227. AT TIME 60.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 1952. AT TIME 65.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 2692. AT TIME 64.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 3439. AT TIME 63.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 4236. AT TIME 63.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 5055. AT TIME 62.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 5878. AT TIME 61.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 7636. AT TIME 60.00 HOURS

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 3
 ISTAT 327
 ICOMP 1
 IECON 0
 ITAPE 0
 JPLT 0
 JPRT 0
 INAME 1
 ISTAGE 0
 IAUTO 0
 ROUTING DATA
 IRES 1
 ISAME 1
 IOPT 0
 IPMP 0
 LSTR 0
 QLOSS 0.0
 CLOSS 0.000
 AVG 0.00
 NSTFS 1
 NSTOL 0
 LAG 0
 AMSKK 0.000
 TSK 0.000
 STORA -1.
 ISPRAT 0

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QW(1) QW(2) QW(3) ELNVT ELMAX RLNTH SEL
 3.0870 0.0350 0.0800 1142.0 1180.0 70400. 0.01200

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA=ELEV,STA=ELEV--ETC
 100.00 1100.00 160.00 1160.00 220.00 1145.00
 260.00 1145.00 340.00 1160.00 390.00 1180.00

STORAGE 0.00 96.97 228.69 409.77 642.42 926.87 1263.03 1657.91 2090.50
 3129.00 3700.20 4322.42 4986.67 5692.93 6441.21 7231.51 8063.84 8938.18

OUTFLOW	35443.23	395.01	1438.08	5171.57	5554.40	6615.70	12389.21	16910.60	22216.31
STAGE	1142.00	1144.00	1146.00	1148.00	1150.00	1152.00	1154.00	1156.00	1158.00
FLOW	35443.23	395.01	1438.08	5171.57	5554.40	6615.70	12389.21	16910.60	22216.31
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	1145.6								
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	1146.6								
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	1147.4								
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	1148.2								
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	1148.9								
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	1149.6								
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	1150.2								
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	1151.3								

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1145.6

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1146.6

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1147.4

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1148.2

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1148.9

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1149.6

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1150.2

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1151.3

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 6	ISTAG	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRI	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
800	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROG	IUMG	YAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	RATIO	ISNOW	ISAME	LOCAL
1	0	19.00	0.00	288.00	0.00	0.000	0	1	0

PRECIP DATA

SPEE	PMS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
0.00	12.90	70.00	84.00	96.00	101.00	0.00	0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.890

LOSS DATA

LROPT	STRR	DLTKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STRKS	RTIOK	STRIL	CNSTL	ALSWA	RTIMP
0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.07	0.00	0.01

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TC= 9.94 R= 8.07 NTA= 0

STAGE	911.0	914.05	915.58	917.10	918.63	920.16	921.68	923.21
	920.26	929.51	930.84	932.37	933.89	935.42	936.95	938.47
FLOW	1.00	1524.49	3135.06	5426.03	8403.28	12156.57	16820.64	22254.00
	35400.19	51604.71	60871.69	70929.91	81790.38	93465.25	105967.11	119309.14

MAXIMUM STAGE IS	914.6
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	915.6
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	916.3
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	917.1
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	917.6
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	918.2
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	918.7
MAXIMUM STAGE IS	919.6

COMBINE HYDROGRAPHS

COMBINE 5 HYDROGRAPHS AT DOLGEVILLE 2+3+6+7+8=3

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPR	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
300	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE OVER DOLGEVILLE DAM

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPR	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
303	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	ROUTING DATA	IOFT	IPMP	LSTR		
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	0	0	0		
NSTPS	NSTD	LAG	AMSK	X	TSK	STORA	TSPRAT	
1	0	0.100	0.000	0.000	0.000	-734.	-1	

STAGE	734.00	735.20	735.60	736.40	737.20	738.40	739.90	740.90
	748.90	754.90	757.90	760.90	763.90	765.90	770.90	775.90

FLOW 323.34.00 501.00 862.00 1577.00 2258.00 3258.00 5308.00 8172.00 15013.00
 42464.00 53511.00 65378.00 91368.00 100656.00 125166.00 151352.00
 CAPACITY= 0. 50. 68. 113. 166. 229. 302. 481. 588.
 1000. 1173. 1366. 1816. 2375. 2951. 3286. 3640. 4030.
 ELEVATION= 734. 736. 738. 740. 742. 744. 746. 750. 752.
 758. 760. 762. 766. 768. 770. 774. 776. 780.

CREL SPWID COBW EXPW ELEV COBL CAPEA EXPL
 734.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0

DAM DATA
 TOPEL COBD EXPD DAMVID
 740.0 2.6 1.5 50.

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 21274. AT TIME 50.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 32108. AT TIME 50.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 42995. AT TIME 50.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 53975. AT TIME 50.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 64965. AT TIME 50.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 76022. AT TIME 50.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 86973. AT TIME 50.00 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 108937. AT TIME 50.00 HOURS

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 4
 ISTAR 4.0 ICOPP 0 IECON 0 ITAPE 0 JPLT 0 JPRT 0 INAME 1 ISTAGE 0 IAUTO 0

INYD6 IUNG TAREA SNAP TRSDA TRSPC RATIO ISNOW ISAME LOCAL
 1 0 15.40 0.00 48.00 0.00 0.000 0 1 0

HYDROGRAPH DATA
 PRECTP DATA
 SPFE PMS R6 R12 R24 R48 R72 R96
 0.00 18.90 70.00 84.00 96.00 101.00 C.00 C.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS --89--

INSTPS	NSTEL	LAG	AMSKM	X	TSK	STORA	ISPRAT
1		0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-662.	-1

STAGE	657.5	655.0	660.0	661.0	661.8	662.0	663.0	664.0	665.8
	667.0	669.0	670.0	672.0	673.0	675.0	677.0	680.0	685.0
FLOW	1.0	1500.0	3000.0	5500.0	7600.0	8200.0	11500.0	14700.0	21000.0
	25400.0	33700.0	38200.0	47800.0	53000.0	64200.0	76200.0	94300.0	127100.0
CAPACITY=	0	350	826	1330	1910	2300	3080	4180	4670
	580	5500	5950	6400	6900	7380	7820	10500	12000
ELEVATION=	634	638	642	646	650	654	657	664	666
	668	670	672	674	676	678	680	685	695

CREL	SPNID	COBW	EXPM	ELEV	COOL	CAREA	EXPL
657.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

DAM DATA			
TOPEL	COQD	EXPD	DAMWID
665.8	2.6	1.5	480

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 22566. AT TIME 51.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 34098. AT TIME 51.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 45642. AT TIME 51.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57273. AT TIME 51.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 60912. AT TIME 51.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 8595. AT TIME 51.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 92243. AT TIME 51.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 115546. AT TIME 51.00 HOURS

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 5									
ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JFRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO	
504	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
ROUTING DATA									
QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IRES	ISAME	IOPT	IFMP	LSTR		
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0		
NSTPS NSTDL									
	1	0	LAG	AMSKK	X	TSK	STORA	ISPRAT	
			0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-1.	0	

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QM(1) QM(2) QM(3) ELMVT ELMAX RLNTH SEL
 0.0800 0.0350 0.0800 509.0 540.0 8490. 0.1000

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA-ELEV, STA-ELEV--ETC
 100.00 540.00 300.00 520.00 380.00 515.00 395.00 509.00 545.00 505.00
 560.00 515.00 800.00 520.00 116.00 540.00

STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OUTFLOW	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STAGE	509.00	510.63	512.26	513.89	515.53	517.16	518.79	520.42	522.05		
	525.31	526.95	528.58	530.21	531.84	533.47	535.10	536.74	538.37		
FLOW	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 2200.0
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 3192.9
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 4.94.8
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 5001.6
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 5910.7
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 6821.5
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 7734.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 9549.9

***** SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION *****
 RUNOFF SUBAREA 5
 ISTAQ 500 JCOMP JECN ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IARTG
 500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PRECIP DATA	
PMS	R
18.90	70.00
	R6
	R12
	R24
	R48
	R72
	R96
	C.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.890

LOSS DATA										
LRPT	STKR	DLTK	RTIOL	ERAIN	STRKS	RTIOK	STRTL	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
0.00	0.00	6.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.07	0.00	0.02

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
TC = 9.12 R = 12.27 NTA = 0

```

STRTO=          S.CC      RECESSION DATA      RTIOR= 1.30
              QWCSN= 120.00

```

UNIT	HYDROGRAPH	70	END-OF-PERIOD	ORDINATES,	LAGE	8.56	HOURS,	CP = 0.48	VOL = 1.00
16.	59.	121.	193.	272.	345.	401.	438.	452.	436.
02.	371.	342.	315.	280.	267.	247.	227.	209.	193.
76.	166.	151.	139.	128.	118.	109.	101.	93.	85.
79.	73.	67.	62.	57.	52.	48.	44.	41.	38.
55.	32.	31.	25.	23.	21.	20.	18.	17.	17.
14.	15.	12.	11.	10.	9.	9.	8.	7.	7.
7.	0.	6.	5.	5.	5.	4.	4.	4.	3.

	MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q	END-OF-PERIOD FLOW						
								MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q
SUM				16.98	14.33	2.65	110509.							
(431.)				(364.)	(67.)	(67.)	(3129.26)							

[illegible]

COMBINE HYDROGRAPHS

COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS 4+5=5 TOTAL INFLOW TO EAST CANADA LAKE									
ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	IYAPE	JPLT	JFRT	JNAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO	
500	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	

[illegible]

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE THROUGH RESERVOIR AND OVER BEARDSLEE FALLS DAM

ISTAG	ICOMP	IECON	ITAFE	JFLT	JPKT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
5.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
ROUTING DATA								
GROSS	AVG	IRIS	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP		LSTR	
0.0	0.00	1	1	0	0		0	
NSTES NSTDL								
1	0	LAG	AMSKK	X	TSK	STORA	ISPRAT	
		0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-499.	-1	
STAGE	498.50	499.00	500.00	501.00	502.00	504.00	505.00	506.50
	512.00	514.00	516.00					
FLOW	0.00	1525.00	2935.00	14315.00	28490.00	36560.00	41830.00	48790.00
	84275.00	99830.00	116510.00					57115.00
CAPACITY=	0.	5.	34.	103.	223.	395.	619.	1262.
	2280.	2487.	3046.	4004.	5485.	5866.	6408.	9756.
ELEVATION=	443.	445.	450.	455.	460.	465.	470.	480.
	490.	492.	495.	500.	507.	508.	510.	520.
DAM DATA								
CREL	SPWID	CORW	EXPW	ELEV	COQL	CAREA	EXPL	
498.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

TOFEL	CUGD	EXFD	DAMWID
508.0	2.6	1.5	900.

PEAK OUTFLOW IS	22735.	AT TIME	52.00 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS	34346.	AT TIME	53.00 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS	46124.	AT TIME	53.00 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS	57772.	AT TIME	52.00 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS	69820.	AT TIME	52.10 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS	81664.	AT TIME	52.00 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS	93549.	AT TIME	52.00 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS	117190.	AT TIME	52.00 HOURS

AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS							
			RATIO 1 0.20	RATIO 2 0.30	RATIO 3 0.40	RATIO 4 0.50	RATIO 5 0.60	RATIO 6 0.70	RATIO 7 0.80	RATIO 8 1.00
100	38.50 (99.71)	1	4028. (114.06)	6042. (171.09)	8056. (228.11)	10370. (285.14)	12084. (342.17)	14058. (399.20)	16112. (456.23)	20139. (570.28)
200	38.50 (99.71)	1	4006. (113.45)	6009. (170.14)	8011. (226.85)	10314. (283.56)	12017. (340.29)	14020. (397.51)	16023. (453.72)	20031. (567.21)
300	61.45 (159.15)	1	4710. (133.38)	7065. (200.07)	9420. (266.76)	11776. (333.45)	14131. (400.14)	16486. (466.83)	18841. (533.51)	23551. (666.89)
400	99.95 (258.87)	1	8588. (243.19)	12885. (364.85)	17180. (486.53)	21476. (608.12)	25769. (729.69)	30063. (851.33)	34358. (972.91)	42942. (1215.98)
500	99.95 (258.87)	1	8474. (239.95)	12724. (360.31)	16976. (480.72)	21226. (601.84)	25477. (721.43)	29732. (841.91)	33981. (962.22)	42432. (1201.53)
600	54.20 (140.38)	1	6427. (182.00)	9641. (273.00)	12855. (364.00)	16068. (455.00)	19282. (546.01)	22456. (637.51)	25709. (728.01)	32137. (910.01)
700	46.25 (119.79)	1	4667. (132.15)	7000. (198.22)	9334. (264.33)	11667. (330.37)	14000. (396.44)	16334. (462.52)	18667. (528.59)	23334. (660.74)
800	46.25 (119.79)	1	4579. (129.66)	6861. (194.28)	9148. (259.03)	11477. (324.98)	13785. (390.34)	16110. (456.18)	18423. (521.67)	23053. (652.79)
900	41.00 (106.19)	1	2101. (59.48)	3151. (89.23)	4201. (118.97)	5252. (148.71)	6302. (177.45)	7352. (208.27)	8403. (237.94)	10503. (297.42)
1000	41.00 (106.19)	1	1227. (34.74)	1952. (55.26)	2692. (76.21)	3449. (97.68)	4234. (119.88)	5055. (143.15)	5878. (166.43)	7636. (216.22)
1100	41.00 (106.19)	1	1222. (34.62)	1947. (55.14)	2684. (76.00)	3439. (97.37)	4223. (119.59)	5039. (142.68)	5862. (165.99)	7610. (215.48)
1200	19.00 (49.21)	1	2157. (61.08)	3235. (91.61)	4314. (122.15)	5392. (152.65)	6471. (183.23)	7549. (213.77)	8628. (244.30)	10784. (315.36)
1300	19.00	1	2143.	3214.	4285.	5356.	6422.	7492.	8562.	10722.

	(49.21)	(60.00)	(91.01)	(121.34)	(151.67)	(181.84)	(212.15)	(242.45)	(273.55)
5 COMBINED	300	260.40	321.69	431.62	549.57	651.01	761.64	871.96	109223.
	(674.43)	(603.38)	(910.91)	(1219.37)	(1550.72)	(1863.44)	(2156.71)	(2469.12)	(3092.84)
ROUTED TO	303	260.40	321.18	429.95	539.75	649.65	760.22	869.73	108937.
	(674.43)	(602.43)	(909.21)	(1217.48)	(1528.39)	(1839.61)	(2152.70)	(2462.79)	(3084.76)
HYDROGRAPH AT	400	15.40	216.7	291.6	364.5	437.4	510.3	583.2	7290.
	(39.89)	(41.20)	(61.93)	(82.57)	(103.22)	(123.86)	(144.51)	(165.15)	(206.44)
2 COMBINED	400	275.80	341.79	457.56	574.26	691.07	808.54	924.95	115840.
	(714.31)	(641.52)	(967.84)	(1295.66)	(1626.11)	(1956.88)	(2289.52)	(2619.15)	(3280.22)
ROUTED TO	403	275.80	340.98	456.42	572.73	689.12	805.95	922.43	115546.
	(714.31)	(638.99)	(965.55)	(1292.44)	(1621.79)	(1951.38)	(2282.18)	(2612.04)	(3271.89)
ROUTED TO	504	275.80	332.13	445.00	558.44	672.17	786.13	900.33	112753.
	(714.31)	(619.46)	(940.48)	(1260.09)	(1581.31)	(1903.37)	(2226.68)	(2549.45)	(3192.80)
HYDROGRAPH AT	500	12.20	167.4	223.2	279.0	334.8	390.5	446.3	557.9
	(31.60)	(31.60)	(47.40)	(63.19)	(78.99)	(94.79)	(110.59)	(126.39)	(157.99)
2 COMBINED	500	288.00	345.70	463.10	581.06	699.32	817.81	936.53	117278.
	(745.91)	(645.09)	(978.92)	(1311.35)	(1645.38)	(1980.25)	(2315.78)	(2651.96)	(3320.94)
ROUTED TO	500	288.00	343.46	460.24	577.72	695.20	816.84	935.49	117190.
	(745.91)	(643.78)	(972.58)	(1303.24)	(1635.92)	(1977.07)	(2313.02)	(2649.02)	(3318.46)

PLAN 1 STATION 200

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW,CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE,FT	TIME HOURS
0.20	4006.	1131.3	50.00
0.30	6009.	1132.5	50.00
0.40	8011.	1133.5	50.00
0.50	10014.	1134.4	50.00
0.60	12017.	1135.2	50.00
0.70	14020.	1135.8	50.00
0.80	16023.	1136.5	50.00
1.00	20031.	1137.7	50.00

PLAN 1 STATION 302

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW,CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE,FT	TIME HOURS
0.20	8474.	1099.6	50.00
0.30	12724.	1102.1	50.00

1.40	1676.	1013.8	52.50
1.50	21226.	1015.4	52.00
0.60	25477.	1016.9	52.00
0.70	29732.	1018.2	52.00
0.80	33981.	1019.4	52.00
1.00	42432.	1021.7	52.00

PLAN 1 STATION 306

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW-CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
0.20	4579.	1077.3	51.00
0.30	6861.	1078.7	51.00
0.40	9146.	1079.6	51.00
0.50	11477.	1080.5	51.00
0.60	13785.	1081.2	51.00
0.70	16110.	1081.9	51.00
0.80	18423.	1082.5	51.00
1.00	23353.	1083.7	51.00

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1		ELEVATION		INITIAL VALUE		SPILLWAY CREST		TOP OF DAM	
		STORAGE		1542.40		1542.40		1550.40	
		OUTFLOW		12260.		12260.		20748.	
				0.		0.		9975.	
RATIO	OF	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	DURATION	TIME OF	TIME OF	
	PMF	RESERVOIR	STORAGE	OUTFLOW	OUTFLOW	OVER TOP	MAX OUTFLOW	FAILURE	
		W-S-ELEV	AC-FT	CFS	CFS	HOURS	HOURS	HOURS	
0.20		1544.49	14246.	1227.	1227.	0.00	66.00	0.00	
0.30		1545.25	15000.	1932.	1932.	0.00	65.00	0.00	
0.40		1545.92	15737.	2692.	2692.	0.00	64.00	0.00	
0.50		1546.56	16440.	3449.	3449.	0.00	63.00	0.00	
0.60		1547.15	17092.	4234.	4234.	0.00	63.00	0.00	
0.70		1547.73	17724.	5055.	5055.	0.00	62.00	0.00	
0.80		1548.27	18324.	5878.	5878.	0.00	61.00	0.00	
1.00		1549.26	19417.	7636.	7636.	0.00	60.00	0.00	

PLAN 1 STATION 307

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
	FLOW,CFS	STAGE,FT	HOURS
0.20	1222.	1145.6	68.00
0.30	1947.	1146.6	66.00
0.40	2684.	1147.4	65.00
0.50	3439.	1148.2	64.00
0.60	4223.	1148.9	64.00
0.70	5039.	1149.6	63.00
0.80	5862.	1150.2	63.00
1.00	7610.	1151.3	62.00

PLAN 1 STATION 308

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
	FLOW,CFS	STAGE,FT	HOURS
0.20	2143.	914.6	48.00
0.30	3214.	915.6	48.00
0.40	4285.	916.5	48.00
0.50	5356.	917.1	48.00
0.60	6422.	917.6	48.00
0.70	7492.	918.2	48.00
0.80	8562.	918.7	48.00
1.00	11112.	919.6	48.00

PLAN 1

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	INITIAL VALUE 734.30	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00	TUF OF DAM 745.80	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
0.20	744.72	4.72	252.	0.	0.	113.	0.	21274.	20.00	50.00	0.00
0.30	747.88	7.88	386.	0.	0.	113.	0.	32108.	27.30	50.00	0.00
0.40	750.69	10.69	516.	0.	0.	113.	0.	42695.	31.00	50.00	0.00
0.50	753.26	13.26	666.	0.	0.	113.	0.	53475.	36.00	50.00	0.00
0.60	755.71	15.71	834.	0.	0.	113.	0.	64565.	38.00	50.00	0.00
0.70	758.02	18.02	1002.	0.	0.	113.	0.	76022.	42.00	50.00	0.00
0.80	760.16	20.16	1190.	0.	0.	113.	0.	86973.	44.00	50.00	0.00
1.00	764.27	24.27	1622.	0.	0.	113.	0.	108937.	49.00	50.00	0.00

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1

INITIAL VALUE STILLWATER CREST TOP OF DAM
 ELEVATION 661.80 657.30 655.00
 STORAGE 3860. SURF. 4621. 21000.
 OUTFLOW 7600. "

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
0.20	666.10	0.36	4703.	22566.	5.00	51.00	0.00
0.30	668.06	2.46	5092.	34098.	13.00	51.00	0.00
0.40	669.51	3.78	5411.	45642.	18.00	51.00	0.00
0.50	670.91	5.11	5705.	57273.	21.00	51.00	0.00
0.60	672.15	6.35	5963.	68912.	24.00	51.00	0.00
0.70	673.26	7.42	6236.	80595.	27.00	51.00	0.00
0.80	674.34	8.54	6485.	92243.	29.00	51.00	0.00
1.00	676.32	10.52	6977.	115506.	33.00	51.00	0.00

PLAN 1 STATION 506

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW-CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE-FT	TIME HOURS
0.20	21876.	2286.8	52.00
0.30	33213.	3192.9	52.00
0.40	44500.	4094.8	52.00
0.50	55844.	5001.6	52.00
0.60	67217.	5910.7	52.00
0.70	78613.	6821.5	52.00
0.80	90033.	7734.1	52.00
1.00	112753.	9549.9	52.00

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 498.50 5717. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 498.50 5717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
0.20	501.59					4367.	22735.	0.00	52.00	0.00
0.30	503.45					4790.	34346.	0.00	53.00	0.00
0.40	505.60					5349.	46024.	0.00	53.00	0.00
0.50	508.09					5891.	57772.	2.00	52.00	0.00
0.60	509.38					6234.	69820.	9.00	52.00	0.00
0.70	510.40					6531.	81684.	11.00	52.00	0.00
0.80	511.30					6808.	93549.	14.00	52.00	0.00
1.00	512.90					7302.	117190.	18.00	52.00	0.00

[illegible]

		COMBINE 4 HYDROGRAPHS 4+5=5	TOTAL INFLOW TO EAST CANADA LAKE		
(0153)	K1	1	0	1	0
(0154)	K	500	0	0	0
(0155)	K1	0	0	0	0
(0156)	Y	0	1	0	0
(0157)	Y1	0	0	-498.5	0
(0158)	Y4	499	502	505	508
(0159)	Y4	514	516	506.5	510
(0160)	Y5	1525	2935		
(0161)	Y5	99830	116510		
(0162)	SS	5	34		
(0163)	SS	2487	3046		
(0164)	SE	443	450		
(0165)	SE	490	495		
(0166)	SS	498.5	0		
(0167)	SD	508	2.65		
(0168)	SB	50	0.5		
(0169)	SB	50	0.5		
(0170)	SB	50	0.5		
(0171)	SB	130	0.5		
(0172)	SB	130	0.5		
(0173)	SB	130	0.5		
(0174)	SB	260	0.5		
(0175)	SB	260	0.5		
(0176)	SB	260	0.5		
(0177)	K	1	3600		
(0178)	K1				
(0179)	Y				
(0180)	Y1				
(0181)	Y6	0.07	0.07		
(0182)	Y7	100	140		
(0183)	Y7	300	360		
(0184)	K	1	6900		
(0185)	K1				
(0186)	Y				
(0187)	Y1				
(0188)	Y6	0.07	0.07		
(0189)	Y7	100	160		
(0190)	Y7	820	32		

CHANNEL ROUTE TO USGS GAGE

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

CHANNEL ROUTE TO DOWNSTREAM HAZARD

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

A1

BEARDSLEE FALLS DAM FILE IS ABZK-F

PAGE 6

(0191)
(0192)
(0193)
(0194)
(0195)
(0196)

K
A
A
A
A
A

99

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

PREVIEW OF SEQUENCE OF STREAM NETWORK CALCULATIONS

RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	100
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	200
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	200
COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS AT	200
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	300
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	300
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	600
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	306
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	700
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	700
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	307
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	300
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	308
COMBINE 5 HYDROGRAPHS AT	300
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	303
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	400
COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS AT	400
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	403
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT	500
COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS AT	500
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	500
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	360
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO	600
END OF NETWORK	

AD-A110 119

STETSON-DALE UTICA NY

F/G 13/13

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. EAST CANADA LAKE DAM (INVENTORY NJ--ETC(U)


SEP 81 J B STETSON


DACWS1-81-C-0009

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

2013
AC
AD-110 119





 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 79

RUN DATE?SAT, AUG 29 1981
 TIME?11:54:25

BEARDSLEE FALLS DAM FILE IS ABZK-F
 HEC-1DB (CLARK PARAMETERS)
 0.5 PMF - DAM BREAK ANALYSIS

JOB SPECIFICATION									
NG	MNR	NRIN	IDAY	INR	IMIN	METRC	IFLT	IPRT	INSTAN
3.0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
			JOPER	NWT	LKOPT	TRACE			
			5	0	0	0			

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED
 NPLAN= 9 NRTIO= 1 LRTIO= 1

RTIOS= 0.5

***** ***** *****

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 1									
ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAFE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO	
100	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	

HYDROGRAPH DATA									
INVDG	TUNG	TAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	RATIC	ISNOW	ISAME	LOCAL
1	0	38.50	0.00	288.00	0.00	0.000	0	1	

PRECIP DATA									
SPFE	PMS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96		
0.00	18.90	70.00	84.00	96.00	101.00	0.00	0.00		

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.890

LOSS DATA										
LKOPT	STKR	DLTKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STKRS	RTIOK	STRTL	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
1	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.07	0.00	0.01

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

IC= 11.82 HE 8.57 NIAE

RECESSION DATA
 STARTG= 49.00 QRCSE= 430.00 RTIDP= 1.30

UNIT	HYDROGRAPH	END-OF-PERIOD	ORDINATES	LAKE	10.67	HOURS	CP= 0.67	VOL= 0.69
6.	24.	49.	80.	115.	153.	194.	238.	284.
581.	432.	484.	537.	591.	646.	702.	759.	816.
932.	991.	1050.	1109.	1166.	1221.	1272.	1319.	1363.
1440.	1476.	1505.	1532.	1556.	1576.	1593.	1607.	1618.
1628.	1628.	1624.	1616.	1603.	1585.	1560.	1524.	1481.
1397.	1357.	1318.	1280.	1243.	1208.	1173.	1139.	1106.
1344.	1074.	984.	956.	929.	902.	876.	851.	826.
780.	757.	735.	714.	694.	674.	654.	636.	617.
582.	566.	549.	534.	518.	503.	489.	475.	461.
435.	422.	410.	399.	387.	376.	365.	355.	344.
								331.
								874.
								1403.
								1625.
								1439.
								1075.
								803.
								600.
								448.
								355.

MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS
 END-OF-PERIOD FLOW
 MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP Q
 SUM 16.98 14.29 2.69 1264730.
 (431.)(365.)(68.)(35813.13)

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 2									
ISTAG	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO	
200	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
ALL PLANS HAVE SAME									
ROUTING DATA									
QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IRIS	ISAME	IOFT	IPMP	LSTR		
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0		
ROUTING DATA									
NSTPS	NSTCL	LAG	AMSKK	X	TSK	STORA	ISPHAT		
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-1.	0		

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

LN(1) LN(2) LN(3) ELNVT ELMAX RLNTH SEL
 1.6800 0.0350 0.0600 1126.0 1160.0 34400. 0.0150

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV--ETC

INFL	1150.00	100.00	114.00	500.00	1130.00	50.00	1120.00	500.00	1120.00
STORAGE	2192.50	62.84	138.33	236.80	347.44	3901.16	382.53	575.89	817.50
OUTFLOW	51166.48	568.34	1860.74	4089.52	90816.59	16484.06	7239.39	11389.39	16642.55
STAGE	1126.00	1127.79	1129.56	1131.37	1133.16	1134.95	1136.74	1138.53	1140.31
FLOW	3.00	568.34	1880.74	4089.52	90816.59	16484.06	7239.39	11389.39	16642.55
	51166.48	63157.77	76375.25	90816.59	106484.06	123383.16	141521.97	160910.44	181559.75

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1134.4

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 2		HYDROGRAPH DATA									
INFL	1150.00	100.00	114.00	500.00	1130.00	50.00	1120.00	500.00	1120.00	500.00	1120.00
ISTAQ	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAREA	61.45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SNAP	1.00	288.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TRSDA	288.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TRSPC	288.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PRECIP DATA											
R6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 1.89

LOSS DATA
 LROFT SJRW DLIKE RIJOL ERJIN STRKS RIJOK STRTL CNSTL ALSPX RTIMP
 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.07 0.00 0.02
 UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
 TC= 14.35 R= 13.00 NTA= C

RECESSION DATA
 STRTQ= 85.00 ORCSN= 625.00 RTIOP= 1.30

UNIT HYDROGRAPH	END-OF-PERIOD ORIGINATES	LAE=	13.53 HOURS	CP=	0.60	VOL=	0.72
5.	18.	37.	60.	57.	116.	148.	216.
295.	336.	378.	421.	465.	511.	557.	604.
751.	801.	852.	903.	955.	1007.	1060.	1113.
1270.	1318.	1365.	1409.	1451.	1490.	1528.	1566.
1656.	1682.	1706.	1728.	1748.	1766.	1781.	1794.
1817.	1820.	1819.	1815.	1808.	1797.	1781.	1766.
1665.	1635.	1606.	1577.	1549.	1521.	1493.	1467.
1389.	1364.	1340.	1316.	1292.	1269.	1246.	1224.
1159.	1138.	1118.	1098.	1078.	1059.	1040.	1021.
967.	950.	932.	916.	899.	883.	867.	852.

MO.DA MR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS END-OF-PERIOD FLOW
 MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COM1 Q
 SUM 16.98 14.32 2.66 1636895.
 (431.)(364.)(68.)(46351.66)

 COMEJNE HYDROGRAPHS

COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS 1+2=2

ISTAG	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JFRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
2.0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

 HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 3

ISTAG	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JFRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
3.2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

ALL PLANS HAVE SAME ROUTING DATA

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QN(1)	QN(2)	QN(3)	ELNVT	ELMAX	RLNTH	SEL
0.0800	0.0350	0.0800	1001.0	1040.C	55200.	0.00500

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV--ETC

	0.00	365.55	601.11	886.50	1221.72	1606.78	2041.66	2526.37
STORAGE	3663.99	4382.29	5218.06	6171.22	7241.83	8429.88	9735.38	11158.33
OUTFLOW	41516.96	30022.64	2015.54	7331.70	11112.46	15657.03	20983.58	27113.10
			59709.42	83056.33	96855.58	112179.19	129106.16	147713.50
STAGE	1301.00	1053.05	1005.11	1009.21	1011.26	1013.32	1015.37	1017.42
	1621.53	1023.58	1025.63	1029.74	1031.79	1033.84	1035.89	1037.95
FLOW	0.00	613.35	2015.54	7331.70	11112.46	15657.03	20983.58	27113.10
	41516.96	50002.64	59709.42	83056.33	96855.58	112179.19	129106.16	147713.50

[illegible]

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA 3
ISTAQ 300 ICCPP 0 IECON 0 ITAFE 0 JPLT 0 JPRT 0 INAME 1 ISTAGE 0 LAUTO 0

INVOG IUNG IAREA SNAP TRSDA TRSPC RATIO ISNOW ISME LOCAL
1 0 54.20 0.00 288.90 0.00 0.000 0 1 0

PRECIP DATA
SPFE PMS RC R12 R24 R48 R72 R96
0.00 18.90 70.00 84.00 96.00 101.00 0.00 0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.890

LOSS DATA
LROPT STRKR DLTGR RTIOL ERAIN STRKS RTIOK STRYL CNSTL ALSMX RTIMP
0 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.97 0.00 0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
TC= 11.80 R= 6.46 NTA= C

RECESSION DATA
SINTQ= 74.00 WRCSN= 550.00 RTIOR= 1.30

UNIT HYDROGRAPHIC END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG= 13.13 HOURS, CP= 0.74 VOL= 0.94
12. 44. 91. 147. 211. 280. 355. 433. 515. 599.
686. 775. 866. 959. 1052. 1147. 1242. 1338. 1435. 1531.
1629. 1726. 1823. 1920. 2014. 2101. 2181. 2255. 2321. 2381.
2435. 2482. 2523. 2558. 2587. 2610. 2627. 2639. 2644. 2643.
2635. 2622. 2601. 2574. 2538. 2492. 2435. 2357. 2269. 2183.
2100. 1943. 1870. 1799. 1730. 1665. 1602. 1541. 1482. 1407.
1426. 1372. 1320. 1270. 1221. 1175. 1130. 1088. 1046. 1007.
968. 932. 896. 862. 829. 796. 768. 738. 710. 683.
658. 633. 609. 585. 563. 542. 521. 501. 482. 464.
447. 430. 413. 398. 382. 368. 354. 341. 328. 315.

MC.DA MR.MN PERIOD RAIL EXCS LOSS END-OF-PERIOD FLOW MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP 0
SUM 16.98 14.28 2.71 1892700.
(431.)(363.)(69.)(53595.24)

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

KUSUPP SUBAREA 0
ISTAG 600

ICOMP 1 IECON 0 ITAPE 0 JPLT 1 JPRT 0 INAME 1 ISTAGE 0 IAUTO 0

HYDROGRAPH DATA
INVOG 1 IUDG 3 TAREA 46.25 SNAF 0.00 TRSDA 288.00 TRSPC 0.00 RATIO 9.000 ISNOW 1 ISAME 1 LOCAL 0

PRECIP DATA
SPFE 0.00 PMS 18.90 R0 75.00 R12 84.00 R24 96.00 R40 101.00 R60 107.00 R72 8.00 R90 0.00

LOSS DATA
LROPT STRR DLTR RTIOL ERAIN STRKS RTIOK SIRT L CNSTL ALSMX RTIMP
0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.07 0.00 0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
TC= 12.43 R= 8.90 NTA= C
RECESSION DATA
SIRTO= 61.00 QRCSW= 470.00 RTIOR= 1.30

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 100 END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG= 11.20 HOURS, CP= 0.67 VOL= 0.87									
7.	25.	53.	86.	123.	164.	209.	256.	305.	357.
41.	465.	521.	579.	638.	698.	758.	820.	882.	945.
100.	1072.	1136.	1200.	1265.	1329.	1389.	1446.	1499.	1549.
1595.	1637.	1676.	1711.	1743.	1771.	1796.	1818.	1836.	1851.
1862.	1869.	1873.	1875.	1875.	1875.	1875.	1875.	1875.	1875.
1724.	1676.	1630.	1585.	1541.	1498.	1457.	1416.	1377.	1339.
1302.	1266.	1231.	1197.	1164.	1131.	1100.	1070.	1040.	1011.
983.	956.	929.	904.	879.	854.	831.	808.	785.	763.
742.	722.	702.	682.	663.	645.	627.	610.	593.	576.
561.	545.	530.	515.	501.	487.	474.	460.	448.	435.

MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LCSS COMP Q MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP Q
SUM 16.98 14.29 2.69 1494535.
(431.)(363.)(68.)(42320.48)

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 3
ISTAG 306 ICOMP 1 IECON 0 ITAPE 0 JPLT 0 JPRT 0 INAME 1 ISTAGE 1 IAUTO 0

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QN(1)	QN(2)	QN(3)	ELNVT	ELMAX	RLNTH	SEL
1.0899	1.0359	5.0839	1971.0	1100.9	42400.	0.5094

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV--ETC
190.00 1100.00 145.00 1080.00 260.00 1075.00
320.00 1075.00 425.00 1080.00 580.00 1100.00

	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100	2101	2102	2103	2104	2105	2106	2107	2108	2109	2110	2111	2112	2113	2114	2115	2116	2117	2118	2119	2120	2121	2122	2123	2124	2125	2126	2127	2128	2129	2130	2131	2132	2133	2134	2135	2136	2137	2138	2139	2140	2141	2142	2143	2144	2145	2146	2147	2148	2149	2150	2151	2152	2153	2154	2155	2156	2157	2158	2159	2160	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2173	2174	2175	2176	2177	2178	2179	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2185	2186	2187	2188	2189	2190	2191	2192	2193	2194	2195	2196	2197	2198	2199	2200	2201	2202	2203	2204	2205	2206	2207	2208	2209	2210	2211	2212	2213	2214	2215	2216	2217	2218	2219	2220	2221	2222	2223	2224	2225	2226	2227	2228	2229	2230	2231	2232	2233	2234	2235	2236	2237	2238	2239	2240	2241	2242	2243	2244	2245	2246	2247	2248	2249	2250	2251	2252	2253	2254	2255	2256	2257	2258	2259	2260	2261	2262	2263	2264	2265	2266	2267	2268	2269	2270	2271	2272	2273	2274	2275	2276	2277	2278	2279	2280	2281	2282	2283	2284	2285	2286	2287	2288	2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2299	2300	2301	2302	2303	2304	2305	2306	2307	2308	2309	2310	2311	2312	2313	2314	2315	2316	2317	2318	2319	2320	2321	2322	2323	2324	2325	2326	2327	2328	2329	2330	2331	2332	2333	2334	2335	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2342	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348	2349	2350	2351	2352	2353	2354	2355	2356	2357	2358	2359	2360	2361	2362	2363	2364	2365	2366	2367	2368	2369	2370	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377	2378	2379	2380	2381	2382	2383	2384	2385	2386	2387	2388	2389	2390	2391	2392	2393	2394	2395	2396	2397	2398	2399	2400	2401	2402	2403	2404	2405	2406	2407	2408	2409	2410	2411	2412	2413	2414	2415	2416	2417	2418	2419	2420	2421	2422	2
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---

[illegible]

市 政 局 公 告

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

WUNOFF SLEANE ? (CANADA LAKE AREA)

ISTAQ	ICOMP	JECOM	ITAFE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
70	2	9	9	9	3	1	1	9

HYC30GRAPH DATA

MICROGRAPH DATA				ISAME		LOCAL	
IUNS	TAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	RATIO	ISNOW	ISAME	LOCAL
1	41.00	0.00	288.00	0.00	7	1	

PRECIP DATA

SPFE	PMS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
0.00	15.90	70.00	84.00	96.00	101.00	0.00	0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 1.290

LOSS DATA

LRPOT	STKR	DLTKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STRS	RIIOK	STRIL	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

IC= 10.37 R= 27.39 NTA=

RECESSION DATA

```

STATQ= 53.00  QRC5N= 420.00  RTIOR= 1.30

```

UNIT HYDROGRAPHIC		END-OF-PERIOD	ORDINATES,	LAB =	10.50	HOURS,	CP = 0.31	VOL = 0.51
3.	10.	20.	33.	48.	65.	83.	102.	123.
168.	191.	216.	242.	268.	295.	323.	351.	381.
441.	471.	500.	529.	556.	582.	606.	630.	652.
693.	711.	728.	744.	758.	771.	781.	791.	798.
804.	801.	795.	788.	780.	773.	766.	759.	752.
739.	732.	726.	719.	712.	706.	699.	693.	687.
668.	662.	656.	650.	644.	638.	632.	627.	621.
616.	604.	599.	594.	588.	583.	577.	572.	567.
562.	552.	547.	542.	537.	532.	527.	522.	518.
515.	508.	504.	499.	494.	490.	486.	481.	477.

MO. DA	HR. MIN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	END-OF-PERIOD FLOW NO. 1
01	00	00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	01	01	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	02	02	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	03	03	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	04	04	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	05	05	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	06	06	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	07	07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	08	08	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	09	09	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	10	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	11	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	12	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	13	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	14	14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	15	15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	16	16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	17	17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	18	18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	19	19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	20	20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	21	21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	22	22	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	23	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	24	24	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	25	25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	26	26	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	27	27	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	28	28	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	29	29	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	30	30	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	31	31	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	32	32	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	33	33	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	34	34	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	35	35	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	36	36	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	37	37	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	38	38	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	39	39	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	40	40	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	41	41	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	42	42	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	43	43	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	44	44	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	45	45	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	46	46	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	47	47	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	48	48	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	49	49	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	50	50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	51	51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	52	52	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	53	53	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	54	54	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	55	55	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	56	56	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	57	57	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	58	58	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
01	59	59	0.0	0.0	0.0	

IOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP @
SUM	16.98	14.44	2.54	790025.
	(431.)	(367.)	(65.)	(22371.00)

[illegible]

ROUTE THROUGH CANADA LAKE - STEWART'S LANDING: DAM

STSTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	IYAFK	JPLT	JPRF	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO	Q
750	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

ALL PLANS HAVE SAME

ROUTING DATA

CLASS	CLOSS	AVG
0.0	0.00	0.00

IPMP	0	LSTR	0
TSK	000	STORA	ISPRAT
		-1562	-1

[illegible]

	0.00	403.00	1140.00	2100.00	3245.00	4555.00	6075.00	7889.00	9975.00
FLOW	20229.00	26275.00	32100.00	38300.00					

CAPACITY=	0.	925.	4030.	10215.	12260.	14730.	20225.	26760.	34385.
-----------	----	------	-------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

ELEVATION= 1508. 1520. 1530. 1540. 1542. 1545. 1550. 1555. 1560.

CREL	SPWID	CUQW	EXPM	ELEV	COQL	CAREA	EXPL
1542.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

DAM DATA			
TOPEL	COORD	EXPD	DAMWID
1550.6	2.6	1.5	360.

DAM DATA

[illegible]

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 3
 ISTAQ ICOMP 1
 3.7

IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO
 0 0 1 0 1 0

ALL PLANS HAVE SAME

ROUTING DATA
 QLOSS CLOSS AVG IRES ISAME IOPT IPMP LSTR
 0.0 0.000 0.00 1 1 0 0 0
 NSTPS NSTDL LAG AMSKK X TSK STORA ISPRAT
 1 0 0 0.000 0.000 -1. C

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QN(1) QN(2) QN(3) ELNVT ELMAX RLNTH SEL
 0.0800 0.0350 0.0800 1142.0 1180.0 70400. 0.0120

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV--ETC
 100.00 1142.00 100.00 1142.00 227.50 1142.00 252.50 1142.00
 250.00 1145.00 340.00 1160.00 390.00 1180.00

STORAGE	0.00	96.97	228.69	409.70	642.42	926.87	1263.03	1651.91	2090.50
	3120.00	3700.20	4322.42	4986.67	5692.93	6441.21	7231.51	8063.84	8938.18
OUTFLOW	0.00	395.01	1438.08	3171.57	5554.40	8615.70	12389.21	16910.60	22216.31
	35443.25	43417.00	52280.16	62051.77	72752.77	84405.14	97031.56	110655.03	125298.83
STAGE	1142.00	1144.00	1146.00	1148.00	1150.00	1152.00	1154.00	1156.00	1158.00
	1162.00	1164.00	1166.00	1168.00	1170.00	1172.00	1174.00	1176.00	1178.00
FLOW	0.00	395.01	1438.08	3171.57	5554.40	8615.70	12389.21	16910.60	22216.31
	35443.25	43417.00	52280.16	62051.77	72752.77	84405.14	97031.56	110655.03	125298.83

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1148.1

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1148.1

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1148.1

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1148.1

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1148.1

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1142.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1142.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1142.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1142.1

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

KUNOFF SUBAREA 2
 ISTAQ 800 ICOMP 0 IECON 0 ITAPE 0 JPLT 0 JPRT 0 INAME 1 ISTAGE 0 IAUTO 0

HYDROGRAPH DATA
 INYUG 1 IUHC 2 TAREA 19.00 SNAP 0.00 TRSDA 288.00 TRSPC 0.00 RATIO 0.00C ISNOW 0 ISAME 1 LOCAL 0

PRECIP DATA

SPFE 1.07 PMS 18.90 R6 70.00 R12 84.00 R24 96.00 R48 101.00 R72 106.00 R96 111.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 1.89

LOSS DATA

LROPT 0.00 STRKR 0.00 DLTKR 0.00 RTIOL 1.00 ERAIN 0.00 STRKS 0.00 RTIOK 1.00 STRIL 1.00 CNSTL 0.07 ALSMX 0.00 RTIMP 0.01

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TC= 9.94 R= 8.67 NTA= 0

RECESSION DATA

STRTQ= 21.00 QRCSN= 200.00 RTIORE= 1.39

UNIT HYDROGRAPHIC END-OF-PERIOD OPINATES, LAG= 9.11 HOURS, CP= 0.64 VOL= 0.91									
4.	16.	33.	54.	78.	103.	131.	161.	192.	224.
257.	291.	326.	362.	398.	435.	472.	510.	548.	586.
624.	660.	693.	724.	752.	778.	801.	822.	840.	856.
869.	880.	888.	894.	897.	897.	895.	888.	878.	861.
837.	812.	787.	763.	740.	717.	695.	674.	653.	633.
614.	595.	577.	560.	543.	526.	510.	494.	479.	465.
451.	437.	423.	411.	398.	386.	374.	363.	352.	341.
331.	320.	311.	301.	292.	283.	274.	266.	258.	250.
242.	235.	228.	221.	214.	208.	201.	195.	189.	183.
174.	172.	167.	162.	157.	152.	148.	143.	139.	135.

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW

MO.DA HP.MN PERIOD NATN EXCS LOSS COMP Q MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP Q

[illegible]

ROUTE TC SUBAREA 3

ALL PLANS HAVE SAME

FORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,FLEV,STA,ELEV--ETC

STAGE	U.O.	53.64	113.74	162.36	261.23	416.03	566.66	721.17	987.81
	1645.42	1695.41	1959.51	2237.73	2530.07	2836.53	3157.11	3491.79	3840.60
OUTFLOW	1.00	470.23	1524.49	3135.06	5426.03	8403.26	12156.57	16821.64	22254.03
	3541.19	43116.68	51604.11	60871.69	70929.91	81790.38	93465.25	105967.11	119379.14
STAGE	911.00	912.53	914.05	915.50	917.10	918.63	920.16	921.66	923.21
	926.26	927.79	929.31	930.84	932.37	933.89	935.42	936.95	938.47
FLOW	1.00	470.23	1524.49	3135.06	5426.03	8403.26	12156.57	16821.64	22254.00
	3541.19	43116.68	51604.11	60871.69	70929.91	81790.38	93465.25	105967.11	119379.14

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 417.1

WAXMAN: PAGE 1. 17.7

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 917.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 917.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 917.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 917.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 917.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 917.1
 MAXIMUM STAGE IS 917.1

COMBINE HYDROGRAPHS

COMBINE 5 HYDROGRAPHS AT DOLGEVILLE 2+3+6+7+8=3
 ISTAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO
 3.0 5 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE OVER DOLGEVILLE DAM
 ISTAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPT INAME ISTAGE IAUTO
 3.3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

ALL PLANS HAVE SAME

ROUTING DATA

QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IES	ISAKE	IOPT	IPPP	LSTR
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	

INSTPS	NSTD	LAG	AMSKK	X	TSK	STORA	ISPRAT
1	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-734.	-1

STAGE	734.80	735.20	735.60	736.40	737.20	738.40	739.90	742.90
	745.9	754.90	757.90	760.90	763.90	765.90	770.90	775.90
FLOW	3.00	882.00	1377.00	2235.00	3258.00	5304.00	8172.00	15115.00
	42464.00	53511.00	65378.00	78912.00	91368.00	100656.00	125140.00	151552.00
CAPACITY=	30.	68.	113.	166.	226.	292.	368.	430.
	1173.	1366.	1616.	2075.	2596.	2951.	3676.	4320.

ELEVATION 754. 752. 750. 748. 746. 744. 742. 740. 738. 736. 734. 732. 730. 728. 726. 724. 722. 720. 718. 716. 714. 712. 710. 708. 706. 704. 702. 700. 698. 696. 694. 692. 690. 688. 686. 684. 682. 680. 678. 676. 674. 672. 670. 668. 666. 664. 662. 660. 658. 656. 654. 652. 650. 648. 646. 644. 642. 640. 638. 636. 634. 632. 630. 628. 626. 624. 622. 620. 618. 616. 614. 612. 610. 608. 606. 604. 602. 600. 598. 596. 594. 592. 590. 588. 586. 584. 582. 580. 578. 576. 574. 572. 570. 568. 566. 564. 562. 560. 558. 556. 554. 552. 550. 548. 546. 544. 542. 540. 538. 536. 534. 532. 530. 528. 526. 524. 522. 520. 518. 516. 514. 512. 510. 508. 506. 504. 502. 500. 498. 496. 494. 492. 490. 488. 486. 484. 482. 480. 478. 476. 474. 472. 470. 468. 466. 464. 462. 460. 458. 456. 454. 452. 450. 448. 446. 444. 442. 440. 438. 436. 434. 432. 430. 428. 426. 424. 422. 420. 418. 416. 414. 412. 410. 408. 406. 404. 402. 400. 398. 396. 394. 392. 390. 388. 386. 384. 382. 380. 378. 376. 374. 372. 370. 368. 366. 364. 362. 360. 358. 356. 354. 352. 350. 348. 346. 344. 342. 340. 338. 336. 334. 332. 330. 328. 326. 324. 322. 320. 318. 316. 314. 312. 310. 308. 306. 304. 302. 300. 298. 296. 294. 292. 290. 288. 286. 284. 282. 280. 278. 276. 274. 272. 270. 268. 266. 264. 262. 260. 258. 256. 254. 252. 250. 248. 246. 244. 242. 240. 238. 236. 234. 232. 230. 228. 226. 224. 222. 220. 218. 216. 214. 212. 210. 208. 206. 204. 202. 200. 198. 196. 194. 192. 190. 188. 186. 184. 182. 180. 178. 176. 174. 172. 170. 168. 166. 164. 162. 160. 158. 156. 154. 152. 150. 148. 146. 144. 142. 140. 138. 136. 134. 132. 130. 128. 126. 124. 122. 120. 118. 116. 114. 112. 110. 108. 106. 104. 102. 100. 98. 96. 94. 92. 90. 88. 86. 84. 82. 80. 78. 76. 74. 72. 70. 68. 66. 64. 62. 60. 58. 56. 54. 52. 50. 48. 46. 44. 42. 40. 38. 36. 34. 32. 30. 28. 26. 24. 22. 20. 18. 16. 14. 12. 10. 8. 6. 4. 2. 0.

COGL ELEV EXPW COGL CAREA EXPL
734.0 734.0 0.0 734.0 0.0 0.0

DAM DATA
TOFEL COGL EXPD DAMWID
740.0 2.6 1.5 50.

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 54.86. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUBAREA " 4"
ISTAG 4.0 ICOMP 0 IECON 0 ITAF 0 JPLT 0 JPRT 0 INAPE 1 ISTAGE 0 IALIO 1

INYCG 1 IUNG 1 TAREA 15.40 SNAP 0.00 TRSDA 288.00 TRSPC 0.00 RATIO 0.000 ISNOW 0 ISAME 1 LOCAL 1

PRECIP DATA
SPFE PMS R6 R12 R24 R48 R72 R96
0.00 18.90 70.00 84.00 96.00 101.00 0.00 0.00

LOSS DATA
LROPT STRK DLTKR RTIOL ERAIN STRKS RTIOK STATL CUSTL ALSMX RTIME
0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.07 0.00 0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
IC= 9.75 R= 11.32 NTA= C

RECESSION DATA
STRIC= 15.0 ORCSN= 150.0 RTIOK= 1.30

UNIT	HYDROGRAPH	END-OF-PERIOD	COORDINATES	LAGE	9.37 HOURS	CP= 0.54	YOL= 1.85
3.	10.	2.	33.	47.	65.	98.	117.
15.	180.	200.	225.	245.	272.	321.	340.
396.	419.	441.	462.	481.	499.	530.	543.
566.	575.	582.	588.	592.	595.	592.	577.
564.	552.	543.	528.	516.	505.	483.	462.
452.	442.	433.	423.	414.	405.	387.	379.
363.	355.	347.	339.	332.	325.	311.	304.
291.	284.	275.	272.	266.	260.	249.	244.
233.	228.	223.	218.	213.	209.	200.	195.
187.	183.	179.	175.	171.	167.	160.	157.
							137.
							371.
							555.
							577.
							462.
							371.
							297.
							238.
							191.
							153.

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW

SUM 16.98 14.32 2.66 472860.
(431.)(364.)(68.)(13369.89)

COMBINE HYDROGRAPHS

COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS 3+4=4

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAFE	JFLT	JFRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
4.0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE THRU KYSER LAKE AND OVER INGHAMS DAM

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAFE	JFLT	JFRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
4.3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

ALL PLANS HAVE SAME

ROUTING DATA

GLUSS	CLUSS	AVG	IRIS	ISAME	IUPT	IFMP	LSIR
1.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0
INSTS	INSTOL	LAG	AMSK	X	TSK	STOKA	ISPRAT
1	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	-662.	-1

STAGE	657.3	659.0	661.0	663.0	665.0	667.0	669.0	671.0	673.0	675.0	677.0	679.0	681.0	683.0	685.0
FLOW	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0	154.0
CAPACITY	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.	330.
ELEVATION	634.	636.	638.	640.	642.	644.	646.	648.	650.	652.	654.	656.	658.	660.	662.

CREL SPWID CUGW EAPW ELEV CUQL CAREA EXPL
 657.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.0 3.0 0.0 0.0

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57400. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57400. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57400. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57400. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57400. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57400. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57400. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57400. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 57400. AT TIME 50.50 HOURS

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE TO SUBAREA 5
 ISTAG 54 ICNFF 1
 IECCN ITAPE JPLT JFRT INAVE ISTAGE IAUIC
 IRES ISAME IOPT IIMP LSTR
 ALL PLANS HAVE SAME
 ROUTING DATA

FORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QN(1)	QN(2)	QN(3)	LLMT	ELMAX	RLNTH	SEL
0.0800	0.0350	0.0800	509.0	540.0	8400.	0.01000

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV--ETC

100.00	540.00	300.00	520.00	380.00	515.00	395.00	545.00	509.00
561.00	515.00	650.00	520.00	116.00	540.00			

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100	2101	2102	2103	2104	2105	2106	2107	2108	2109	2110	2111	2112	2113	2114	2115	2116	2117	2118	2119	2120	2121	2122	2123	2124	2125	2126	2127	2128	2129	2130	2131	2132	2133	2134	2135	2136	2137	2138	2139	2140	2141	2142	2143	2144	2145	2146	2147	2148	2149	2150	2151	2152	2153	2154	2155	2156	2157	2158	2159	2160	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2173	2174	2175	2176	2177	2178	2179	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2185	2186	2187	2188	2189	2190	2191	2192	2193	2194	2195	2196	2197	2198	2199	2200	2201	2202	2203	2204	2205	2206	2207	2208	2209	2210	2211	2212	2213	2214	2215	2216	2217	2218	2219	2220	2221	2222	2223	2224	2225	2226	2227	2228	2229	2230	2231	2232	2233	2234	2235	2236	2237	2238	2239	2240	2241	2242	2243	2244	2245	2246	2247	2248	2249	2250	2251	2252	2253	2254	2255	2256	2257	2258	2259	2260	2261	2262	2263	2264	2265	2266	2267	2268	2269	2270	2271	2272	2273	2274	2275	2276	2277	2278	2279	2280	2281	2282	2283	2284	2285	2286	2287	2288	2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2299	2300	2301	2302	2303	2304	2305	2306	2307	2308	2309	2310	2311	2312	2313	2314	2315	2316	2317	2318	2319	2320	2321	2322	2323	2324	2325	2326	2327	2328	2329	2330	2331	2332	2333	2334	2335	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2342	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348	2349	2350	2351	2352	2353	2354	2355	2356	2357	2358	2359	2360	2361	2362	2363	2364	2365	2366	2367	2368	2369	2370	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377	2378	2379	2380	2381	2382	2383	2384	2385	2386	2387	2388	2389	2390	2391	2392	2393	2394	2395	2396	2397	2398	2399	2400	2401	2402	2403	2404	2405	2406	2407	2408	2409	2410	2411	2412	2413	2414	2415	2416	2417	2418	2419	2420	2421	2422	2423	2424	2425	2426	2427	2428	2429	2430	2431	2432	2433	2434	2435	2436	2437	2438	2439	2440	2441	2442	2
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---

[illegible]

FUNOFF SIBAPEA S

BAPEA	S	ICOMP	IECON	ITAFE	JFLY	JIRT	IWAVE	ISTAGE	TAUTO
ISTG	5	-	1	-	-	0	1	-	-

HYDROGRAPH DATA		RATIO		ISNO	ISAME	LOCAL
INVC	TARSA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	ISNO	ISAME
1	12.2C	9.0C	268.0C	C.00C	1	1

PRECIP DATA

SPFE	PMS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
C.01	14.90	70.00	84.00	96.00	101.00	C.00	C.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.690

LOSS DATA

LRPCT	STRKH	DLTKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STRKS	RTIOK	STRTL	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
	6.00	6.00	1.00	5.00	6.00	1.00	1.00	7.07	7.00	6.02

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TC= 9.12 R= 12.27 NTA= 3

RECESSION DATA

SIRIQ= 5.00 QRCNS= 120.00 CTION= 1.30

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 1:0 END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAQ= 8.67 HCURS, CP= 0.49 VOL= C.81

2.	8.	16.	26.	38.	51.	65.	80.	95.	112.
129.	146.	165.	183.	203.	222.	242.	262.	283.	303.
321.	339.	355.	371.	385.	396.	409.	420.	429.	437.
444.	449.	453.	455.	456.	454.	448.	440.	431.	423.
414.	406.	389.	374.	356.	339.	322.	309.	287.	265.
336.	331.	324.	318.	311.	305.	299.	293.	284.	281.
275.	271.	264.	259.	254.	249.	244.	239.	234.	229.
225.	225.	216.	211.	207.	203.	199.	195.	191.	187.
183.	180.	176.	172.	169.	165.	162.	159.	156.	153.
149.	146.	143.	141.	138.	135.	132.	130.	127.	124.

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW

	MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LUSS	COMP G	MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	CONF G
										SUM	16.98 (431.)	14.55 (364.)	2.65 (67.)	566(40. 10365.09)

COMBINE HYDROGRAPHS

COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS 4+5=5 TOTAL INFLOW TO EAST CANADA LAKE

REF ID	Z	ELUM	TAIL	WSEL	WFILE
50.	J.50	443.00	2.00	441.50	500.00

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 52.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 87476. AT TIME 54.00 HOURS

BRWD
50.

DAM BREACH DATA
Z 0.50
ELBM 443.00
TFAIL 0.50
WSEL 491.50
FAILEL 578.08

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 52.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 69203. AT TIME 56.92 HOURS

BRWD
130.

DAM BREACH DATA
Z 0.50
ELBM 443.00
TFAIL 0.50
WSEL 491.50
FAILEL 578.08

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 52.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 184515. AT TIME 52.50 HOURS

BRWD
130.

DAM BREACH DATA
Z 0.50
ELBM 443.00
TFAIL 2.00
WSEL 491.50
FAILEL 578.08

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 52.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 107147. AT TIME 53.75 HOURS

BRWD
130.

DAM BREACH DATA
Z 0.50
ELBM 443.00
TFAIL 5.00
WSEL 491.50
FAILEL 578.08

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 52.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 70243. AT TIME 55.50 HOURS

BRWD
200.

DAM BREACH DATA
Z 0.50
ELBM 443.00
TFAIL 0.50
WSEL 491.50
FAILEL 578.08

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 52.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 241082. AT TIME 52.47 HOURS

BRWD
200.

DAM BREACH DATA
Z 0.50
ELBM 443.00
TFAIL 2.00
WSEL 491.50
FAILEL 578.08

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 52.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 12200.00 CFS AT TIME 54.67 HOURS

DAM BREACH DATA
BRWID 2 ELUM TFAIL WSEL FAFLEL
260.0 0.50 443.00 5.00 491.50 508.08

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 52.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 79363.00 CFS AT TIME 54.67 HOURS

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

CHANNEL ROUTE TO USGS GAGE

ISTAQ ICCPP IECON ITAPE JPLT JERT INAME ISTAGE IFAUTO
36.00 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1

ALL PLANS HAVE SAME

ROUTING DATA

QLOSS CLOSS AVG IRES ISAME IOPT IPMP LSTR
0.00 0.00 0.00 1 1 0 0 0
NSTPS NSTCL LAG ANSKK X TSK STORA ISPRAT
1 0 0 0.000 0.000 -1.0 0

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QIN(1) QIN(2) QIN(3) ELNVT ELMAX RLNTH SEL
0.0700 0.0350 0.0700 531.0 360.0 3100.0 0.04700

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA/ELEV/STA/ELEV--ETC

100.00 360.00 140.00 340.00 180.00 335.00 191.00 331.00 290.00 331.00
300.00 335.00 360.00 340.00 400.00 360.00

STORAGE	0.00	11.28	23.36	36.50	52.45	71.71	94.28	118.58	143.54
	192.45	222.40	250.01	276.28	307.22	336.82	367.09	398.02	429.61
OUTFLOW	0.00	1885.70	6055.08	12230.85	20605.64	31056.79	43716.41	58835.50	76139.72
	116166.31	159031.13	163669.22	190070.94	218213.44	248078.66	279652.25	312927.69	347882.25
STAGE	331.00	332.53	334.05	335.58	337.11	338.63	340.16	341.68	343.21
	340.26	347.79	349.32	350.84	352.37	353.89	355.42	356.95	358.47
FLOW	0.00	1885.70	6055.08	12230.85	20605.64	31056.79	43716.41	58835.50	76139.72

[illegible]

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 485.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 334.8

STATION 6450, PLAN 3, RTIO 1

OUTFLOW			
Q.	Q.	Q.	Q.
352.	653.	724.	719.
559.	452.	420.	390.
273.	239.	210.	198.
149.	134.	122.	117.
95.	88.	82.	80.
69.	65.	62.	61.
55.	53.	52.	51.
48.	47.	47.	46.
45.	45.	44.	44.
40.	40.	41.	40.
72.	74.	101.	111.
186.	232.	269.	323.
559.	608.	841.	927.
1610.	1747.	2091.	2285.
5952.	6655.	8771.	8764.
22260.	15748.	16162.	19572.
14575.	16541.	16162.	19572.
0.	0.	0.	0.
36.	36.	698.	669.
175.	597.	338.	338.
293.	314.	175.	175.
157.	120.	107.	107.
99.	103.	73.	73.
71.	57.	58.	58.
56.	49.	46.	46.
45.	45.	45.	45.
45.	45.	56.	56.
67.	62.	135.	150.
167.	150.	404.	451.
502.	451.	1126.	1244.
1377.	1244.	2756.	3443.
4541.	3443.	11604.	11535.
12494.	11535.	24323.	24323.
26810.	24323.	26810.	26810.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 599.

STATION 6500, PLAY 6, RTIN 1

OUTFLOW			
U.	G.	U.	G.
382.	552.	706.	724.
559.	522.	452.	420.
273.	255.	226.	213.
149.	141.	128.	122.
95.	91.	85.	80.
69.	67.	65.	62.
55.	54.	52.	51.
40.	40.	47.	46.
45.	45.	44.	44.
46.	47.	49.	51.
72.	77.	92.	101.
166.	207.	259.	289.
559.	621.	762.	841.
1505.	1610.	1854.	2091.
5346.	5952.	7331.	8071.
15746.	14575.	16941.	18192.
29690.	28908.	30810.	32124.
44654.	43300.	46054.	47371.
55471.	54762.	56088.	56614.
65928.	65753.	68062.	69271.
74909.	74796.	72577.	73706.
41953.	43007.	41159.	43188.
33410.	34223.	32619.	31839.
25749.	26512.	25104.	24419.
18525.	19350.	17637.	16574.
9345.	10589.	8790.	8280.
5349.	5893.	5111.	4884.
3744.	3870.	3629.	3524.
36.	36.	0.	0.
634.	634.	698.	698.
314.	314.	338.	338.
157.	166.	175.	186.
99.	103.	107.	112.
71.	73.	77.	79.
56.	57.	58.	59.
49.	49.	50.	50.
45.	45.	46.	46.
45.	45.	44.	44.
67.	67.	56.	56.
155.	155.	122.	122.
451.	451.	361.	361.
1244.	1244.	1021.	1021.
3443.	3443.	2754.	2754.
11533.	11533.	9710.	9710.
24010.	24010.	21069.	21069.
34225.	34225.	35033.	35033.
53074.	52096.	42858.	42858.
58978.	52096.	57423.	57423.
67205.	63660.	66628.	66628.
45071.	50331.	59639.	59639.
35883.	36717.	37596.	37596.
27953.	28733.	29551.	29551.
20858.	21634.	23374.	23374.
12037.	12831.	13707.	13707.
6522.	6860.	7306.	7306.
4155.	4315.	4489.	4489.
3109.	3182.	3341.	3341.

STOR

[illegible]

[illegible]

	FEAK	0-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
CFS	231304.	67933.	41279.	15021.	4325926.
CMS	6550.	1924.	1169.	425.	122496.
INCHES		2.19	5.33	5.82	5.82
MM		55.73	135.46	147.88	147.88
AC-FT		33686.	81276.	64379.	89379.
THOUS CU -4		41551.	100942.	110247.	110247.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 1116.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 346.4

STATION 647.0, PLAN 8, WTIC 1

OUTFLOW

Year	1900	1901	1902	1903	1904	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925	1926	1927	1928	1929	1930	1931	1932	1933	1934	1935	1936	1937	1938	1939	1940	1941	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100	2101	2102	2103	2104	2105	2106	2107	2108	2109	2110	2111	2112	2113	2114	2115	2116	2117	2118	2119	2120	2121	2122	2123	2124	2125	2126	2127	2128	2129	2130	2131	2132	2133	2134	2135	2136	2137	2138	2139	2140	2141	2142	2143	2144	2145	2146	2147	2148	2149	2150	2151	2152	2153	2154	2155	2156	2157	2158	2159	2160	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2173	2174	2175	2176	2177	2178	2179	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2185	2186	2187	2188	2189	2190	2191	2192	2193	2194	2195	2196	2197	2198	2199	2200	2201	2202	2203	2204	2205	2206	2207	2208	2209	2210	2211	2212	2213	2214	2215	2216	2217	2218	2219	2220	2221	2222	2223	2224	2225	2226	2227	2228	2229	2230	2231	2232	2233	2234	2235	2236	2237	2238	2239	2240	2241	2242	2243	2244	2245	2246	2247	2248	2249	2250	2251	2252	2253	2254	2255	2256	2257	2258	2259	2260	2261	2262	2263	2264	2265	2266	2267	2268	2269	2270	2271	2272	2273	2274	2275	2276	2277	2278	2279	2280	2281	2282	2283	2284	2285	2286	2287	2288	2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2299	2300	2301	2302	2303	2304	2305	2306	2307	2308	2309	2310	2311	2312	2313	2314	2315	2316	2317	2318	2319	2320	2321	2322	2323	2324	2325	2326	2327	2328	2329	2330	2331	2332	2333	2334	2335	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2342	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348	2349	2350	2351	2352	2353	2354	2355	2356	2357	2358	2359	2360	2361	2362	2363	2364	2365	2366	2367	2368	2369	2370	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377	2378	2379	2380	2381	2382	2383	2384	2385	2386	2387	2388	2389	2390	2391	2392	2393	2394	2395	2396	2397	2398	2399	2400	2401	2402	2403	2404	2405	2406	2407	2408	2409	2410	2411	2412	2413	2414	2415	2416	2417	2418	2419	2420	2421	2422	2423	2424	2425	2426	2427	2428	2429	2430	2431	2432	2433	2434	2435	2436	2437	2438	2439	2440	2441	2442	2443	2444	2445	2446	2447	2448	2449	2450	2451	2452	2453	2454	2455	2456	2457	2458	2459	2460	2461	2462	2463	2464	2465	2466	2467	2468	2469	2470	2471	2472	2473	2474	2475	2476	2477	2478	2479	2480	2481	2482	2483	2484	2485	2486	2487	2488	2489	2490	2491	2492	2493	2494	2495	2496	2497	2498	2499	2500	2501	2502	2503	2504	2505	2506	2507	2508	2509	2510	2511	2512	2513	2514	2515	2516	2517	2518	2519	2520	2521	2522	2523	2524	2525	2526	2527	2528	2529	2530	2531	2532	2533	2534	2535	2536	2537	2538	2539	2540	2541	2542	2543	2544	2545	2546	2547	2548	2549	2550	2551	2552	2553	2554	2555	2556	2557	2558	2559	2560	2561	2562	2563	2564	2565	2566	2567	2568	2569	2570	2571	2572	2573	2574	2575	2576	2577	2578	2579	2580	2581	2582	2583	2584	2585	2586	2587	2588	2589	2590	2591	2592	2593	2594	2595	2596	2597	2598	2599	2600	2601	2602	2603	2604	2605	2606	2607	2608	2609	2610	2611	2612	2613	2614	2615	2616	2617	2618	2619	2620	2621	2622	2623	2624	2625	2626	2627	2628	2629	2630	2631	2632	2633	2634	2635	2636	2637	2638	2639	2640	2641	2642	2643	2644	2645	2646	2647	2648	2649	2650	2651	2652	2653	2654	2655	2656	2657	2658	2659	2660	2661	2662	2663	2664	2665	2666	2667	2668	2669	2670	2671	2672	2673	2674	2675	2676	2677	2678	2679	2680	2681	2682	2683	2684	2685	2686	2687	2688	2689	2690	2691	2692	2693	2694	2695	2696	2697	2698	2699	2700	2701	2702	2703	2704	2705	2706	2707	2708	2709	2710	2711	2712	2713	2714	2715	2716	2717	2718	2719	2720	2721	2722	2723	2724	2725	2726	2727	2728	2729	2730	2731	2732	2733	2734	2735	2736	2737	2738	2739	2740	2741	2742	2743	2744	2745	2746	2747	2748	2749	2750	2751	2752	2753	2754	2755	2756	2757	2758	2759	2760	2761	2762	2763	2764	2765	2766	2767	2768	2769	2770	2771	2772	2773	2774	2775	2776	2777	2778	2779	2780	2781	2782	2783	2784	2785	2786	2787	2788	2789	2790	2791	2792	2793	2794	2795	2796	2797	2798	2799	2800	2801	2802	2803	2804	2805	2806	2807	2808	2809	2810	2811	2812	2813	2814	2815	2816	2817	2818	2819	2820	2821	2822	2823	2824	2825	2826	2827	2828	2829	2830	2831	2832	2833	2834	2835	2836	2837	2838	2839	2840	2841	2842	2843	2844	2845	2846	2847	2848	2849	2850	2851	2852	2853	2854	2855	2856	2857	2858	2859	2860	2861	2862	2863	2864	2865	2866	2867	2868	2869	2870	2871	2872	2873	2874	2875	2876	2877	2878	2879	2880	2881	2882	2883	2884	2885	2886	2887	2888	2889	2890	2891	2892	2893	2894	2895	2896	2897	2898	2899	2900	2901	2902	2903	2904	2905	2906	2907	2908	2909	2910	2911	2912	2913	2914	2915	2916	2917	2918	2919	2920	2921	2922	2923	2924	2925	2926	2927	2928	2929	2930	2931	2932	2933	2934	2935	2936	2937	2938	2939	2940	2941	2942	2943	2944	2945	2946	2947	2948	2949	2950	2951	2952	2953	2954	2955	2956	2957	2958	2959	2960	2961	2962	2963	2964	2965	2966	2967	2968	2969	2970	2971	2972	2973	2974	2975	2976	2977	2978	2979	2980	2981	2982	2983	2984	2985	2986	2987	2988	2989	2990	2991	2992	2993	2994	2995	2996	2997	2998	2999	3000
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

PHOTOGRAPH - AT 207 61.45
(156.15)

1 11739.
(332.40)(
2 11739.
(332.40)(
3 11739.
(332.40)(
4 11739.
(332.40)(
5 11739.
(332.40)(
6 11739.
(332.40)(
7 11739.
(332.40)(
8 11739.
(332.40)(
9 11739.
(332.40)(

2 COMBINED 208 99.95
(258.87)

1 21500.
(608.82)(
2 21500.
(608.82)(
3 21500.
(608.82)(
4 21500.
(608.82)(
5 21500.
(608.82)(
6 21500.
(608.82)(
7 21500.
(608.82)(
8 21500.
(608.82)(
9 21500.
(608.82)(

ROUTED TO 302 99.95
(258.87)

1 21247.
(601.64)(
2 21247.
(601.64)(
3 21247.
(601.64)(
4 21247.
(601.64)(
5 21247.
(601.64)(
6 21247.
(601.64)(

(21247.
(601.64)(
2 21247.
(601.64)(
3 21247.
(601.64)(

HYDROGRAPH AT 3.0 54.25
(146.30)

1 16122.
(456.53)(
2 16122.
(456.53)(
3 16122.
(456.53)(
4 16122.
(456.53)(
5 16122.
(456.53)(
6 16122.
(456.53)(
7 16122.
(456.53)(
8 16122.
(456.53)(
9 16122.
(456.53)(

HYDROGRAPH AT 6.0 46.25
(119.75)

1 11695.
(331.17)(
2 11695.
(331.17)(
3 11695.
(331.17)(
4 11695.
(331.17)(
5 11695.
(331.17)(
6 11695.
(331.17)(
7 11695.
(331.17)(
8 11695.
(331.17)(
9 11695.
(331.17)(

ROUTED TO 3.6 46.25
(119.75)

1 11492.
(325.41)(
2 11492.
(325.41)(
3 11492.
(325.41)(

HYDROGRAPH AT 700 41.00
(106.19)

4 11492.
(325.41)(
5 11492.
(325.41)(
6 11492.
(325.41)(
7 11492.
(325.41)(
8 11492.
(325.41)(
9 11492.
(325.41)(

1 5251.
(148.69)(
2 5251.
(148.69)(
3 5251.
(148.69)(
4 5251.
(148.69)(
5 5251.
(148.69)(
6 5251.
(148.69)(
7 5251.
(148.69)(
8 5251.
(148.69)(
9 5251.
(148.69)(

ROUTED TO 700 41.00
(106.19)

1 3251.
(92.06)(
2 3251.
(92.06)(
3 3251.
(92.06)(
4 3251.
(92.06)(
5 3251.
(92.06)(
6 3251.
(92.06)(
7 3251.
(92.06)(
8 3251.
(92.06)(
9 3251.
(92.06)(

ROUTED TO	300	(19.00	
			(49.21)
1	(51.58)	(3234.
2	(51.58)	(3234.
3	(51.58)	(3234.
4	(51.58)	(3234.
5	(51.58)	(3234.
6	(51.58)	(3234.
7	(51.58)	(3234.
8	(51.58)	(3234.
9	(51.58)	(3234.
10	(51.58)	(3234.

HYDROGRAPH AT	300	(19.00	
			(49.21)
1	(5403.	(153.00)
2	(5403.	(153.00)
3	(5403.	(153.00)
4	(5403.	(153.00)
5	(5403.	(153.00)
6	(5403.	(153.00)
7	(5403.	(153.00)
8	(5403.	(153.00)
9	(5403.	(153.00)
10	(5403.	(153.00)

ROUTED TO	300	(19.00	
			(49.21)
1	(5375.	(152.19)
2	(5375.	(152.19)
3	(5375.	(152.19)
4	(5375.	(152.19)
5	(5375.	(152.19)
6	(5375.	(152.19)
7	(5375.	(152.19)

(1 1.49)(
5 3655.
(103.49)(
6 3655.
(103.49)(
7 3655.
(103.49)(
8 3655.
(103.49)(
9 3655.
(103.49)(

2 COMBINED 400 275.80
(714.31)

1 57473.
(1627.46)(
2 57473.
(1627.46)(
3 57473.
(1627.46)(
4 57473.
(1627.46)(
5 57473.
(1627.46)(
6 57473.
(1627.46)(
7 57473.
(1627.46)(
8 57473.
(1627.46)(
9 57473.
(1627.46)(

ROUTED TO 400 275.80
(714.31)

1 57400.
(1625.38)(
2 57400.
(1625.38)(
3 57400.
(1625.38)(
4 57400.
(1625.38)(
5 57400.
(1625.38)(
6 57400.
(1625.38)(
7 57400.
(1625.38)(
8 57400.
(1625.38)(
9 57400.
(1625.38)(

ROUTED TO 504 275.80
(714.31)

1 55038.

(1581.16)(
 2 55836.
 (1581.16)(
 3 55838.
 (1581.16)(
 4 55838.
 (1581.16)(
 5 55838.
 (1581.16)(
 6 55838.
 (1581.16)(
 7 55838.
 (1581.16)(
 8 55838.
 (1581.16)(
 9 55838.
 (1581.16)(

HYDROGRAPH AT 5 12.20
 (31.60)

1 2796.
 (79.17)(
 2 2796.
 (79.17)(
 3 2796.
 (79.17)(
 4 2796.
 (79.17)(
 5 2796.
 (79.17)(
 6 2796.
 (79.17)(
 7 2796.
 (79.17)(
 8 2796.
 (79.17)(
 9 2796.
 (79.17)(

2 COMBINED 5 288.00
 (745.91)

1 58095.
 (1645.07)(
 2 58095.
 (1645.07)(
 3 58095.
 (1645.07)(
 4 58095.
 (1645.07)(
 5 58095.
 (1645.07)(
 6 58095.
 (1645.07)(
 7 58095.
 (1645.07)(

ROUTED TO 500 280.00
(745.91)

1 134702.
(3814.33)
2 87458.
(2475.11)
3 69015.
(1954.30)
4 184515.
(5224.89)
5 107147.
(3034.06)
6 75103.
(2126.68)
7 241471.
(6837.70)
8 111976.
(3172.81)
9 79195.
(2242.54)

ROUTED TO 500 280.00
(745.91)

1 132310.
(3746.32)
2 85216.
(2430.04)
3 68852.
(1949.66)
4 163811.
(5204.93)
5 108275.
(3066.00)
6 75106.
(2123.93)
7 243771.
(6902.82)
8 110150.
(3119.10)
9 79400.
(2242.36)

ROUTED TO 6940 280.00
(745.91)

1 119509.
(3384.12)
2 83666.
(2369.17)
3 60048.
(1955.23)
4 164355.
(4787.89)

5 1000000
 (3007.87)
 6 74909
 (2121.17)
 7 231334
 (6545.78)
 8 111547
 (3158.87)
 9 79147
 (2241.20)

PLAN 1		STATION 200	
RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	10034.	1134.4	49.75

PLAN 2		STATION 200	
RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	10034.	1134.4	49.75

PLAN 3		STATION 200	
RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	10034.	1134.4	49.75

PLAN 4		STATION 200	
RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	10034.	1134.4	49.75

PLAN 5		STATION 200	
RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	10034.	1134.4	49.75

PLAN 6		STATION 200	
	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	10034.	1134.4	49.75

RATIO 0.50 FLOW/CFS 11034.4 STAGE/FT 1134.4 TIME HOURS 49.75

PLAN 7 STATION 200

MAXIMUM MAXIMUM
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT
11034.4 1134.4
RATIO 0.50 TIME HOURS 49.75

PLAN 8 STATION 200

MAXIMUM MAXIMUM
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT
10034. 1134.4
RATIO 0.50 TIME HOURS 49.75

PLAN 9 STATION 200

MAXIMUM MAXIMUM
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT
10034. 1134.4
RATIO 0.50 TIME HOURS 49.75

PLAN 1 STATION 302

MAXIMUM MAXIMUM
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT
21247. 1015.5
RATIO 0.50 TIME HOURS 51.50

PLAN 2 STATION 302

MAXIMUM MAXIMUM
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT
21247. 1015.5
RATIO 0.50 TIME HOURS 51.50

PLAN 3 STATION 302

MAXIMUM MAXIMUM
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT
21247. 1015.5
RATIO 0.50 TIME HOURS 51.50

PLAN 4 STATION 302

MAXIMUM MAXIMUM
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT
21247. 1015.5
RATIO 0.50 TIME HOURS 51.50

PLAN 5 STATION 302
MAXIMUM MAXIMUM TIME
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT HOURS
0.50 21247. 1015.5 51.50

PLAN 6 STATION 302
MAXIMUM MAXIMUM TIME
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT HOURS
0.50 21247. 1015.5 51.50

PLAN 7 STATION 302
MAXIMUM MAXIMUM TIME
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT HOURS
0.50 21247. 1015.5 51.50

PLAN 8 STATION 302
MAXIMUM MAXIMUM TIME
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT HOURS
0.50 21247. 1015.5 51.50

PLAN 9 STATION 302
MAXIMUM MAXIMUM TIME
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT HOURS
0.50 21247. 1015.5 51.50

PLAN 1 STATION 306
MAXIMUM MAXIMUM TIME
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT HOURS
0.50 11492. 1060.5 50.75

PLAN 2 STATION 306
MAXIMUM MAXIMUM TIME
FLOW/CFS STAGE/FT HOURS
0.50 11492. 1060.5 50.75

PLAN 3 STATION 306

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
0.50	11492.	1080.5	50.75

PLAN 4 STATION 306

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
0.50	11492.	1080.5	50.75

PLAN 5 STATION 306

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
0.50	11492.	1080.5	50.75

PLAN 6 STATION 306

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
0.50	11492.	1080.5	50.75

PLAN 7 STATION 306

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
0.50	11492.	1080.5	50.75

PLAN 8 STATION 306

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
0.50	11492.	1080.5	50.75

PLAN 9 STATION 306

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
0.50	11492.	1080.5	50.75

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 1542.40 12260. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1542.40 12260. 0.	TOP OF DAM 1550.40 20748. 9975.			
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 1546.40	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 16274.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 3251.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.00	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 2	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 1542.40 12260. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1542.40 12260. 0.	TOP OF DAM 1550.40 20748. 9975.			
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 1546.40	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 16274.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 3251.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.00	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 3	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 1542.40 12260. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1542.40 12260. 0.	TOP OF DAM 1550.40 20748. 9975.			
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 1546.40	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 16274.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 3251.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.00	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 4	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 1542.40 12260. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1542.40 12260. 0.	TOP OF DAM 1550.40 20748. 9975.			
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 1546.40	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 16274.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 3251.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.00	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00

PLAN 5	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 1542.40 12260. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1542.40 12260. 0.	TOP OF DAM 1550.40 20748. 9975.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
		MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 1546.40	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 16274.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 61.00
				MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 3251.		
PLAN 6		ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 1542.40 12260. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1542.40 12260. 0.	TOP OF DAM 1550.40 20748. 9975.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
		MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 1546.40	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 16274.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 61.00
				MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 3251.		
PLAN 7		ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 1542.40 12260. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1542.40 12260. 0.	TOP OF DAM 1550.40 20748. 9975.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
		MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 1546.40	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 16274.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 61.00
				MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 3251.		
PLAN 8		ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 1542.40 12260. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1542.40 12260. 0.	TOP OF DAM 1550.40 20748. 9975.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
		MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 1546.40	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 16274.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 61.00
				MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 3251.		
PLAN 9		ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 1542.40 12260. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1542.40 12260. 0.	TOP OF DAM 1550.40 20748. 9975.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
		MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 1546.40	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 16274.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 61.00
				MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 3251.		

ELEVATION
STORAGE
OUTFLOW

1242.40
12260.
7.

1242.40
12260.
7.

1242.40
20748.
9975.

RATIO
OF
PNE
0.50

MAXIMUM
RESERVOIR
W.S.-ELEV
1546.43

MAXIMUM
DEPTH
OVER DAM
0.00

MAXIMUM
STORAGE
AC-FT
16274.

MAXIMUM
OUTFLOW
CFS
3251.

DURATION
OVER TOP
HOURS
0.33

TIME OF
MAX OUTFLOW
HOURS
61.00

TIME OF
FAILURE
HOURS
3.00

PLAN 1 STATION 307

RATIO
0.50

MAXIMUM
FLOW-CFS
3234.

MAXIMUM
STAGE-FT
1148.1

TIME
HOURS
62.00

PLAN 2 STATION 307

RATIO
0.50

MAXIMUM
FLOW-CFS
3234.

MAXIMUM
STAGE-FT
1148.1

TIME
HOURS
62.00

PLAN 3 STATION 307

RATIO
0.50

MAXIMUM
FLOW-CFS
3234.

MAXIMUM
STAGE-FT
1148.1

TIME
HOURS
62.00

PLAN 4 STATION 307

RATIO
0.50

MAXIMUM
FLOW-CFS
3234.

MAXIMUM
STAGE-FT
1148.1

TIME
HOURS
62.00

PLAN 5 STATION 307

RATIO
0.50

MAXIMUM
FLOW-CFS
3234.

MAXIMUM
STAGE-FT
1148.1

TIME
HOURS
62.00

PLAN 6 STATION 307

RATIO
0.50

MAXIMUM
FLOW-CFS
3234.

MAXIMUM
STAGE-FT
1148.1

TIME
HOURS
62.00

PLAN 7 STATION 307

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
0.50	3234.	1148.1	62.00

PLAN 8 STATION 307

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
0.50	3234.	1148.1	62.00

PLAN 9 STATION 307

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
0.50	3234.	1148.1	62.00

PLAN 1 STATION 308

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
0.50	5375.	917.1	48.25

PLAN 2 STATION 308

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
0.50	5375.	917.1	48.25

PLAN 3 STATION 306

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
0.50	5375.	917.1	43.25

PLAN 4 STATION 308

	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
RATIO	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
0.50	5375.	917.1	48.25

PLAN 5 STATION 300

MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
5375.	917.1	48.25

RATIO
0.50

PLAN 6 STATION 308

MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
5375.	917.1	48.25

RATIO
0.50

PLAN 7 STATION 308

MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
5375.	917.1	48.25

RATIO
0.50

PLAN 8 STATION 308

MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
5375.	917.1	48.25

RATIO
0.50

PLAN 9 STATION 308

MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS
5375.	917.1	48.25

RATIO
0.50

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 734.00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00 0. 0.	TOP OF DAM 740.00 113. 8400.	
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 753.31	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 668. 13.31	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 54086. 26.25	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 2	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 734.00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00 0. 0.	TOP OF DAM 740.00 113. 8400.	
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 753.31	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 668. 13.31	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 54086. 26.25	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 3	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 734.00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00 0. 0.	TOP OF DAM 740.00 113. 8400.	
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 753.31	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 668. 13.31	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 54086. 26.25	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 4	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 734.00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00 0. 0.	TOP OF DAM 740.00 113. 8400.	
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 753.31	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 668. 13.31	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 54086. 26.25	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00

PLAN 5	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 734.00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00 0. 0.	TOP OF DAM 747.00 113. 8400.				
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 753.31	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 13.31	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 665.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 54086.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 26.25	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 50.00
PLAN 6	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 734.00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00 0. 0.	TOP OF DAM 747.00 113. 8400.				
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 753.31	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 13.31	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 665.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 54086.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 26.25	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 50.00
PLAN 7	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 734.00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00 0. 0.	TOP OF DAM 747.00 113. 8400.				
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 753.31	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 13.31	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 665.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 54086.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 26.25	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 50.00
PLAN 8	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 734.00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00 0. 0.	TOP OF DAM 747.00 113. 8400.				
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 753.31	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 13.31	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 665.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 54086.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 26.25	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 50.00
PLAN 9	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 734.00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 734.00 0. 0.	TOP OF DAM 747.00 113. 8400.				
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 753.31	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 13.31	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 665.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 54086.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 26.25	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 50.00

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 661.80 3860. 7600.	SPILLWAY CREST 657.30 3080. 0.	TOP OF DAM 665.80 4621. 21000.	
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 675.93	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5708.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 57400.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 20.00
		DEPTH OVER DAM 5.13		TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 2	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 661.80 3860. 7600.	SPILLWAY CREST 657.30 3080. 0.	TOP OF DAM 665.80 4621. 21000.	
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 675.93	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5708.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 57400.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 20.00
		DEPTH OVER DAM 5.13		TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 3	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 661.80 3860. 7600.	SPILLWAY CREST 657.30 3080. 0.	TOP OF DAM 665.80 4621. 21000.	
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 675.93	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5708.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 57400.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 20.00
		DEPTH OVER DAM 5.13		TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 4	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 661.80 3860. 7600.	SPILLWAY CREST 657.30 3080. 0.	TOP OF DAM 665.80 4621. 21000.	
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 675.93	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5708.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 57400.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 20.00
		DEPTH OVER DAM 5.13		TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00

PLAN 5	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 661.80 3860. 7600.	SPILLWAY CREST 657.30 3080. 0.	TOP OF DAM 665.80 4621. 21300.			
RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 670.93	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 5.13	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5706.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 57400.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 20.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 6	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 661.80 3860. 7600.	SPILLWAY CREST 657.30 3080. 0.	TOP OF DAM 665.80 4621. 21300.			
RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 670.93	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 5.13	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5706.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 57400.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 20.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 7	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 661.80 3860. 7600.	SPILLWAY CREST 657.30 3080. 0.	TOP OF DAM 665.80 4621. 21300.			
RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 670.93	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 5.13	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5706.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 57400.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 20.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 8	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 661.80 3860. 7600.	SPILLWAY CREST 657.30 3080. 0.	TOP OF DAM 665.80 4621. 21300.			
RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 670.93	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 5.13	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5706.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 57400.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 20.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00
PLAN 9	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 661.80 3860. 7600.	SPILLWAY CREST 657.30 3080. 0.	TOP OF DAM 665.80 4621. 21300.			
RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 670.93	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 5.13	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5706.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 57400.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 20.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 50.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 0.00

MAXIMUM
STORAGE
OUTFLOW

601.0
588.0
760.0

37.0
3.80
0.

402.0
462.1
2100.

RATIO
OF
FPP
1.5

MAXIMUM
RESERVOIR
W.S. ELEV
673.93

MAXIMUM
DEPTH
OVER DAM
5.13

MAXIMUM
STORAGE
AC-FT
5708.

MAXIMUM
OUTFLOW
CFS
57400.

DURATION
OVER TOP
HOURS
20.00

TIME OF
MAX OUTFLOW
HOURS
50.50

TIME OF
FAILURE
HOURS
1.00

PLAN 1 STATION 504

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	55838.	5001.3	52.00

PLAN 2 STATION 504

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
1.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	55838.	5001.3	52.00

PLAN 3 STATION 504

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	55838.	5001.3	52.00

PLAN 4 STATION 504

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	55838.	5001.3	52.00

PLAN 5 STATION 504

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	55838.	5001.3	52.00

PLAN 6 STATION 504

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	55838.	5001.3	52.00

PLAN 7 STATION 504
RATIO 0.50
MAXIMUM FLOW/CFS 55838.
MAXIMUM STAGE/FT 501.3
TIME HOURS 52.00

PLAN 8 STATION 504
RATIO 0.50
MAXIMUM FLOW/CFS 55838.
MAXIMUM STAGE/FT 501.3
TIME HOURS 52.00

PLAN 9 STATION 504
RATIO 0.50
MAXIMUM FLOW/CFS 55838.
MAXIMUM STAGE/FT 501.3
TIME HOURS 52.00

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 491.50 2487. 0.	SFILLWAY CREST 498.50 3717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.				
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 508.09	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.09	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5891. 5891.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 134702.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.85	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 52.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.00
PLAN 2	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 491.50 2487. 0.	SFILLWAY CREST 498.50 3717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.				
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 508.09	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.09	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5892. 5892.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 87408.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 1.00	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 54.00	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.00
PLAN 3	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 491.50 2487. 0.	SFILLWAY CREST 498.50 3717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.				
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 508.17	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.10	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5892. 5892.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 69203.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 1.17	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 56.92	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.00
PLAN 4	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 491.50 2487. 0.	SFILLWAY CREST 498.50 3717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.				
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV 508.09	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.09	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5891. 5891.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 134515.	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.82	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 52.50	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.00

PLAN 5	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 491.50 2487. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 498.50 3717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.	
	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 508.09	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.09	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5891.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 107147.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.00
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50			DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.92	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 53.75
PLAN 6	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 491.50 2487. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 498.50 3717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.	
	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 508.09	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.09	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5891.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 75243.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.00
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50			DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 1.00	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 55.58
PLAN 7	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 491.50 2487. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 498.50 3717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.	
	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 508.09	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.09	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5891.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 241882.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.00
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50			DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.80	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.47
PLAN 8	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 491.50 2487. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 498.50 3717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.	
	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 508.09	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.09	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5891.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 113588.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.00
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50			DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.87	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 53.33
PLAN 9	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE 491.50 2487. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 498.50 3717. 0.	TOP OF DAM 508.00 5866. 57115.	
	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV 508.09	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM 0.09	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT 5891.	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 113588.	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 52.00
	RATIO OF PMF 0.50			DURATION OVER TOP HOURS 0.87	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS 53.33

4.50	491.5	496.5	52.0	54.67	52.00
UP	2487.	3717.	5465.		
PMF	U.	C.	57115.		
0.50					

MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	DURATION	TIME OF
RESERVOIR	STORAGE	OUTFLOW	OVER TOP	MAX OUTFLOW
W.S.-ELEV	AC-FT	CFS	HOURS	HOURS
508.64	5891.	79363.	0.92	54.67
				52.00

PLAN 1 STATION 3600

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	132300.	347.3	52.50

PLAN 2 STATION 3600

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	85816.	344.0	54.00

PLAN 3 STATION 3600

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	68852.	342.6	57.00

PLAN 4 STATION 3600

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	183611.	350.5	52.50

PLAN 5 STATION 3600

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	108275.	345.7	53.75

PLAN 6 STATION 3600

RATIO	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
0.50	FLOW/CFS	STAGE/FT	HOURS
	75006.	343.1	55.50

PLAN 7		STATION 3600	
RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
0.50	243771.	353.7	52.50

PLAN 8		STATION 3600	
RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
0.50	110150.	345.8	53.50

PLAN 9		STATION 3600	
RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
0.50	79400.	343.5	54.75

PLAN 1		STATION 6900	
RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
0.50	119509.	338.4	52.50

PLAN 2		STATION 6900	
RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
0.50	83666.	334.8	54.25

PLAN 3		STATION 6900	
RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
0.50	69048.	333.1	57.00

PLAN 4		STATION 6900	
RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
0.50	169 55.	342.3	52.50

PLAN 5	STATION 6900			
	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME	
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS	
0.50	106222.	337.2	53.75	

PLAN 6	STATION 6900			
	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME	
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS	
0.50	74919.	333.8	55.75	

PLAN 7	STATION 6900			
	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME	
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS	
0.50	231304.	346.4	52.50	

PLAN 8	STATION 6900			
	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME	
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS	
0.50	111547.	337.7	53.50	

PLAN 9	STATION 6900			
	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME	
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE, FT	HOURS	
0.50	79147.	334.3	54.75	

APPENDIX D

REFERENCES

APPENDIX D

REFERENCES

1. Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers. National Program of Investigation of Dams; Appendix D: Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, 1976.
2. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Design Basis Floods for Nuclear Power Plants, Regulating Guide 1.59, Revision 2, August 1977.
3. Linsley and Franzini: Water Resources Engineering, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill (1972).
4. W. Viessman, Jr., J. Knapp, G. Lewis, 1977, 2nd Edition, Introduction to Hydrology.
5. Ven Te Chow: Handbook of Applied Hydrology, McGraw-Hill, 1964.
6. The Hydrologic Engineering Center: Computer Program 723-X6-L2010, HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package, User's Manual, Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army, 609 Second Street, Davis, California 95616, January 1973.
7. The Hydrologic Engineering Center, Computer Program: Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC-1) Users Manual For Dam Safety.
8. Soil Conservation Service (Engineering Division): Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, Technical Release No. 55, U.S. Department of Agriculture, January 1975.
9. H.W. King, E.F. Brater: Handbook of Hydraulics, McGraw-Hill, 5th Edition, 1963.
10. Ven Te Chow: Open Channel Hydraulics, McGraw-Hill, 1959.
11. Bureau of Reclamation, United States Department of the Interior, Design of Small Dams: A Water Resources Technical Publication, Third Printing, 1965.
12. J.T. Riedel, J.F. Appleby and R.W. Schloemer: Hydrometeorological Report No. 33, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Army, Corps of Engineers, Washington, D.C., April 1956. Available from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
13. North Atlantic Regional Water Resources Study Coordinating Committee: Appendix C, Climate, Meteorology and Hydrology, February 1972.

14. Sherard, Woodward, Gizienski, Clevenger: Earth and Earth-Rock Dams, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1963.
15. U.S. Soil Conservation Service, Stillwater Outdoor Hydraulic Laboratory: Handbook of Channel Design for Soil and Water Conservation, SCS-TP-61, March 1974; revised June 1954.
16. The University of the State of New York - The State Education Department, State Museum and Science Service, Geological Survey: Geologic Map of New York, 1970.
17. Y.W. Isachsen and W.G. McKendree, 1977, Preliminary Brittle Structures Map of New York, Hudson-Mohawk Sheet, New York State Museum Map and Chart Series No. 31B.
18. Barry Cameron, 1969, Stratigraphy of Upper Bolarian and Lower Trentonian Limestones: Herkimer County, New England Geological Conference, 61st Annual Meeting, Section 16.
19. Resource Analysis, Upper Hudson & Mohawk River Basins, Hydrologic Flood Routing Models, October 1976.
20. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Data Report NY-78-1, Water Year 1978, Vol. 1.
21. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Maximum Known Stages and Discharges of New York Streams Through 1973, by Irving R. Leonard and Bernard Dunn, 1976.

1

APPENDIX E
STABILITY ANALYSIS




STETSON • DALE

BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

16

PROJECT NAME W.S. Dam Improvements 1981 DATE _____
SUBJECT Foundation & Dams Dam PROJECT NO. _____
Drawn by _____ DRAWN BY A



Wt. of Dam

$$\begin{aligned} ① & \frac{1}{2} (9.25') (13.5') (1') (0.15 \text{ Kcf}) = 9.366 \text{ K} \\ ② & (6.75') (13.5') (1') (0.15 \text{ Kcf}) = 13.669 \text{ K} \\ ③ & \frac{1}{2} (6.75') (5') (1') (0.15 \text{ Kcf}) = 2.531 \text{ K} \\ ④ & (13.5') (18.5') (1') (0.15 \text{ Kcf}) = 37.463 \text{ K} \\ & \underline{63.03 \text{ K}} \end{aligned}$$

Summing Moments about the toe of dam
Resisting moment due to dam wt.

$$\begin{aligned} M_R &= 9.366 \text{ K} \left(\frac{2}{3} \times 9.25' \right) + 13.669 \text{ K} \left(9.25' + \frac{1}{2} \times 6.75' \right) + 2.531 \text{ K} \left(9.25' + \frac{1}{2} \times 6.75' \right) \\ &+ 37.463 \text{ K} \left(16' + \frac{1}{2} \times 13.5' \right) = 59.8 + 172.6 + 34.0 + 852.3 \\ M_R &= 1117.4 \text{ K} \end{aligned}$$



PROJECT NAME N. Y. S. Dam Inspections 1981 DATE _____
 SUBJECT Beardslee Falls Dam PROJECT NO. _____
 DRAWN BY JAG

$$\bar{X} = \frac{M_D}{W_D} = \frac{1117.4 \text{ K}}{63.03 \text{ K}} = 17.73' \text{ from toe}$$

$$\bar{X}_{\text{from toe}} = \frac{9.366 \text{ K} \left(\frac{13.5'}{2} \right) + 13.66 \text{ K} \left(\frac{13.5'}{2} \right) + 2.53 \text{ K} \left(13.5' + \frac{6'}{3} \right) + 37.463 \text{ K} \left(18.5' / 2 \right)}{63.03 \text{ K}}$$

$$= \frac{42.47 + 92.27 + 38.39 + 346.53}{63.03 \text{ K}} = \frac{519.33 \text{ K}}{63.03 \text{ K}} = 8.24'$$

Uplift Moment

$$M_{\text{up}} = 23.47 \text{ K} \left(\frac{2}{3} \times 29.5' \right) = 461.6 \text{ K}$$

$$\Sigma V = 63.03 \text{ K} - 23.47 \text{ K} = 39.56 \text{ K}$$

Case I Normal Pool (@ Elev. 496.5) - Summer Pool

1) OVERTURNING

$$\text{Overturning Moment due to } 4/3 \text{ water} \\ = 20.29 \text{ K} \left(\frac{23.5'}{3} \right) = 172.4 \text{ K}$$

Total Overturning Moment

$$M_o = 461.6 \text{ K} + 172.4 \text{ K} = 634 \text{ K}$$

$$F.S. = \frac{M_R}{M_o} = \frac{1117.4 \text{ K}}{634 \text{ K}} = 1.76$$

Position of Resultant

$$d = \frac{\Sigma M}{\Sigma V} = \frac{1117 - 634 \text{ K}}{39.56 \text{ K}} = \frac{483 \text{ K}}{39.56 \text{ K}} = 12.2' = \frac{12.2'}{29.5} = 0.416$$

O.K., inside middle third



STETSON • DALE

BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections 1981 DATE _____
 SUBJECT Beardslee Falls PROJECT NO. _____
 DRAWN BY JAG

ii) Sliding

$$F.S. = \frac{\mu N + CA + T.W. \text{ Force}}{\text{DRIVING FORCE}}$$

$$F.S. = \frac{0.65(39.6K) + (0.05KSI)(144 \text{ in}^2/ft^2)(1')(29.5') + 0}{20.29K}$$

$$F.S. = \frac{25.7 + 212.4}{20.29} = 11.7$$

* Case II Winter Pool with Ice (Water level @ Spillway Crest)

$$\text{Ice Force} = 7.5K @ \text{Elev. 491}$$

$$M_{\text{Ice}} = 7.5K(18') = 135K$$

$$\text{Total } M_0 = 135K + 461.6K + 10.68K(18.5'/3) = 662.5K$$

i) OVERTURNING

$$F.S. = \frac{1117.4K}{662.5K} = 1.69$$

Position of Resultant

$$d = \frac{1117.4K - 662.5K}{39.56K} = 11.5' = 0.39B \quad \text{O.K., inside middle third}$$

ii) Sliding

$$F.S. = \frac{25.7K + 212.4K}{10.68K + 7.5K} = 13.1$$

* Assumes uplift same as Case I even though actual uplift may decrease with decrease in pool elevation to spillway crest



STETSON • DALE

BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

16

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections 1981 DATE _____
 SUBJECT Beardslee Falls PROJECT NO. _____
 DRAWN BY JAG

Case III 1/2 PMF (Assuming Uplift same as Case I)

i) OVERTURNING

OVERTURNING moment due to $4/5$ water

$$= 19.86^k (18.5'/2) + 65.86^k = 249.6^k$$

$$\text{total } M_o = 249.6^k + 461.6^k = 711^k$$

Resisting moment due to Tailwater (Considering only horizontal component)

$$1.53^k (7\frac{1}{3}) = 3.6^k$$

$$\text{total } M_R = 1117.4 + 3.6^k = 1121^k$$

$$F.S. = \frac{1121^k}{711^k} = 1.58$$

Position of Resultant

$$d = \frac{\Sigma M}{\Sigma V} = \frac{1121^k - 711^k}{39.56^k} = 10.37' = 0.356 \quad \text{O.K., inside middle third}$$

ii) Sliding

$$F.S. = \frac{25.7^k + 212.4^k + 1.53^k}{19.86^k + 10.68^k} = 7.8$$



STETSON • DALE

BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections 1981 DATE _____
 SUBJECT Cardale Falls PROJECT NO. _____
 DRAWN BY WJ

Case IV PMF (Assuming uplift same as Case II)

1) OVERTURNING

OVERTURNING moment due to $4/5$ water

$$= 25.28^k (18.5^k/2) + 65.86^k = 299.7^k$$

$$\text{total } M_o = 299.7^k + 461.6^k = 761^k$$

Resisting moment due to Tailwater (Considering only horizontal component)

$$2.53^k (9'3") = 7.6^k$$

$$\text{total } M_R = 7.6^k + 1117.4^k = 1125^k$$

$$F.S. = \frac{1125^k}{761^k} = 1.48$$

Position of Resultant

$$d = \frac{1125^k - 761^k}{39.56^k} = 9.2' = 0.31 b$$

Just
outside middle
third

2) Sliding

$$F.S. = \frac{25.7^k + 212.4^k + 2.53^k}{25.28^k + 10.68^k} = 6.7$$



STETSON • DALE

BANKERS TRUST BUILDING
UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501
TEL 315-797-5800

DESIGN BRIEF

PROJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections 1981 DATE _____
 SUBJECT Henrieville Falls Dam PROJECT NO. _____
Stability DRAWN BY JH

Case V Seismic Load (Zone 2 Horiz. E.Q. Coeff. 0.05
 Vert E.Q. Coeff. 0.025)

a) Add'l overturning moment due to accel. of
 gravity loads

$$0.05(519.33^{\text{K}}) + 0.025(1117.4^{\text{K}}) = 26^{\text{K}} + 28^{\text{K}} = 54^{\text{K}}$$

Effective Σ vert. loads

$$\Sigma V = 39.56^{\text{K}} - 0.025(63.03^{\text{K}}) = 38.0^{\text{K}}$$

b) Add'l moment due to hydrodynamic effect +
 the reservoir (Ref. "Design of Small Dams")

$$F_h = C_h W h = 0.73(0.05)(0.0624 \text{ MG})(25.5') = 0.465 \text{ MG}$$

$$V_h = 0.726 F_h = 0.726(0.465 \text{ MG})(25.5') = 1.08^{\text{K}}$$

$$M_h = V_h \bar{y} = 1.08^{\text{K}}(25.5' \times 0.4118) = 11.3^{\text{K}}$$

c) Overturning

$$F.S. = \frac{1117.4^{\text{K}}}{53.9^{\text{K}} + 11.3^{\text{K}} + 63.4^{\text{K}}} = \frac{1117.4}{699.2} = 1.60$$

Position of Resultant

$$d = \frac{\Sigma M}{\Sigma V} = \frac{1117.4^{\text{K}} - 699.2^{\text{K}}}{38^{\text{K}}} = 11.0' = 0.376 \text{ H} \quad \text{OK, within middle third}$$

d) Sliding

$$F.S. = \frac{0.65(38^{\text{K}}) + 212.4^{\text{K}}}{20.29^{\text{K}} + 1.08^{\text{K}} + 0.05(63.03^{\text{K}})} = \frac{237.1^{\text{K}}}{24.5^{\text{K}}} = 9.7$$

APPENDIX F

PREVIOUS INSPECTION REPORTS/AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS

started in the present stream bed near the upstream toe of the portion marked 'Gravel' and Miscellaneous Fill by dumping from a trestle a mixture of gravel, sand, earth and clay until a sufficient height to form a pond on the upstream side is obtained. A hydraulic monitor mounted on a barge will then play water on additional fill as it is dumped thus classifying it and sluicing the finer particles toward the upstream face of the dam.

The proportions of gravel and sand and clay to be used in the impervious portion of the dam will be determined if possible by a study of the voids in the sand and gravel banks to be used and certainly by actual experiments throughout the course of the work.

This process will be continued throughout the Dam, the trestles for bringing the fill in being raised in approximately 20' lifts and moved from time to time to enable the various types of material to be graded properly from coarse and pervious on the downstream face to fine and impervious on the upstream. The intention is that the upper third of the dam shall be so compacted by hydraulic processes that it will form an impervious layer. The quantity and pressure of water and the direction and point of application of the stream shall be regulated according to the nature of the material so as to obtain the best possible distribution of material.

The deposit of material from the trestles and the sluicing shall at all times be done in such a manner as to permit compacting by water as close as practicable to the lines indicated in the cross section of the fill, and posts and sheeting or gravel ridges or brush on the upstream face may be used to assist in achieving this object. The upstream face may be covered with a layer of gravel or rock to add stability and uniformity to its slope.

While no dams of this design have been built in New York State we have the advantage of considerable recent experience in other states. Special reference is made to the Miami Conservancy District dams in Ohio where the semi-hydraulic puddle was extensively utilized and to the Davis Bridge Dam now being built on the Deerfield River in Vermont. Engineers from our office have visited both of these works during construction and the universal opinion is that fill placed by this method is the most impervious and the safest yet devised for use in an earth dam.

The east side of the stream affords abundant sand and gravel particularly suitable for the pervious portion of the dam and there are indications that clay may also be available on this side. On the west side there is a shale bedrock west of the intake and this is overlain with clay in quantities probably sufficient for making the upper one third of the dam impervious.

The dyke at the east end of the main earth fill is along a gravel surface under which the bedrock has not yet been found and where it may not be found at any reasonable depth. The gravel however was originally deposited by water and is very compact although doubtless somewhat pervious to water.

If a cut throughout the length of the dyke shows a structure as tight as the deposit now exposed at the end of the exploration trench there might be some seepage under the dyke but probably not in any serious volume. At the end of the exploration trench an excellent bed of impervious clay lies between the sand and the bedrock. and our expectation is that this bed of clay may feasibly be reached with a steam shovel trench to be refilled with impervious material. This trench filling would be integral with the impervious upper third of the dyke. The present plan for the construction of this dyke is similar to that used on the main dam although in view of the smaller quantities involved it may be found preferable to modify this plan.

The dyke at the west end of the dam is expected to work out very closely parallel to the east dyke. The test pits dug to date indicate a clay bed closer to the surface than on the east end.

The engineers in charge of this work are mature men of broad experience in hydraulic engineering. This firm is the Power Company's Consulting Engineer and our president Mr. F. O. Blackwell was a consulting engineer on the Necaxa Dam (Mexico). This dam, built fifteen or twenty years ago, was one of the first high dams (180') by the hydraulic fill method, and Mr. Blackwell's experience at Necaxa has given him confidence in this type of construction and he has used it in his more recent experience whenever feasible.

Mr. L.A. Whitsitt the Hydraulic Engineer of the Power Company will be in direct supervision of the construction. He was for several years in the U.S. Forest service and in that capacity inspected and reported on several dams using the hydraulic method of placing materials as for instance part of the Elephant Butte Dam and the Glaveros dam near San Francisco. Later while he was engaged in the superpower

8/9/23

survey he was detailed to make an investigation and report on the Miami Conservancy District dams. He is an able and extremely conscientious engineer and we are confident that acquaintance with him will confirm our opinion that he is a safe man to have in charge of the Beardslee Falls dam.

The Power Company's inspecting engineer has not yet been employed but we have in mind securing, if possible, the services of one of the younger men from the Davis Bridge or Miami jobs.

With this description and having in mind that our intention as Consulting Engineers, and the intention of the Engineers and Officers of the Power Company is to build a dam which will be absolutely safe, we trust you will approve the plan for this dam and let us get construction plans made at once.

Yours very truly,

VIELE, BLACKWALL & BUCK

By *L. J. Beran*

LJB/HH.

TELEPHONE
HANOVER 2142

VIELÉ, BLACKWELL & BUCK
ENGINEERS
49 WALL STREET NEW YORK

CABLE ADDRESS:
HYDROELEC. NEW YORK

August 20, 1923.

Dwight B. LaDu, Esq.,
Telephone Building,
Albany, N.Y.

Dear Sir:-

Attention: Mr. A. R. McKim

Dam #554 Mohawk
Adirondack P&L Corp.
Beardsley Falls -----

With further reference to our letter of August 9th and your reply of August 14th we are attaching hereto a blue print of a revised design of the earth fill dam of this project.

In making this revision we have endeavored to meet your criticism of our earlier design in so far as it was unprecedented and in the present design have followed the practice used both at Davis Bridge and Miami conservancy dams by putting the hydraulically puddled core wall in the center of the dam instead of on the upstream face. The methods used in construction would closely parallel those used at Davis Bridge except, of course, that we should plan to have the puddled material extend entirely across the width of the dam.

For handling the water during the construction period we have in mind making an open cut in the limestone starting about 175' upstream from the upstream face of the spillway and running thence to the second spillway section west of the river and from this point running either a tunnel or an open cut to the river bank about 175' downstream from the upstream face of the spillway.

The lower end of the open cut would be equipped with guides for stop logs and the upper end of the spillway would be enlarged so that when the earth dam has reached an elevation of about 485 or 490 a plug of concrete will be poured in the upper end of the tunnel and the water diverted over the spillway bed rock.

The power plant at Inghams Mills with its substantial storage puts us in a position to hold back the

natural flow of the stream at will so that handling water on this job should be comparatively simple.

The General Superintendent of the Power Company has asked that we go over this design with you tomorrow in order that an understanding as to its approval may be had as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

VINCE, BLACKWELL & BUCK

By 

LJB/M

Encl.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE ENGINEER AND SURVEYOR

Albany, N. Y. *Aug. 21* 1913.

Permit to construct dam No. *554*


Mohawk


under the provisions of Chapter 65 of the Consolidated Laws of the State of New York, 1911, Section 22, as amended.


Arnold S. Chapman
Deputy State Engineer

Approved to the
Department of State Engineer and Surveyor.

Alex. Rice McKim
Inspector of Dams and Dams







STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF

State Engineer and Surveyor

ALBANY

Received July 25 - 1923 Dam No. 55-1 Mohawk Watershed
Disposition Approved Aug 21 - 1923 - March 7 - 1924 Serial No. 522-554
June 3 - 1924, July 1 - 1924 - July 11 - 1924 - Aug 22 - 1924, Dec 1
Site inspected _____
Foundation inspected _____
Structure inspected _____

Application for the Construction or Reconstruction of a Dam

Application is hereby made to the State Engineer, Albany, N. Y., in compliance with the provisions of Chapter LXV of the Consolidated Laws and Chapter 647, Laws of 1911, Section 22 as amended, for the approval of specifications and detailed plans, marked R-7232 Adirondack Power & Light Corporation,
Beardslee Falls Development - Viele, Blackwell & Luck, Consulting Engrs.
herewith submitted for the { construction } of a dam located as stated below. All provisions of law will be
{ reconstruction }
complied with in the erection of the proposed dam.

1. The dam will be on East Canada Creek branch of Mohawk River in the town of Mannheim & St. Johnsville, County of Herkimer and Montgomery and one mile north of East Creek station of the N.Y.C.R.R.
(Give exact distance and direction from a well-known bridge, dam, village, main cross-roads or mouth of a stream)
2. The name and address of the owner is Adirondack Power & Light Corp., Schenectady, N.Y.
3. The dam will be used for Hydro-electric power station
4. Will any part of the dam be built upon or its pond flood any State lands? No
5. The watershed at the proposed dam draining into the pond to be formed thereby is 220 sq. mi. square miles.
6. The proposed dam will have a pond area at the spillcrest elevation of 460 acres and will impound 500,000,000 cubic feet of water.
7. The lowest part of the natural shore of the pond is over 10 feet vertically above the spillcrest, and everywhere else the shore will be at least 10 feet above the spillcrest.
8. The maximum known flow of the stream at the dam site was 13,000 cubic feet per second in 1913
(Date)
9. State if any damage to life or to any buildings, roads or other property could be caused by any possible failure of the proposed dam probably not
10. The natural material of the bed on which the proposed dam will rest is (clay, sand, gravel, boulders, granite, shale, slate, limestone, etc.) principally on limestone

11. The material of the right bank, in the direction with the current, is limestone at the spillcrest elevation this material has a top slope of 1 inches vertical to a foot horizontal on the center line of the dam, a vertical thickness at this elevation of 4 feet, and the top surface extends for a vertical height of over 10 feet above the spillcrest.
indefinitely large

12. The material of the left bank is limestone has a top slope of 1 inch to a foot horizontal, a thickness of 4 feet, and a height of over 10 feet.
indefinitely large

13. State the character of the bed and the banks in respect to the hardness, perviousness, water bearing, effect of exposure to air and to water, uniformity, etc. Bed is good limestone in horizontal bed, satisfactorily compact and only very slightly affected by exposure to air and water. On both banks the limestone is covered with sandy soil.

14. If the bed is in layers, are the layers horizontal or inclined? horizontal If inclined what is the direction of the slope relative to the center line of the dam and the inches vertical to a foot horizontal?

15. What is the thickness of the layers? Variable

16. Are there any porous seams or fissures? Not to our knowledge

17. WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam will be 243 feet long in the clear; the waters will be held at the right end by a concrete pier wall the top of which will be 14.5 feet above the spillcrest, and have a top width of 3 1/2 feet; and at the left end by a concrete retaining dam the top of which will be 10 feet above the spillcrest, and have a top width of 15 feet. In addition to this 243' a Taintor gate 20' wide & 9' high will assist in water control.

18. There will be also for flood discharge a pipe none inches in diameter and the bottom will be none feet below the spillcrest, a sluice or gate none feet wide in the clear by none feet high, and the bottom will be none feet below the spillcrest.

19. APRON. Below the proposed dam there will be an apron built of None required, none feet long, none feet wide and none feet thick. The downstream side of the apron will have a thickness of none feet for a width of none feet.

20. PLANS. Each application for a permit of a dam over 12 feet in height must be accompanied by a location map and complete working drawings of the proposed structure. Each drawing should have a title giving the parts shown, the name of the town and county in which the dam site is located, and the name of the owner and of the engineer.

The location map (U. S. Geological Quadrangle or other map) should show the exact location of the proposed dam; of buildings below the dam which might be damaged by any failure of the dam; of roads adjacent to or crossing the stream below the dam, giving the lowest elevation of the roadway above the stream bed and giving the shape, the height and the width of stream openings; and of any embankments or steep slopes that any flood could pass over. Also indicate the character and use made of the ground.

The complete working drawings should give all the dimensions necessary for the calculations of the stability of the structure, and all the information asked for below under "Sketches." There may be attached to the plans any written reports, calculations, investigations or opinions that may aid in showing the data and method used by the designer.

21. SKETCHES. For small and unimportant structures, if plans have not been made, on the back sheet of this application make a sketch to scale for each different cross-section at the highest point; showing the height and the depth from the surface of the foundation, the bottom width, the top width (for a concrete or masonry spill at 18 inches below the crest), the elevation of the top in reference to the spillcrest, the length of the section, and the material of which the section is to be constructed. Mark each section with a capital letter. Also sketch a plan; show the above sections by their top lines, giving the mark and the length of each; the openings by their horizontal dimensions; and the abutments by their top width and top lengths from the upstream face of the spillcrest and give the elevation of the top in reference to the spillcrest.

22. ELEVATIONS. Also give the elevations, if possible from the Mean Sea Level, of at least two permanent Bench Marks; of the spillcrest for any existing dam on the proposed dam site, at the middle and at both ends of the spill; and of the spillcrest for the above proposed dam.

23. SAMPLES. When so instructed, send samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the proposed dam, using shipping tags which will be furnished. For sand one-half a cubic foot is desired; for cement, three pints; and for the natural bed, twenty cubic inches.

24. INSPECTION. State how inspection is to be provided for during construction.....

The dam will be built under the direct supervision of the Engineering Department of the Adirondack Power & Light Corp. which will arrange for State Inspection at the convenience of the State Engineers.

September 19, 1925.

Dam 554, Mohawk.

Viele, Blackwell & Buck,
49 Wall Street,
New York City.

Gentlemen:

We have received yours of September 13th, concerning the Beardslee Falls dam with a sketch of the spillway crest, giving the horizontal distance between the upstream and downstream face batters at the crest as $7'-10\frac{5}{8}"$ and the downstream face bevel as 7 horizontal to 12 vertical. These are not consistent with the plans as approved by this department, on which plans the former scales as 12 ft. and the latter is given as 7 horizontal to 10 vertical.

Before we can approve of the above changes, we will require your calculations for the stability of the spillway section, showing that the resultant forces comes within the middle third; at least $9\frac{1}{4}'$ on the spillway crest as the height of the probable maximum flow, not over 141 pounds per cubic foot for concrete; an uplift of at least $\frac{1}{4}$ of the head at the heel and diminishing uniformly to zero at the toe; an ice pressure of at least 10 tons per lin. ft. at one foot below the crest of the spillway, and also a detail of the spillway crest.

Very truly yours,

Deputy State Engineer.

ARMoX/F.

AD-A110 119

STETSON-DALE UTICA NY

F/O 13/13

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. EAST CANADA LAKE DAM (INVENTORY NU--ETC(U)


SEP 81 J B STETSON

DACWS1-81-C-0009

ML

UNCLASSIFIED

2/10/83




END

DATE

TIMED

8-82

DTIC



ADIRONDACK POWER AND LIGHT CORPORATION

SCHENECTADY . N.Y.

February 26, 1924.

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
STATE ENGINEER
FEB 27 1924
The Hon.

Mr. Arnold D. Chapman,
State Deputy Engineer,
Albany, N. Y.

Dear Sir:

Attn: Mr. McKim

I wish to make a preliminary report on the conditions of the Beardslee Falls dam. I had hoped to include in this a record of quantities of material that have thus far been placed. I expect to get this information in a few days and will advise you relative to it at a later time.

Foundations. The foundation that was uncovered proved to be hard rock of a good quality for the entire length of the dam from the intake eastward to Station 90 plus 50, as shown on Viele Blackwell & Buck's Drawing R-7232 and which is on file in your office. Any loose or unsatisfactory rock was removed.

Cut off wall. A concrete cut off wall has been built on the easterly end of the spillway across the river section to approximately station 90 except for a small section of the river which has been temporarily left open for water control purposes.

Timber crib. A timber crib has been started in the river section for water control purposes.

Yours very truly,

L. A. Whitait
L. A. Whitait.
HYDRAULIC ENGINEER.

LAN.B

HJH

TELEPHONE
HANOVER 2142VIELÉ, BLACKWELL & BUCK
ENGINEERS

49 WALL STREET NEW YORK

CABLE ADDRESS
HYDROELEC. NEW YORKRECEIVED
OFFICE STATE ENG.

JUN 30 1924

June 28th, 1924.

RECEIVED
AND

Mr. Arnold L. Chapman,
Deputy State Engineer,
Albany, N. Y.

Subject:- Dam 554, Mohawk, East Creek.

Dear Sir:-

Please refer to your letter of June 21st to the
Adirondack Power & Light Corp.

We are enclosing four prints of our drawings
R-7312 (revised June 28th), also four prints of A-7540
showing the section of the dam west of the intake, also four
prints of B-7326 showing details of the core walls. These
drawings supply the missing dimensions referred to in your
letter.

The hydraulic core will be built in a continuous
operation from the east end of the spillway abutment. The con-
crete spillway crest is temporarily left at elevation 486. The
south embankment is already built and within a few days will be
watertight to elevation 490. The timber crib will be completed
to elevation 485, and 5' of flashboards put on top, by about the
middle of July. A trestle with track at elevation 506.5 is
almost complete. It is located about the north toe of the
puddle core section and from it the north embankment will be
built to elevation 487.

The north embankment will then divert the creek
over the concrete spillway and this north embankment on the one
hand and the south embankment and timber crib on the other hand
will provide a pool in which the hydraulic core will be puddled
to elevation 495, after which the two embankments will be raised
and the dam completed to elevation 506.5.

For your information sections of the dam are located
by measurement from transit station 87 which is 5' east of the
intake center line. The station numbers shown on R-7312 have
no significance as to distances.

The small dyke at Station 575 has been removed.

If this letter expresses our understanding at yester-
day's conferences and you are now in a position to approve the

Mr. Arnold L. Chapman, Albany, N. Y.

June 28th, 1924.

drawings, will you kindly send one approved set to the Adirondack Power & Light Corp. and one set to this office.

Yours very truly,

VIRLE, BLACKWELL & BUCK.

By 

LJB:M

STATE OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF
State Engineer and Surveyor
ALBANY

Beardslee Falls

Report of a Structure Impounding Water

To assist in carrying out the provisions of Section 22 of the Conservation Law, being Chapter LXV of the Consolidated Laws of New York State, relating to safeguarding life and property and the erection, reconstruction, or maintenance of structures for impounding water, owners of such structures are requested to fill out as completely as possible this report form for each such dam or reservoir owned within the State of New York for which no plans or reports relative thereto are on file in this Department, and to return this report form, together with prints or photographs explanatory thereof to this department.

1. The structure is on East Canada Creek flowing into Mohawk River in the Town of St. Johnsville & Manheim County of Montgomery & Herkimer and is located 6200' upstream from the concrete main highway bridge which is 3 miles west from the village of St. Johnsville.
(Give exact distance and direction from a well-known bridge, dam, village main cross-roads or mouth of a stream)

2. Is any part of the structure built upon or does its pond flood any State lands? No

3. The name and address of the owner is Adirondack Power and Light Corporation,
Schenectady, N. Y.

4. The structure is used for power development

5. The material of the right bank, in the direction with the current, is shale bedrock, overlain with clay; at the spillway crest elevation this material has a top slope of _____ inches vertical to a foot horizontal on the center line of the structure, a vertical thickness at this elevation of _____ feet, and the top surface extends for a vertical height of _____ feet above the spillway crest.

6. The material of the left bank is sand and gravel and indications of clay; has a top slope of _____ inches to a foot horizontal, a thickness of _____ feet and a height of _____ feet.

7. The natural material of the bed on which the structure rests is (clay, sand, gravel, boulders, granite, shale, slate, limestone, etc.) limestone with occasional layers containing a substantial proportion of sandstone.

8. State the character of the bed and the banks in respect to the hardness, perviousness, water bearing, effect of exposure to air and to water, uniformity, etc. Bed material is of hard rock of good quality but the surface is very irregular. There are innumerable pits and knobs and shelves from a few inches deep to several feet deep.

9. If the bed is in layers, are the layers horizontal or inclined? horizontal If inclined what is the direction of the horizontal outcropping relative to the axis of the main structure and the inclination and direction of the layers in a plane perpendicular to the horizontal outcropping?

10. What is the thickness of the layers? closely bedded

11. Are there any porous seams or fissures? No

12. The watershed at the above structure and draining into the pond formed thereby is 292 square miles.

13. The pond area at the spillway crest elevation is 118 acres and the pond impounds 18 250 000 cubic feet of water.

14. The maximum ^{estimated} ~~known~~ flow of the stream at the structure was 21 000 cubic feet per second on March, 1913
(Date)


15. Has the spillway capacity ever been exceeded by a high flow? No

Can any possible flood flow from the pond otherwise than through the wastes noted under 17 and 18 of this report? No If so, give the location, the length and the elevation relative to the spillway crest and the character and slopes of the ground of such possible wastes.....

16. State if any damage to life or to any buildings, roads or other property could be caused by any possible failure of the above structure. Describe the location, the character and the use of buildings below the structure which might be damaged by any failure of the structure; of roads adjacent to or crossing the stream below the structure, giving the lowest elevation of the roadway above the stream bed and giving the shape, the height and the width of stream openings; and of any embankments or steep slopes that any flood could pass over. Also indicate the character and use made of the ground below the structure. No serious damage can be foreseen should a failure of the present structure occur. About one half mile below the dam are two bridges one a highway bridge and the other the bridge supporting the N.Y.C. RR tracks. Elevation of the top of the embankment of the highway bridge is 328.2 and of the stream bed 322.50. The elevation of the bottom of the steel beams of the railroad bridge is 327.7 and of the stream bed 313.0. The land below the structure is farm and wood land.

17. WASTES. The spillway of the above structure is 273 feet long in the clear; the waters are held at the right end by a intake section the top of which is 15 feet above the spillway crest, and has a top width of 15 feet; and at the left end by a retaining wall, the top of which is 15 feet above the spillway crest, and has a top width of 10.58 feet.

18. There is also for flood discharge a pipe..... inches inside diameter and the bottom is feet below the spillway crest; and a (sluice, gate outlet)..... feet wide in the clear by feet high, and the bottom is feet below the spillway crest.




TYPICAL SECTIONS THROUGH SPILLWAY
 Extending about 100 ft. East from Trench Gate Pier



SECTION THROUGH TRENCH GATE SPILLWAY

26-1

Approved: V. B. ... & ...	WATER EL. 490.5 V. B. ... & ... ENGINEERS NEW YORK	FEB 21 1924 R.7312
------------------------------	---	-----------------------




TYPICAL SECTIONS THROUGH SPILLWAY
Looking from Right East of Transfer Gate, East to
Retaining Wall

REVISION		ADIRONDACK POWER & LIGHT CORP. BEVERLEE FALLS DIV. TYPICAL SECTIONS THROUGH SPILLWAY AND TRANSFER GATE BLACK HELL
DATE		
		WILE BLACKWELL & BUCK ENGINEERS ADIRONDACK, N.Y.
		AT 351 SHEET NO. 3


Approved WILE BLACKWELL & BUCK

Approved ADIRONDACK POWER & LIGHT





ELEVATION Scale 1/2" = 1'



SECTIONS.—Scale 1/40" = 1'

Notes:
1. All work to be done in accordance with the specifications for the project.
2. The drawings are to be used as a guide only and not as a contract document.
3. The drawings are to be used as a guide only and not as a contract document.



SECTION OF EARTH DAM - SCALE 1/2" = 1'

APPROVED BY CHIEF OF STATE ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS
JULY 1, 1924 DAM NO 554

Job 83

REVIEWED This drawing was prepared by the State Engineers - Bureau No. 554 Revised in accordance with Chief Eng. 554 instructions Jan. 12, 1924 See 554, 554, 554, changed as per 554 dam shown hereby authorized here and here and called for by Jan. 20, 1924 See 554 & 554 Aug. 11, 1924		ADKRONACK POWER & LIGHT CORP. BRIDGES AND DEVELOPMENT INITIAL STAGE OF DAM WATER EL. 400.5' SCALE 1/2" = 1' and 1/4" = 1' FIELD, BLACKWELL & BUCK ENGINEERS NEW YORK FEB 21 1924 R7312.	
--	--	---	--

Approved: FIELD, BLACKWELL & BUCK Approved: ADKRONACK POWER & LIGHT CORP.

SECTION A-A EARTH REMOVED

APRON. Below the spillway there is an apron built of No apron
(Material)
and feet thick. The downstream side of the apron has a thickness of feet
and of feet.

Has the structure any weaknesses which are liable to cause its failure in high flows? No

SKETCHES. On the back of this report make a sketch to scale for each different cross-section of the above structure at the greatest depth; giving the height and the depth from the surface of the foundation, the bottom width, the top width (for a concrete or masonry spillway at two feet below the crest), the elevation of the top in reference to the spillway crest, the length of the section, and the material of which the section is constructed; on the spillway show a cross section of the apron, giving its width, thickness and material, and show the abutment or wash wall at the end of the spillway, giving its height and thickness. Mark each section with a capital letter. Also show a plan; show the above sections by their top lines, giving the mark and the length of each; the openings by their horizontal dimensions; the abutments by their top width and top lengths from the upstream face of the spillway; and outline the apron. Also sketch an elevation of each end of the structure with a cross section of the banks, giving the depth and width excavated into the banks.

WATER SUPPLY. The waters impounded by the above structure have (not) been used for a public water supply since by Never used

- * At the right end of the main spillway is a 3'-6" wall and immediately adjoining this wall is a 20' Taintor gate section, the spilling crest of which is 4' below the spilling crest of the main spillway.

Flashboard piers and bridge not constructed as yet.

The above information is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Schenectady, New York

(Address of signer)

May 5, 1925

(Date)

Adirondack Power & Light Corporation

(Signature)

Atto Lynch General Superintendent

(A person signing for owner should indicate his title or authority)

STATE OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF STATE ENGINEER AND SURVEYOR
EASTERN DIVISION
158 STATE ST.
ALBANY

EDH-H

SUBJECT: Dam 554, Mohawk
East Creek

RECEIVED
OFFICE STATE ENG.

JUN -1 1925

RECD TO *W. H. H. H.*
AND

June 1, 1925

Hon. Roy G. Finch,
State Engineer,
Albany, N. Y.

Dear Sir:-

On May 29th I inspected the dam being constructed by the Adirondack Power & Light Corporation on East Creek just above the old Beardslee Dam.

This structure was inspected by me last year on an average of about once every two weeks and the work was performed in a careful manner and in accordance with the approved plans and my instructions. The dam is now practically completed except the dike and core wall on the west side of the creek. Five-foot flashboards are maintained on the crest of the spillway but the water surface elevation on May 29th was just a little above the crest. The Contractors have made the earth and timber crib dam in the bed of the stream additionally safe by placing rock fill the entire distance across the stream at approximately a 1 on 1 slope and to an elevation somewhat above the top of the timber crib so that the timber crib is entirely covered with rock. The crest of the earth dam has been carried to an elevation about 4-1/2 feet above the top of the abutment or to elevation 511 instead of 506.5 as shown on the plans. This earth dam is composed of rock fill at the upstream toe and is also protected by riprap. There is no indication of any wash. The material in the dam itself is composed of clay, sand and gravel. This material was deposited in the water from trestles and the lumps of clay and material were mixed by means of hydraulic giants.

There are indications in the top of the dam now of some settlement and some cracking of the material as the surface dries out. Last fall there were some indications of seepage in the stream bed immediately below the timber crib dam. The seepage at this point has entirely disappeared. There is, however, at the present time an indication of some slight seepage located about halfway between the east bank of the stream and the east end of the dam. The amount of this seepage is so small that it is not of measurable quantity but it has apparently resulted in a small amount of sloughing on the back of the earth fill 6 or 8 feet above the original ground surface and there are two places in this same locality where the material on the back of the fill appears to be of the nature of quicksand and would not bear my

6-1-25

ght. Owing to the width of the earth fill at this point and the careful manner in which it was constructed I question whether this seepage is coming from the pond above the dam, but that rather it is due to the water in the hydraulic puddle core being gradually forced out at the point of least resistance.

I will keep this dam under observation in order to determine whether the condition above mentioned becomes more serious or disappears.

The downstream slope of the earth embankment has been carefully graded and well seeded. In every other way this structure is constructed in a satisfactory manner.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "E. W. Hinderich", is written over a horizontal line.

Division Engineer

DEC 14 1979

File
LP 2648.

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF LICENSED PROJECTS

CHIEF, PROJECT ANALYSIS BRANCH

Navigation Report for the East Canada Creek Project (Beardslee and Inghams Mill plants) FERC No. 2648, on East Canada Creek, New York

Application

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation filed an application for a major license for the constructed East Canada Creek Project, FERC No. 2648, on June 14, 1967. Revised Exhibits, H, I, L, M and N, and revised opening statements were filed on May 24, 1979. East Canada Creek, Project No. 2648, consists of the Inghams and Beardslee developments. These plants have been in operation since 1912 and 1924, respectively.

Project Description

The East Canada Creek project is located in the townships of Oppenheim, Manheim and Johnsville, in Fulton, Montgomery and Herkimer Counties, in the State of New York.

The following table shows the approximate distance in river miles from the confluence of the East Canada Creek with the Mohawk River, the drainage area in square miles and the installed capacity of the two developments comprising the East Canada Creek project.

<u>Development</u>	<u>River Mile</u>	<u>Drainage Area Square Miles</u>	<u>Installed Capacity</u>
Inghams	4	276	6,400 kW
Beardslee	2	288	20,000 kW
TOTAL			26,400 kW

The Inghams development consists generally of a dam, a 135-acre pond, an intake structure, a steel pipeline, a surge tank, and a powerhouse containing two 3,200 kW units operating under a head of 115 feet.

The Beardslee development consists generally of a dam, a 166-acre pond, a fiberglass pipeline, a surge tank, steel penstock, and a powerhouse containing two 10,000 kW generating units operating under a design head of 155 feet.

Basin Description

East Canada Creek has its source among the mountains in the southwest part of the Hamilton county within a few miles of Piseco Lake. It flows southerly and joins the Mohawk River 6.5 miles below Little Falls, New York, passing across a corner of Fulton county and, then forming the boundary between that county and a part of Montgomery on the east and Herkimer on the west. The East Canada Creek drainage basin contains an area of 299 square miles, and the stream has a length of about 26 miles. East Canada Creek flows through steep banks and a narrow valley. The creek drops 500 feet from river mile 6.5 to the mouth.

Prior Commission Action

There has been no prior action taken by the Commission on East Canada Creek.

Navigability

The reference materials examined by Staff concerning the East Canada Creek and its environs revealed saw, grist, and cider mills located on the creek as early as 1780, however, the references mention only that the mills utilized the water power. Timber was cut near the headwaters of East Canada Creek but the logs were reportedly hauled to the Sacandaga River for transport to the mills at Troy and Albany.

Although the Mohawk River, to which East Canada Creek is tributary, was a major link in the New York State Barge Canal system; the character of East Canada Creek, particularly its lowermost miles made water transport to the canal system impractical.

Research has revealed no indications that East Canada Creek has been used for commercial navigation however the stream is used for white water canoeing and kayaking from its headwaters to its mouth.

Summary and Conclusion

Staff research efforts have not produced any evidence of past use of East Canada Creek for commercial navigation. There are references to document the use of the stream for sport and pleasure boating throughout its length.

The East Canada Creek project is not located on government lands nor are there any government dams located within the East Canada Creek Basin. The project is located on a tributary to a navigable water of the United States. It is interconnected to a system which transmits power across state lines, however, there has been no post-1935 construction. There have been no federal improvements on the river.

Recommendation

It is recommended that Niagara-Mohawk's application for license for Project No. 2648 be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

OEPR
Matthews, S.:can
12/12/79

cc: NYRO, Files, OGC, OE (Rm. 3106), PAB, Mr. Matthews

TUO

DEC 12 1979

RECEIVED 12/12/79 11 46 AM

JUN 8 1979

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF LICENSED PROJECTS

CHIEF, PROJECT ANALYSIS BRANCH

Update of Safety and Adequacy Report of February 23, 1972,
on Constructed East Canada Creek Project No. 2648, New York.

General

On May 24, 1979, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation filed revised Exhibits L and M and pages to the text of its application for license for the East Canada Creek Project filed June 14, 1967. The revised Exhibit L drawings show the Beardslee penstock changed from a 13-foot diameter woodstave pipe to a 12-foot diameter fiberglass pipe and include surge tank sections which had been omitted from the original drawings.

Exhibit M consists of two pages. The page describing the Beardslee Development has been revised to include the new penstock description.

The only other changes noted are in the drainage areas at Beardslee and Inghams from 281 to 288 and 278 to 276 square miles, respectively.

Conclusions

The changes shown by the revised application do not effect the conclusions set forth in the safety and adequacy report of February 23, 1972. The Exhibit L drawings recommended for approval in the 1972 Safety and Adequacy report are superseded by the revised Exhibit L drawings and the page of Exhibit M related to Beardslee development is superseded by the revised page of Exhibit M filed on May 24, 1979.

Recommendations

The following revised Exhibit L drawings and Exhibit M have been examined and found to generally conform to the Commission's Rules and Regulations and should be included in the license, if issued:

<u>Exhibit L Sheet No.</u>	<u>FERC No. 2648-</u>	<u>Showing</u>	<u>Superseding FERC No. 2648-</u>
<u>Inghams Development</u>			
1 A	19	General Plan and Profile	5
2 A	20	Plan and Details of Dam	6
3 A	21	Powerhouse Plan and Elevations	7
<u>Beardslee Development</u>			
1 A	15	General Plan and Profile	8
2 A	16	Plan and Elevation of Dam and Spillway	9
3 A	17	Plan and Details of Intake	10
4 A	18	Powerhouse Plan and Elevations	11

Exhibit M consisting of two typed pages of general descriptions and general specifications of mechanical, electrical and transmission equipment and appurtenances for the Beardslee and Ingham developments filed on May 24, 1979, and June 14, 1967, respectively.

OLFR

Magoulas, J. can
5/8/79

cc: NYRO, Files, PAB, Ms. Magoulas, Applications

15

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE
26 FEDERAL PLAZA
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007

May 12, 1980

Mr. George Koch
Supervisor Dam Safety Section
NY State Department of Environmental Conservation
50 Wolf Road
Albany, New York 12233

Re: Oak Orchard Creek Project No. 2667-NY and
the East Canada Creek Project No. 2648-NY

Dear Mr. Koch:

We wish to advise you that the applications for license for Projects 2667, Oak Orchard and 2648, East Canada Creek have been dismissed by Commission orders dated March 26, and April 29, 1980. These applications were dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

The Oak Orchard Project consists of the Glenwood and Waterport developments. Glenwood Dam is 25 feet high, Waterport Dam is 100 feet high and both dams are of earthfilled construction with concrete core walls. The developments are run-of-river operations and have a combined installed capacity of 6,150 kilowatts.

The East Canada Creek Project consists of the Inghams and Beardslee developments. Inghams Dam is of concrete gravity construction and is 33 feet high. Beardslee Dam is of concrete gravity and earth-fill construction and is 65 feet high. Both developments are run-of-river operations and have a combined installed capacity of 26,400 kilowatts.

As the FERC no longer has jurisdiction at these facilities, this matter is referred to your office for appropriate considerations.

Sincerely,

James D. Hebson

James D. Hebson
Regional Engineer

cc: Dir., OEPR

FERC-NYRO
Goggins, C./em
5/12/80

**NY NIAGARA
MOHAWK**

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION/300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST, SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202/TELEPHONE (315) 474-1511

December 28, 1978

NEW YORK POWER COMMISSION
RECEIVED

JAN - 2 1979

NEW YORK, N. Y.

Mr. James D. Hebson
Regional Engineer
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

RE: FERC Project No. 2648-NY
~~Beardslee~~ and Inghams

Dear Mr. Hebson:

Your letter of December 7, 1978 to Mr. John H. Terry requested revised drawings and other pertinent data regarding rehabilitation work at the Beardslee Development and a schedule for remedial work on the parking lot retaining wall adjacent to the tailrace area. The following addresses this request.

The Engineering Department informs me that, due to the backlog of work in the Construction Services Department, the "As-Built" information pertaining to the rehabilitation at the Beardslee Development will not be available until February of 1979. Therefore, we will not be in a position to supply the desired information to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission until about March 1, 1979.

The Engineering Department also informs me that they assumed you were referring to the tailrace area at the Inghams Development. This area is presently scheduled for remedial work to be done during the summer or fall of 1979.

If you require any additional information, please contact Mr. Robert Levett in this Corporation's Engineering Department.

Very truly yours,


John W. Keib
Senior System Attorney

RJL:bc

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE
26 FEDERAL PLAZA
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007

December 7, 1978

Mr. John H. Terry
Senior Vice President
General Counsel and Secretary
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard, West
Syracuse, New York 13202

Re: FERC Project No. 2648-NY
Beardslee and Inghams

Dear Mr. Terry:

During the inspection of subject project on 17 October 1978, our staff member had noticed the following:

1. The exposed 13 foot diameter woodstave penstock was replaced by a buried 12 foot diameter penstock at the Beardslee development.
2. The concrete on the parking lot retaining wall adjacent to the tailrace area is deteriorating and is in need of repair.

In connection with Item 1 above, you are requested to advise our Washington Office of this major change to the project facilities. Please send revised drawings and other pertinent data to the Secretary, FERC, 825 N. Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20426. We would appreciate your forwarding a copy of the foregoing to our office. Concerning Item 2, it is requested that you provide this office with a time frame of accomplishing the remedial work. The above information should be supplied by February 1, 1979.

Your cooperation in regard to the above matter will be appreciated.

Sincerely,

James D. Hebson

James D. Hebson
Regional Engineer

cc: OEPR
FERC-NYRO
Schiele, A./sb
12/11/78

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20426

New York Regional Office
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

July 12, 1978

Mr. John H. Terry,
Vice President and General Counsel Secretary
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard, West
Syracuse, New York 13202

Re: Emergency Action Plan
Project No. 2645, 2648,
2664, 2667, 2696, 2701,
2706 and 2713-NY

Dear Mr. Terry:

On March 20, 1978, Mr. William W. Lindsay, Director, Office of Electric Power Regulation of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC notified all project applicants for licensing of the Commission requirements for an Emergency Action Plan in the Event of Dam Failure. A copy of Mr. Lindsay's letter of March 20, 1978 is attached to this letter.

In the preparation of your project plan you are requested to include the following:

1. A summary of the study used as a basis for determining the area that may be affected by the project dam failure, including criteria and assumptions used.
2. Actions that would be taken to reduce the inflow to the reservoir, if such is possible, by notifying upstream dam operators to limit the outflow.
3. Actions to reduce downstream flows by controlling the outflow from dams located on tributaries to the stream.
4. The development of detailed and documented plans for notifying law enforcement agencies and Federal, State, and local agencies that would alert businesses and residents endangered by a dam failure.


The study requested in Item 1 will determine the extent of the endangered flood areas. Communications with the above agencies should delineate areas of accepted or designated responsibility. The submitted plan should then establish and document the structured procedures for the notification of all businesses and residents in the affected area. Documentation will consist of acknowledgements by Federal, State and Local officials to the effect that their agency understands their responsibility of alerting the public in those areas within their jurisdiction.

5. In the projected utilization of your plan upstream and downstream changes are to be included, the plan is to be maintained current in all respects and our office advised of changes.

Our engineers in the course of their operational inspections will evaluate the plan's availability and principal features. Please include the New York Regional Office telephone number 212-264-3687 to the list of agencies to be notified in your plan. We request your response to Mr. Lindsay's letter of March 20, by August 1, 1978.

If there are questions on the above do not hesitate to write or call.

Sincerely,



James D. Hebson
Regional Engineer

Attachment:
As noted

cc: Director, O&PR

FERC-NYRO
Fitzsimmons, J./em
7/12/78

Memorandum

TO : THE FILES

DATE: January 20, 1977

FROM : RECREATION RESOURCE SPECIALIST, NEW YORK

SUBJECT: Updated report of Niagara Mohawk Corporation's
(NMPC) system-wide recreation plan.

Project No. 2648

On October 5-8, the writer in company with Messers. Peter Tucker, Environmental Engineer, NMPC and Ron Homa, Outdoor Recreation Planner, BOR Northeast Region, visited the existing and proposed recreation facilities that are part of Niagara Mohawk's approved system-wide recreation plan. The review covered only a portion of the company's overall proposal, but included most of the projects for which Exhibits 'R' have been received. The tour commenced from Syracuse, NY north to projects located in the St. Lawrence Drainage Basin then south to Albany, NY and projects located in the Hudson River Drainage Basin.

A complete investigation and analysis of all projects that are included in the Company's system-wide plan was done in conjunction with a staff member of the Washington Office in October, 1970. The present review was to familiarize Mr. Homa with the recreational aspects of NMPC projects and at the same time to determine the adequacy of the Exhibit 'R' submittals. For the most part there has been limited revisions or changes to the original recreation concept.

Most of the agencies who had the opportunity to comment on Niagara Mohawk's system-wide plan are generally favorable to the company's recreation proposals. The New York State Division of Fish and Game however, in its assessment of recreational needs, has indicated a need for a public boat access on the Inghams pond (Project No. 2648). Currently, there is a significant amount of boating use on the Inghams pond from abutting cottage owners, although no activity was observed during the time of the visit. In conjunction with the Department's suggestion, the writer and other members of the touring party conducted a limited reconnaissance along the lower pond area, to determine the feasibility of a boat access. Company owned lands are basically confined to the lower reaches of the impoundment. As a result of the survey I found there was limited feasibility for a boat access site due to a prevailing steep shoreline and a densely wooded terrain that severely hinders suitable access to the water. In order to provide any form of safe access to the water, a footpath or steps would need to be constructed and then it would seem questionable whether canoes and other light craft could be safely carried on such a steep incline. The



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

potential therefore, may be limited to shoreline fishing use only. Mr. Tucker informed me that the company will plan a further assessment of its properties to determine the extent of other areas of feasibility.

All other facilities and properties that were inspected and reviewed appear adequate in sustaining the recreational needs of their respective areas and conform to the context of their respective Exhibits 'R'.

The attached photographs are included and made part of this report.

Paul G. Gazzara
Paul G. Gazzara

Attachments:
As noted

PWR-NYRO
Gazzara, P./em
1/20/77

cc: Bur Pwr

EAST CANADA CREEK PROJECTS

Unlicensed Beardslee Development-Project No. 2648



1. View of proposed launch ramp site.



2. View of incoming access to launch ramp.

NYRO

October 7, 1976

RECREATION PHOTOGRAPHS
NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

EAST CANADA CREEK PROJECTS

Unlicensed Inghams Development-Project No. 2648



3. View of cottages on right bank of Inghams pond.

NYRO

October 7, 1976

NYRO

RAC

AUG 30 1976

August 26, 1976

HEAD, SECTION OF APPLICATIONS
(THROUGH: CHIEF, DIVISION OF LICENSED PROJECTS)

HEAD, SECTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

Environmental Evaluation Report on Project No. 2648-New York

Transmitted herewith is our Environmental Evaluation Report and recommendations on the application for a major license filed June 14, 1967, by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation for its East Canada Creek Project No. 2648 - New York.

Quentin A. Edson

Attachment:
Environmental Evaluation Report

PWR
Feller, R.:sjb
8/26/76

cc: NYRO
OGC
OES
DLP
Files

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

RECEIVED

SEP 3 1976

NEW YORK, N. Y.

ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION REPORT
PROJECT NO. 2648 - NEW YORK

THE APPLICATION

Approval of the application for license, filed on June 14, 1967, by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Applicant) for its constructed East Canada Creek Project, would license two powerhouses, with a combined capacity of 26,400 kW; two dams; Inghams and Beardslee Reservoirs, 135 and 166 acres respectively; and appurtenant facilities. The Inghams Development was completed in 1912 and the Beardslee Development in 1924.

The project is located on East Canada Creek in the towns of Oppenheim, Fulton County; the town of Johnsville, Montgomery County; and the town of Mamheim, Herkimer County; all in New York State.

The Applicant proposes no construction or modification of power facilities or changes in power operations. The Applicant proposes in Exhibit R, filed as an amendment to the application on December 10, 1975, to construct, or arrange for the construction, within two years of the issuance of any license, a small picnic and boat access area on 13 acres of land at the Beardslee Development. The facilities would provide six picnic tables, two trash barrels, two cooking grills, a boat ramp, and parking for ten cars with trailers. The proposed recreational facilities would cost an estimated \$16,000 (1975 dollars). If alternative arrangements are not possible, the Licensee would operate and maintain these facilities.

NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES

Fish species present in project waters include smallmouth and largemouth bass, yellow perch, chain pickerel, bullheads, bluegill, and other sunfishes.

Land uses around the project are primarily agricultural and residential. The project reservoirs receive considerable recreational use even though there is no formal public recreational development.

AGENCY COMMENTS

By letter filed January 31, 1968, the U.S. Department of the Interior (Interior) recommended that two special license articles be included in any license issued.

These articles would provide for (1) the maintenance of an instantaneous flow of at least 15 cfs and a daily average flow of 20 cfs when limited by inflow or when agreed to by the Licensee, the State of New York, the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, and the Commission and (2) Licensee modification of project operation or installation of facilities in the interest of maintaining water quality in East Canada Creek as may be necessary following completion and review of water quality studies in the Hudson River Basin. Interior recommended further that the Applicant be required to file an Exhibit R and that Exhibit K not be approved until an adequate recreation plan is received.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers raised no environmental issues (letter filed December 7, 1967). The State of New York Water Resources Commission made no adverse comments on the application (letter filed October 6, 1967) and the Montgomery County Department of Planning and Development endorsed the recreation plan for the project (letter filed April 21, 1970).

The Applicant did not reply to agency comments.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The Commission approved Applicant's Systemwide Recreation Plan, which includes the East Canada Creek Project, by order issued June 9, 1975. Approval of this plan did not absolve the Applicant from filing an Exhibit R for this project, in fact, it required it. Recreational developments proposed in the Exhibit R do not differ from those proposed for this project in the systemwide plan. Federal, State, and local agencies were afforded opportunity to comment on the systemwide plan.

A copy of the Water Quality Certificate was first requested by staff in letters dated August 31, 1972, and August 9, 1973. The Applicant, by letter filed September 13, 1972, stated that it had applied to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DECON) for the certificate. DECON was asked to advise Staff of the status of the Applicant's request for water quality certification of this project, among others, by letter dated March 6, 1974. Neither an answer to this request nor a Water Quality Certificate have been received to date.

The filing of an Exhibit S was not required at the time the application was filed.

The Applicant filed an Exhibit W on July 19, 1976. The Exhibit did not identify any significant environmental effects resulting from project operation.

The National Register of Historic Places has been consulted, through March 16, 1976, and there are no historic sites included or being considered for inclusion within or near the project area.

Staff considers that recreation, fish, wildlife, and other environmental concerns, including those mentioned by Interior, would be adequately provided for by standard L-Form articles.

For the above reasons and the fact that the project has been in existence for 52 years, Staff considers that approval of the application for license would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. OES concurred with this conclusion by memorandum dated August 4, 1976.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that Exhibit R for Project 2648, filed December 10, 1975, consisting of five pages of text and one map, titled "Beardslee Development Recreation Plan", Sheet No. 3 (FPC No. 2648-14), be approved, insofar as it describes proposed recreational development at the project, and be included in any licence issued.

Staff recommends further that the Standard L-Form Articles on environmental matters at the project be included in any license issued.

Submitted by:

R. Filler

Botanist

Approved by:

Eddie B. Crowder

Acting Head, Unit of Recreation
and Land Use

Memorandum

MAR 2 1972

TO : CHIEF, DIVISION OF LICENSED PROJECTS

DATE: 20 FEB 1972

FROM : HEAD, SECTION OF PROJECT ANALYSIS

SUBJECT: Safety and Adequacy Report for Inghams and Beardslee
Project No. 2648GENERAL

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation filed on June 14, 1967, an application for license for its constructed Inghams and Beardslee developments, designated as Project No. 2648 and located on East Canada Creek, tributary to the Hudson River, New York. The Beardslee and Inghams developments have installed capacities of 20,000 kw and 6,400 kw, respectively. Inghams was placed in operation in 1912 and Beardslee in 1924. The project is described in the attached bulletin board notice dated July 5, 1967. The location of the project is shown on the attached map and profile.

Adequacy of SpillwayInghams

The drainage area at the Inghams development is 278 square miles. The spillway is 205 feet long and 28 feet high with a crest elevation of 657.3 feet and an additional 4.5 feet of flashboards. The spillway capacity at non-overflow El. 665.8 is about 20,000 cfs. The PMP flood for both developments was estimated at 100,000 cfs. Should this flood occur the non-overflow section would be overtopped. The maximum flood of record was 24,000 cfs on October 2, 1945, due to a failure of a dike upstream.

Beardslee

The drainage area at the Beardslee development is approximately 281 square miles. The spillway is a gravity



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

ogee type structure 273 feet long and 18.5 feet high with an additional 7 feet of flashboards. Abutting the overflow spillway is a gated section 20 feet long and 28 feet high containing of one taintor gate. If the PMP flood of 100,000 cfs should occur, the spillway would be completely inundated. Overtopping of the timber crib dam could cause some unravelling of the structure; however, failure if, any, would not endanger life or property downstream.

The spillways are considered safe and adequate as both dams have been found to be stable under maximum flood conditions.

Safety of Structures

Computer analyses of both dams under eight various loading conditions were made. Beardslee was found to be safe and adequate under all conditions. Inghams was found to be safe under all conditions except under maximum flood conditions assuming full uplift over 100% of the base.

The consultant to the Applicant made field investigations to determine actual uplift conditions present at the site. The findings of the study which were submitted in a report entitled "Report of Stability Analysis Non-Overflow Section Inghams Dam" dated November 1970, found considerably less than 100% uplift. Based on the actual pressures found under the dam, the non-overflow section, which is the most critical, is safe and adequate against sliding and overturning under all conditions.

Adequacy of Project

Both developments are run-of-river plants. Since the ponds are small they have very little regulating effect on the stream flow. The Applicant's critical month is December and during this period they operate five days per week, six hours a day at Inghams and three hours a day

five days per week at Beardslee. On this basis, the dependable capacities of Inghams and Beardslee are 5,200 and 16,000 kw, respectively. The following tabulation compiles generating and hydraulic data for the developments.

	<u>Inghams</u>	<u>Beardslee</u>
Avg. annual generation (MWH)	27,176	47,418
Hydraulic Capacity at best gate (cfs)	598	1,196
Average kw	3,100	5,400
Average Stream Flow (cfs)	635	635

No further development of the site is contemplated by the Applicant. The Planning Status Reports showed no projects proposed which would conflict with the Inghams and Beardslee developments.

Conclusions and Recommendations

It is concluded that the structures covered by the application for license for Project No. 2648 are safe and adequate and the project, under present conditions will be best adapted to the comprehensive development of the Hudson River Basin upon compliance with the special terms and conditions set forth in the appropriate L form.


It is recommended that the following L drawings and Exhibit M which have been examined and found to generally conform to the Commission's Rules and Regulations be included in the license, if issued:

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>FPC No.</u>	<u>Showing</u>
<u>Inghams Development</u>		
L-1	2648-5	General Plan and Profile
L-2	2648-6	Plan and Details of Dam
L-3	2648-7	Powerhouse Plan and Elevations
<u>Beardslee Development</u>		
L-1	2648-8	General Plan and Profile
L-2	2648-9	Plan and Elevation of Dam and Spillway
L-3	2648-10	Plan and Details of Intake
L-4	2648-11	Powerhouse Plan and Elevations

Exhibit M consisting of one page filed as part of the application for license on June 14, 1967.

Attachments:

Bulletin Board Notice
Location Map and Profile


A. G. Sunda

PWR
Hord, C.F.:mpb
February 15, 1972

cc: DLP, NYRO, R. A. Corso, A. G. Sunda, OGC






Figure 2

BEARDSLEE HYDRO - EARTH & TIMBER CRIB DAM



MAX. SECTION THRU EARTH & TIMBER DAM (STA 5+00.0)

SCALE: 1" = 40' (FROM DWG R-7312 SH. 1 & 3)



EARTH FILL CROSS SECTIONS A

①	SECTION TAKEN	JUNE 1, 1924
②	"	JULY 1, 1924
③	"	AUG. 6, 1924
④	"	AUG. 30, 1924
⑤	"	OCT. 2, 1924
⑥	"	NOV. 1, 1924
⑦	"	DEC. 1, 1924
⑧	"	DEC. 30, 1924
⑨	"	JUNE 25, 1925 (FINAL)

SECTION STA 5+00.0

SCALE: 1" = 40'

* DATA FROM DWG A-2481 SHEET #3

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation) Project No. 2648

ORDER DISMISSING APPLICATION FOR MAJOR LICENSE

(Issued April 29, 1980)

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation filed an application for a license for its constructed East Canada Creek Project No. 2648 located on East Canada Creek, a tributary to the Mohawk River, in the Town of Oppenheim in Fulton County, the Town of St. Johnsville in Montgomery County and the Town of Manheim in Herkimer County--all in the State of New York. 1/

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT:

The East Canada Creek Project comprises two run-of-river developments: Inghams and Beardslee. The project consists of two reservoirs with a total surface area of 301 acres, two dams, two penstocks and two powerhouses containing generating units having a total installed capacity of 26,400 kW and appurtenant facilities. All power generated at the project is integrated into the transmission system of the Applicant for ultimate delivery to its customers.

NAVIGABILITY:

Subsection 23(b) of the Federal Power Act (Act) 2/ would require licensing of the East Canada Creek Project if it were located on a "navigable water" of the United States. The Commission's staff has conducted substantial historical research of the navigability of East Canada Creek and has found no evidence yet that the creek is navigable at the site of the project.

Based on the information available at this time, there is insufficient evidence to find that East Canada Creek is navigable within the meaning of §3(8) of the Act. 3/ Further

1/ Authority to act on this matter is delegated to the Director, Office of Electric Power Regulation, under Section 375.308 of the Commission's regulations, 18 CFR 375.308, [as amended in Docket No. RM78-19 (August 14, 1978) and in Docket No. RM79-59 (July 23, 1979), and Docket No. RM80-45 (March 28, 1980)].

2/ 16 U.S.C. §817 (1976).

3/ 16 U.S.C. §796(8) (1976).

expenditure of Commission resources on investigating navigability for the project does not appear to be warranted at this time. If new evidence comes to light in the future that shows the river is "navigable" at the project site, §23(b) would, of course, require licensing; and, under §4(g) of the Act, 4/ the project's owner could be ordered to apply for a license.

Post-1935 Construction:

If "post-1935 construction" occurred at a hydroelectric project and the project affected the interests of interstate commerce, §23(b) would require licensing of the project even if it were not located on a navigable water. 5/ Generally, "post-1935 construction" involves work that increases the project's head or its generating or water storage capacity or that otherwise significantly modifies the project's pre-1935 design or operation. 6/

The East Canada Creek project was constructed and placed into operation prior to 1935. The only reported substantial changes since that time have been:

- 1) generating units at both project developments have been completely overhauled (Inghams Unit No. 1 in 1966, and Beardslee Unit No. 1 in 1964) to effectively extend their operational life beyond that which would have occurred with routine maintenance only, and
- 2) the 13-foot-diameter and 1800 feet long woodstave pipeline at the Beardslee development was replaced by a 12-foot-diameter fiberglass pipeline in 1978.

4/ 16 U.S.C. §797(g) (1976).

5/ Subsection 23(b) provides that any person intending to construct--after 1935--any project works across any non-navigable stream subject to the constitutional authority of Congress as set forth in the commerce clause must first file a declaration of intention. The Commission then investigates and, if it finds that the project would affect the interests of interstate commerce, the project must be licensed. *Farmington River Power Co. v. FPC* 455 F.2d 86 (2nd cir. 1972). The kinds of construction that trigger a duty to file a declaration of intention are commonly called "post-1935 construction."

6/ *Puget Sound Power & Light Co. v. FPC*, 557 F.2d 1311 (9th Cir. 1977).


These changes did not increase the project's head, generating capacity, or water storage capacity, or otherwise significantly modify the project's pre-1935 design or operating regime. For this reason it is concluded that these changes do not constitute post-1935 construction.

Thus, §23(b) does not appear at this time to require licensing of the East Canada Creek Project, 7/ which does not occupy any Federal lands or utilize surplus water power from a Federal dam.

It is ordered that:

(A) Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation's application for a license for the East Canada Creek Project No. 2648 is dismissed for lack of adequate evidence that it is required to be licensed under §23(b) of the Federal Power Act. This dismissal is without prejudice to any future determination, based upon new or additional evidence, that licensing is required.

(B) This order shall become final 30 days from the date of its issuance unless a petition appealing it to the Commission is filed under Section 1.7(d) of the Commission's regulations, 18 CFR 1.7(d) [as amended in Docket No. RM78-19 (August 14, 1978) and Docket No. RM79-59 (July 23, 1979)].



William W. Lindsay
Director, Office of Electric
Power Regulation

7/ Because there has been no "post-1935 construction", the question of whether the project affects interstate commerce need not be addressed. If the project's owner proposed new construction, it would have to file a declaration of intention under §23(b), at which time the project's effects on interstate commerce would be examined.

Report No. 2640

LOCATION *East Canada Creek, Herkimer County, N.Y.*
Beardslee Falls Development

1. Type of dam, dam foundation - problems since construction.
Earth fill (920 ft. long, 65 ft. high)
2. Type of powerhouse
2 @ 10000, 20000 Total
3. Type of intake; penstocks, canal, forebay.
*12 ft. diameter fiberglass pipeline, to steel surge tank,
to 13 ft. diameter steel penstock to two 9 ft. diameter
penstocks.*
4. Crest control, gates, flashboards, etc.
tainter gate (20 ft. long) and 7 ft. high flashboards
5. Dikes, abutments and adjacent drainage.
Core wall on right bank, 200 ft. long
6. Reservoir problems.
7. Pertinent inspection licensed articles.
8. Generation abnormalities.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, OEPR - ROOM 5100
THRU : REGIONAL ENGINEER, NYRO

DATE: August 27, 1979

FROM : ESTENIO ROSELL AND FRANK P. RICCI
CIVIL ENGINEERS, NEW YORK

Estenio Rosell

SUBJECT: Pre-license Inspection Report - Unlicensed
East Canada Creek, Project No. 2648-NY
East Canada Creek, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

The application for a major license was filed on June 14, 1967 by the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation covering its constructed East Canada Creek Project. Revised Exhibits H, I, L, M and N, and revised opening statements were filed on May 24, 1979. The project is located on the East Canada Creek in Fulton County, Montgomery County and Herkimer County, New York. This report is an update of the initial prelicense report of September 22, 1967, and subsequent reports of January 5, 1975 and October 17, 1978.

The report inspection was made on July 25, 1979 in the company of Messrs. Louis Pratt and Robert Levett representing the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation.

Project Description

The two constructed developments which made up the East Canada Creek are Inghams and Beardslee are located on the East Canada Creek. The following table shows the township, the approximate distance in river miles from the confluence of the East Canada Creek with the Mohawk River and the drainage area in square miles at each of the two developments.

<u>Development</u>	<u>Town</u>	<u>Distance Miles</u>	<u>Drainage Area Square Miles</u>
Inghams	Oppenheim and Manheim	4	276
Beardslee	Johnsville and Manheim	2	288

The Inghams and Beardslee developments have been in operation since 1912 and 1924 respectively. The breakdown of their installed capacity is as follows:



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

<u>Development</u>	<u>Installed Capacity</u>
Inghams	6,400 kW
Beardslee	20,000 kW
Total Project	26,400 kW

Inghams - This development consists of a concrete gravity type dam about 400 feet long with a maximum height of 125 feet. It is of concrete gravity construction founded on rock, and consists of a spillway 205 feet long with a crest elevation of 657.3 feet mounted with 4.5 foot high flashboards, a non-overflow section 400 feet long including the intake gates with a top elevation at 665.8 feet and a core wall section 105 feet long extending into the right bank. The area of the pond created by the dam is 135 acres. The pond water passes through an intake structure at the dam, which connects with a steel pipeline 400 feet long and nine feet in diameter. A steel surge tank joins a steel penstock which divides into two 6.5 foot diameter steel penstocks before entering the powerhouse. From the surge tank the water flows through a steel penstock, branching into two hydroelectric units and then into the unlined, excavated tailrace which is about 700 feet long. The powerhouse has a concrete foundation and brick superstructure, and contains two units with a total installed generating capacity of 6,400 kW operating under a head of 115 feet.

Beardslee - This development consists of a earth dam about 920 feet long with a maximum height of 65 feet, and a top elevation of 508 feet. It consists of a headgate house 45 feet long, a tainter gate section about 20 foot long with a crest elevation of 487.5 feet, a spillway section 273 feet long with a crest elevation at 491.5 feet mounted with 7-foot high flashboards. The earthen dam includes a buried timber crib structure in the berm along the downstream side, and a concrete cut-off at its approximate axis. A concrete core wall, about 200 feet long with a top elevation at 506.5 feet, extends into the right bank from the headgate house. Water from the reservoir passes through a fiberglass pipeline about 1,800 feet long and 12 feet in diameter, to a steel surge tank. A steel penstock 60 feet long and 13 feet in diameter runs from the surge tank. This penstock branches into two steel penstocks, 9 feet in diameter and 258 and 291 long. The area of the pond created by the dam is 166 acres. The powerhouse has a concrete foundation and brick superstructure, and contains two identical vertical shaft hydroelectric generating units each rated at 10,000 kW operating under a design head of 155 feet. Water is discharged into a tailrace, 600 feet long, formed by a training wall. At best gate the units utilize a flow of 1,196 cfs.

Operation

These run-of-river developments have usually been operated on a 5 or 6 day use of the 7 day flow. Such operation is dependent upon the average daily stream flow. The section of the Mohawk River into which the East Canada Creek discharge is part of the New York State Erie Canal. Since

17 JUL 1948
LOCATION MAP No 1

— 1000 FEET SCALE —

11 11 11

the ponds of this project are relatively small, they have very little regulatory effect on stream flow. The plants are normally operated at peak hours for five or six days of a seven day period, ponding water during periods of low demand. The annual peak of the Applicant's system occurs in December and this month, during periods of low runoff, is the critical period for power supply. The 90 percent of time flow for December is, therefore, taken as a measure of the dependable capacity of the developments. The following table shows the December flow for 90% of the time, the number of peaking hours and the dependable capacity in the peak for each of the developments, operating on a five day week basis.

90% Time December Flow - CFS

<u>Development</u>	<u>Daily Basis</u>	<u>Five Day Week Basis</u>	<u>Approx. No. Peak Hours</u>	<u>Power Output</u>
Inghams	125	175	6	5,200
Beardslee	125	175	3	16,000

The powerhouse generated by these developments helps to meet the demands of the industrial, commercial, residential and farm customers of the Niagara Mohawk System.

The Applicant has no plans for further development of East Canada Creek in the immediate future.

Project Investigation

Inghams

The development facilities were inspected on the afternoon hours of July 25, 1979. Temperatures were around 90°F with sunny skies. The approximate amount of time spent at the different portions of the development are as follows: one hour at the powerhouse and pipelines, one hour at the dam, and half hour at the dikes. The powerhouse structure was examined and found to be in good and clean condition, minor cracking was noticeable in the floors. Spalling and erosion of a portion of the parking lot retaining wall adjacent to the tailrace was observed (see Photo 1). This wall was scheduled for remedial work to be done during the fall of 1979. Applicant's representative inform us that the remedial construction work has been postponed, there is no immediate danger at this location due to the fact that the adjacent embankment wall is sloping. At the time of site visit the construction of a new switchyard was underway. Both Units No. 1 and 2, were generating 2,400 kW and 2,600 kW respectively and the water level at the pond was at crest elevation 657.3 feet above m.s.l.

The concrete gravity dam was inspected and found to be in good and stable condition, however, extensive concrete spalling was noticeable along the downstream face of the spillway (see Photo 2).

PROJECT 2648
 LOCATION MAP No. 1
 NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION
 11-474

MASSACHUSETTS POWER CORPORATION

1. A. P. (2)

1

100-100000

The Applicant permits the general public to fish and boat on the project waters, but use is minimal. There are no booms, buoys or other restricting devices on the reservoir. Concerning recreational aspects of the project a report entitled "Update Report of Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. (NMPC) System Wide Recreational Plan", dated January 20, 1977, was written by the New York Office Recreation Resources Specialist.

Conclusions

Based on the recent field inspection, review of pertinent data and discussions with the Applicant's representatives, it is concluded that:

1. The existing dams, pipelines, penstocks, machinery and appurtenant structures have been adequately maintained and are in satisfactory state of repair and operating condition.
2. Revised drawings and exhibits that reflect the recren construction or rehabilitation have been filed by the Applicant. (see Applicant's letter to the Commission dated May 17, 1979, copy attached).
3. The project as constructed is compatible with the comprehensive development plan for full utilization of the Upper Hudson River Basin.

Attachments:

Set of 12 Photographs

Location Map

Profile

(3) Photo Location Maps

NYRO memo to OEPR Dated June 7, 1979

Applicant's letter dated May 17, 1979

Statement for operation of flood gates and transmittal letter dated, 8/7/79

FERC-NYRO

Rosell, E.:Ricci, F./em

8/27/79

1
8697.12648
J
123456789

1
123456789
101112131415161718192021222324252627282930313233343536373839404142434445464748495051525354555657585960616263646566676869707172737475767778798081828384858687888990919293949596979899100

1
123456789
101112131415161718192021222324252627282930313233343536373839404142434445464748495051525354555657585960616263646566676869707172737475767778798081828384858687888990919293949596979899100

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
 Unlicensed Project No. 2648
 East Canada Creek, NY

PROJECT 2648
 LOCATION MAP No. 1




Photo 7 - Beardslee - View of training wall in the area of tail-race downstream of powerhouse.




Photo 8 - Beardslee - View of tainter gate, notice minor leakage of water and excellent condition of retaining wall on the right of picture.

PROSPECT OF PEN AND PENSTOCK
 Scale 1:500

July 25, 1979

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Unlicensed Project No. 2648
East Canada Creek, NY

PROJECT 2648
LOCATION MAP No. 1




Photo 9 - Beardslee - View of spillway section with flashboards erected. Note poor condition of concrete at toe of dam.



Photo 10 - Beardslee - Another view of the downstream face of spillway with spalled concrete.

July 25, 1979

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
 Unlicensed Project No. 2648
 East Canada Creek, NY

PROJECT 2648
 LOCATION MAP No. 1

FILE 1874 811 OF APRIL 1979
 NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION
 BY J. J. J.





Photo 11 - Beardslee - View of reservoir, on the left of picture the earth embankment where heavy tree growth was noticeable.




Photo 12 - Beardslee - View of reservoir looking upstream from dam, note cows grazing on the right shore.

PROFILE OF PIPELINE AND PENSTOCK
 SCALE 1" = 100'



FLOOD DISCHARGE CAPACITY

GENERAL PLAN
SCALE 1"=400'0"



SECTION 1-1'


SECTION 1-1' OF EL. 400.0
FROM FLOOD EL. 443.0

PROFILE OF PIPELINE AND PENSTOCK
SCALE 1"=40'0"


DESIGNED BY
CONSTRUCTED EAST CANADA CO
IMPROVED DEVELOPMENT
GENERAL PLAN AND PFD
DRAWN BY

PROJECT 26


THIS DESIGN IS A PART OF THE DEVELOPMENT
AND DESIGNING BY THE DEVELOPER
MAY 13TH 1971 BY APRIL 1971
MUSKOGEE POWER CORPORATION




PLAN OF DAM & SPILLWAY
SCALE 1"=50'




ELEVATION OF DAM & SPILLWAY
SCALE 1"=50'



SECTION OF INTAKE
SCALE 1"=50'



SECTION OF COREWALL
SCALE 1"=50'



SECTION OF DAM & SPILLWAY
SCALE 1"=50'

PROJECT 2
LOCATION MA

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE
ESTIMATED COST OF
CONSTRUCTION OF
DAM AND SPILLWAY
\$ 1,000,000

ESTIMATED COST OF
POWERHOUSE
\$ 500,000

ESTIMATED COST OF
INTAKE
\$ 100,000

ESTIMATED COST OF
COREWALL
\$ 100,000

ESTIMATED COST OF
SPILLWAY
\$ 100,000

ESTIMATED COST OF
TOTAL PROJECT
\$ 1,700,000

11. **NY NIAGARA
NM MOHAWK**

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION / 300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST, SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202 / TELEPHONE (315) 432-1111

August 4, 1978

2649

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION
RECEIVED

AUG - 7 1978

NEW YORK, N. Y.

Mr. James D. Hebson
Regional Engineer
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
New York Regional Office
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Re: Emergency Action Plans -
Project Nos. 2645, 2648, 2664,
2667, 2696, 2701, 2706 & 2713


Dear Mr. Hebson:

Enclosed please find this corporation's response, dated June 30, 1978, to Mr. Lindsay's letter of March 20, 1978.

As you are aware, this corporation is in the process of preparing Emergency Action Plans and submitting same for all licensed projects. As soon as this work is completed, Niagara Mohawk will embark on a similar program for its pending licenses. A schedule for such submission will be submitted at the time the Emergency Action Plans for the licensed facilities are completed which is scheduled at this time to occur in late Fall of 1978.

I trust the enclosure and this letter constitutes an adequate response to your letter of July 12, 1978. If, however, you have any further questions, please contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,



John W. Keib
Senior System Attorney

Emergency Action Plans

SLIP
DATE SENT

**NIAGARA
MOHAWK**

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION/300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST, SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202/TELEPHONE (315) 474-1511

June 30, 1978

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION
RECEIVED

AUG - 7 1978

NEW YORK, N. Y.

Mr. William W. Lindsay, Director
Office of Electric Power Regulation
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
825 North Capitol Street, N. E.
Washington, D. C. 20426


Re: OEPR-LP
Project Nos. 2645, 2648, 2664,
2667, 2696, 2701, 2706 & 2713

Dear Mr. Lindsay:

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation is in the process of preparing Emergency Action Plans for numerous developments including developments which were previously under license. Our schedule has been internally arranged so that emergency plans are now being prepared for all licensed projects on a priority basis, vis-a-vis pending projects. This emphasis was set forth in this corporation's letter to your Mr. J. D. [redacted], New York Regional Engineer, in a letter dated April 24, 1978.

Niagara Mohawk proposes to submit Emergency Action Plans for its pending projects as soon as it has completed the above-mentioned work on its licensed projects. A schedule for such submission will also be submitted for Commission review.

Very truly yours,


John W. Kelb
Senior System Attorney

JWK:jml

The Beardslee Development consists of (1) a concrete, rock, and earth-fill dam (top el. 508.0') about 920 feet long and 65 feet high adjoining (2) a spillway section (top el. 491.5') about 276 feet long and 18 feet high topped by (3) flashboards 7 feet high forming (4) a 166-acre reservoir; (5) a gate house; (6) a 13-foot diameter woodstave pipe, about 1,800-feet long; (7) a steel surge tank; (8) a 13-foot diameter steel pipe about 60 feet long which divides into two 9-foot diameter penstocks about 258 feet long and 291 feet long respectively; (9) a powerhouse containing two identical vertical shaft generating units each rated at 10,000 kw driven by two 15,400 hp turbines; (10) a tailrace about 600 feet long; and (11) appurtenant facilities.

Use: Power produced by this project is used by the applicant for public utility purposes.

5. Applicant operates and proposes to operate in the State of New York.

6. A concise general description of the project and the principal project works is as follows:

The constructed East Canada Creek Project is located on East Canada Creek in the town of Oppenheim in Fulton County, the town of St. Johnsville, Montgomery County and the town of Manheim, Herkimer County, New York State. The project consists of two developments. The upstream development is Inghams which is located 4 miles from the confluence of East Canada Creek and the Mohawk River. The downstream development is Beardslee which is located 2 miles from the confluence of East Canada Creek and the Mohawk River. The drainage area at Inghams is 276 square miles and at Beardslee 288 square miles.

(a) Dams and Reservoirs

The Inghams dam is a gravity concrete structure about 400 feet long and 125 feet high. The area of the pond created by the dam is 135 acres.

The Beardslee dam is a gravity, rock, and earth fill dam about 920 feet long and 65 feet high. The area of the pond created by the dam is 166 acres.

(b) Water Conduits

At Inghams water is drawn from the headwater pond through the intake structure into a steel pipe line about 400 feet long and 9 feet in diameter to a steel surge tank. From the surge tank the water flows through a steel penstock, branching into the two hydroelectric units and then into the unlined, excavated tailrace which is about 700 feet long.

At Beardslee water is drawn from the headwater pond through the

intake structure into a fiberglass pipeline about 1,800 feet long and 12 feet in diameter to a steel surge tank. From the surge tank the water passes into a riveted steel pipe 13 feet in diameter and 60 feet long and then divides into two penstocks each 9 feet in diameter, one of which is 258 feet long and the other 291 feet long and then through the units in the powerhouse to the tailrace.

(c) Powerhouse, Substations and Switchyards

At both Inghams and Beardslee the powerhouses are constructed of brick with a concrete substructure.

There are no substations or switchyards included in the project.

(d) Transmission Lines

There are no transmission lines included in the project.

7. The location of the project applied for is as follows:

- (a) In the State of New York
- (b) In the Counties of Fulton, Montgomery and Herkimer.
- (c) On the following named stream:

East Canada Creek carrying commerce to the following extent: None

8. Lands of the United States which will be affected are located in: None

9. The development of the constructed project is as follows:

At Inghams there are two identical hydroelectric units of 3,200 kw each under a head of 115 feet. The output for the year 1966 was 26,534,000 kilowatthours.

At Beardslee there are two identical hydroelectric units of 10,000 kw each under a head of 155 feet. The output for the year 1966 was 42,990,500 kilowatthours.

10. The electric power developed at this project is integrated

Curve Sheets Nos. 2 and 3 show the estimated tailwater rating curves for Inghams and Beardslee respectively and Curve Sheets Nos. 4 and 5A show the estimated plant capability in kilowatts plotted against station discharge in cfs.

The power generated by these two developments helps to meet the demands of the industrial, commercial, residential and farm customers of the Niagara Mohawk System.

The applicant has no plans for further development of East Canada Creek in the immediate future.

EXHIBIT "I"

Stream flow records, as given in the "U.S.G.S. Water Supply Papers" and the "Surface Water Records of New York," for the gaging stations at Dolgeville and at East Creek were used for the monthly mean flows of East Canada Creek at the two constructed developments. The East Creek, drainage area = 291 square miles, record started in December 1945. Prior to this date, the Dolgeville, drainage area = 261 square miles, record was used. Curve Sheet No. 1A shows the flow duration curve of the monthly mean flow at Inghams and Beardslee Developments for the period from October 1935 through September 1964. For 90% of the time the average daily flow at the two developments is estimated at 125 cfs.


The annual peak load of the Applicant's system occurs in December and this month, during periods of low runoff, is the critical period for power supply. The 90 percent of time flow for December is, therefore, taken as a measure of the dependable capacity of the developments. The following table shows the December flow for 90% of the time, the number of peaking hours and the dependable capacity in the peak for each of the developments, operating on a five day week basis.

90% Time December Flow - CFS

<u>Development</u>	<u>Daily Basis</u>	<u>Five Day Week Basis</u>	<u>Approx. No. Peak Hours</u>	<u>Power Output KW</u>
Inghams	125	175	6	5.2
Beardslee	125	175	3	16.0

The average annual output in megawatt hours based on the period, from 1935 through 1964, is as follows:

<u>Development</u>	<u>Annual Energy MW Hrs.</u>
Inghams	27,176
Beardslee	47,418



CURVE SHEET NO. 17


FLOW IN C.F.S.

1000

2000

3000

MONTHLY MEAN FLOW DURATION CURVE
OF
EAST CANADA CREEK
DRAINAGE AREA OF INGHAM'S 276 SQ. MI.
DRAINAGE AREA OF BEARDSLEE 2885Q MI.
OCT. 1935 TO SEPT 1964 INCLUSIVE



STATION OUTPUT - KW




EXHIBIT "M"

BEARDSLEE DEVELOPMENT

The Beardslee powerhouse contains two identical vertical shaft electric generating units. Each turbine has a Francis type runner a design capacity of 15,400 HP at a design head of 155 feet and at gate the two units utilize approximately 1,196 cfs.


The governing equipment consists of motor driven governors with electric servo motors, automatic devices and oil pressure from motor driven oil pumps.

Each turbine drives a synchronous generator equipped with self excitation. There is also a motor driven exciter. The generators are rated 5,000 KVA, 10,000 KW, 0.8 power factor, 300 RPM, 6,600 volts, 3 phase, 4 pole.


Water is supplied to each unit by a steel penstock 9 feet in diameter extending from the surge tank. The manifold at the surge tank is connected to a 12'-0" diameter fiberglass pipeline that receives water from the forebay. There are trash racks located at the pipeline intake.

A traveling crane of 45 ton capacity serves the generating

Reference is made to Drawing G-9533-E which is part of this application. Shown on this drawing and indicated as "PROJECT" are the powerhouse and switchyard facilities for which application for license is being made.



SECTION @ STA. 87+500
MAX. CRIB SECTION
SCALE: 1"=40'



SECTION @ STA. 87+700
MAX. NON-CRIB SECTION
SCALE: 1"=40'


Failure Circle	FACTORS OF SAFETY		
	COND. I Steady Seepage min. reqd. 1.5	COND. II Sudden Drawdown min. reqd. 1.2	COND. III Earthquake min. reqd. 1.0
A	1.55	-	with I 1.97
B	1.81	-	-
C	1.64	-	-
D	1.71	1.48	with II 1.31
E	3.20	-	-
F	2.23	-	-
G	1.88	1.54	with II 1.96
H	2.39	1.74	1.59
I	2.68	2.18	1.97

NOTES :-

1. Factors of safety were computed by means of the Infinitesimal Slice Method.
2. Values used were as follows:
Unclassified Material, Clay, and Core
Moist Weight 112.5 %
Buoyant Weight 62.5 %
Saturated Weight 137.5 %
 $\phi = 25^\circ$
Cohesion = 500 %
Rock, Dumped and in Crib
Weights as per Unclassified Material
 $\phi = 30^\circ$
Cohesion = 0
3. Uplift computed as 62.5 % of the saturated area deducted from the Normal Forces
4. Earthquake acceleration computed as 0.5

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.
BEARDSLEE DEVELOPMENT
- EMBANKMENT -
STABILITY ANALYSIS
UHL, HALL & RICH, ENGRS. BOSTON-MASS
DATE: SEPT. 67
DWG. NO. SK 3667-B

EEL 498.5 Top of Flashboards
 EEL 496.5 Normal Water Surface
 EEL 491.5 Spillway Crest



SECTION @ SPILLWAY
 SCALE: 1" = 8'

GENERAL NOTES

1. Weight of concrete - 150 p/cu.ft.
2. Weight of water - 62.5 p/cu.ft.
3. Uplift is assumed effective over two thirds of the base area with an intensity varying uniformly from headwater to tailwater.
4. Earthquake acceleration is assumed equal to 0.05 g.
5. The shear-friction factor of safety (Ss-f) is computed from the relationship:

$$Ss-f = \frac{f \sum (V) + \sum (H)}{\sum (H)}$$



Where 'Ss', the unit shearing strength the material, is assumed to be 380 P.S.I. 'A' is the area of the joint; and 'f' the ratio of average to maximum shearing stress on the joint, is assumed to be 0.5. 'f' is the coefficient of friction considered to be 0.5

Case	Elev	STABILITY		SUMMARY		Resultant from toe	Σ MR Ft K	Σ MO Ft K	Σ MR Conc. Stress f.s.i.	
		Σ V Kips	Σ H Kips	Σ H Σ V	Ss-f				Σ MO Heel	Toe
Full Res.	473.0	46.5	20.3	.44	36.1	10.3'	891.7	412.0	2.16	4.7
Uplift										20.2
Same as above with E.Q.	473.0	43.5	24.5	.56	30.0	9.1'	891.7	494.1	1.80	1.2
										22.1

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER COR.
 BEARDSLEE DEVELOPMENT
 - SPILLWAY -
 STABILITY ANALYSIS
 UHL, HALL & RICH, ENGRS. BOSTON-MA
 DATE: SEPT. 67 DWG. NO.
 SK 9667-A

APPENDIX G

DRAWINGS



PROJECT BOUNDARY


LOCATION PLAN

FIGURE 1

SCALE

1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000






GENERAL PLAN
SCALE 1"=200'



LONGITUDINAL
SECTION 1-1



PLAN




WEST ELEVATION



TYPICAL SECTION
ROADWAY & TAIL RACE

SECTION 1-1

SECTION 2-2



FLOOD DISCHARGE CAPACITY

THIS REPORT NUMBER IS A PART OF THE AP
100 LICENSED BY THE U.S. DEPARTMENT
OF THE INTERIOR, BUREAU OF RECLAMATION,
NATIONAL MONUMENT POWER COMMISSION


BY *Dr. D. L.*

19710 100-100-100-100-100


NIAGARA STATE POWER CORPORATION
CONSTRUCTED EAST CANADA CREEK POWER
HOUSE DEVELOPMENT
GENERAL PLAN AND PROFILE
SHEET 1

FIGURE 2

SECTION 5
SCALE 1:100



SECTION OF SURGE TANK
SCALE 1"=20'-0"



THIS DRAWING IS A PART OF THE A
FOR LOSTON MADE BY THE
1970 DAY OF APRIL, 1971
MADARA MOHAWA POWER CORP.

BY S.P.D.-1
1970
MADARA MOHAWA POWER CORP.
CONSTRUCTED EAST CANADA COLE 780J
BEARDE DEVELOPMENT
PLAN AND DETAILS OF INTAKE
SHEET 1
SCALE 1"=20'-0"





FIGURE 4



THIS DRAWING IS PART OF THE
 AND LAYOUT DRAWING OF THE
 THE 18TH AND 19TH, 1979
 DRAWING NUMBER 1000-1000-1000
 BY B.P. 1000
 TITLE 1000-1000-1000
 VIACARA WREASE POWER CORPORATION
 CONSTRUCTED EAST CANADA CREEK PROJECT
 BRADSHIRE DEVELOPMENT
 POWER HOUSE PLAN AND ELEVATION
 SHEET NO. 1000-1000-1000

FIGURE 5

FILMED
8